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Part 1 - Background

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Purpose and Scope

The primary audience for this detailed description consists of the OMG, other standards organizations, tool builders, metamodelers, methodologists, and expert modelers. The authors assume familiarity with metamodeling and advanced object modeling. Readers looking for an introduction to the UML or object modeling should consider another source.

Although the document is meant for advanced readers, it is also meant to be easily understood by its intended audience. Consequently, it is structured and written to increase readability. The structure of the document, like the language, builds on previous concepts to refine and extend the semantics. In addition, the document is written in a ‘semi-formal’ style that combines natural and formal languages in a complementary manner.

This section specifies semantics for structural and behavioral object models. Structural models (also known as static models) emphasize the structure of objects in a system, including their classes, interfaces, attributes and relations. Behavioral models (also known as dynamic models) emphasize the behavior of objects in a system, including their methods, interactions, collaborations, and state histories.

This section provides complete semantics for all modeling notations described in the UML Notation Guide (Chapter 3). This includes support for a wide range of diagram techniques: class diagram, object diagram, use case diagram, sequence diagram, collaboration diagram, state diagram, activity diagram, and deployment diagram. The UML Notation Guide includes a summary of the semantics sections that are relevant to each diagram technique.

2.1.2 Approach

This section emphasizes language architecture and formal rigor. The architecture of the UML is based on a four-layer metamodel structure, which consists of the following layers: user objects, model, metamodel, and meta-metamodel. This document is primarily concerned with the metamodel layer, which is an instance of the meta-
metamodel layer. For example, Class in the metamodel is an instance of MetaClass in the meta-metamodel. The metamodel architecture of UML is discussed further in Section 2.2, “Language Architecture,” on page 2-4.

The UML metamodel is a logical model and not a physical (or implementation) model. The advantage of a logical metamodel is that it emphasizes declarative semantics, and suppresses implementation details. Implementations that use the logical metamodel must conform to its semantics, and must be able to import and export full as well as partial models. However, tool vendors may construct the logical metamodel in various ways, so they can tune their implementations for reliability and performance. The disadvantage of a logical model is that it lacks the imperative semantics required for accurate and efficient implementation. Consequently, the metamodel is accompanied with implementation notes for tool builders.

UML is also structured within the metamodel layer. The language is decomposed into several logical packages: Foundation, Behavioral Elements, and Model Management. These packages in turn are decomposed into subpackages. For example, the Foundation package consists of the Core, Extension Mechanisms, and Data Types subpackages. The structure of the language is fully described in Section 2.2, “Language Architecture,” on page 2-4.

The metamodel is described in a semi-formal manner using these views:

- Abstract syntax
- Well-formedness rules
- Semantics

The abstract syntax is provided as a model described in a subset of UML, consisting of a UML class diagram and a supporting natural language description. (In this way the UML bootstraps itself in a manner similar to how a compiler is used to compile itself.) The well-formedness rules are provided using a formal language (Object Constraint Language) and natural language (English). Finally, the semantics are described primarily in natural language, but may include some additional notation, depending on the part of the model being described. The adaptation of formal techniques to specify the language is fully described in Section 2.3, “Language Formalism,” on page 2-7.

In summary, the UML metamodel is described in a combination of graphic notation, natural language, and formal language. We recognize that there are theoretical limits to what one can express about a metamodel using the metamodel itself. However, our experience suggests that this combination strikes a reasonable balance between expressiveness and readability.
2.2 Language Architecture

2.2.1 Four-Layer Metamodel Architecture

The UML metamodel is defined as one of the layers of a four-layer metamodeling architecture. This architecture is a proven infrastructure for defining the precise semantics required by complex models. There are several other advantages associated with this approach:

- It refines semantic constructs by recursively applying them to successive metalayers.
- It provides an architectural basis for defining future UML metamodel extensions.
- It furnishes an architectural basis for aligning the UML metamodel with other standards based on a four-layer metamodeling architecture, in particular the OMG Meta-Object Facility (MOF).

The generally accepted framework for metamodeling is based on an architecture with four layers:

- meta-metamodel
- metamodel
- model
- user objects

The functions of these layers are summarized in the following table.

Table 2-1 Four Layer Metamodeling Architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meta-metamodel</td>
<td>The infrastructure for a metamodeling architecture. Defines the language for specifying metamodels.</td>
<td>Metaclass, MetaAttribute, MetaOperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metamodel</td>
<td>An instance of a metametamodel. Defines the language for specifying a model.</td>
<td>Class, Attribute, Operation, Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>An instance of a metamodel. Defines a language to describe an information domain.</td>
<td>StockShare, askPrice, sellLimitOrder, StockQuoteServer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user objects (user data)</td>
<td>An instance of a model. Defines a specific information domain.</td>
<td>&lt;Acme_SW_Share_98789&gt;, 654.56, sell_limit_order, &lt;Stock_Quote_Svr_32123&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meta-metamodeling layer forms the foundation for the metamodeling architecture. The primary responsibility of this layer is to define the language for specifying a metamodel. A meta-metamodel defines a model at a higher level of abstraction than a
metamodel, and is typically more compact than the metamodel that it describes. A meta-metamodel can define multiple metamodels, and there can be multiple meta-metamodels associated with each metamodel.

While it is generally desirable that related metamodels and meta-metamodels share common design philosophies and constructs, this is not a strict rule. Each layer needs to maintain its own design integrity. Examples of meta-objects in the metamodeling layer are: MetaClass, MetaAttribute, and MetaOperation.

A metamodel is an instance of a meta-metamodel. The primary responsibility of the metamodel layer is to define a language for specifying models. Metamodels are typically more elaborate than the meta-metamodels that describe them, especially when they define dynamic semantics. Examples of meta-objects in the metamodeling layer are: Class, Attribute, Operation, and Component.

A model is an instance of a metamodel. The primary responsibility of the model layer is to define a language that describes an information domain. Examples of objects in the modeling layer are: StockShare, askPrice, sellLimitOrder, and StockQuoteServer.

User objects (a.k.a. user data) are an instance of a model. The primary responsibility of the user objects layer is to describe a specific information domain. Examples of objects in the user objects layer are: `<Acme_Software_Share_98789>`, 654.56, sell_limit_order, and `<Stock Quote Svr_32123>`.

2.2.1.1 Architectural Alignment with the MOF Meta-Metamodel

Both the UML and the MOF are based on a four-layer metamodel architecture, where the MOF meta-metamodel is the meta-metamodel for the UML metamodel. Since the MOF and UML have different scopes and differ in their abstraction levels (the UML metamodel tends to be more of a logical model than the MOF meta-metamodel), they are related by loose metamodeling rather than strict metamodeling. As a result, the UML metamodel is an instance of the MOF meta-metamodel.

Consequently, there is not a strict isomorphic instance-of mapping between all the MOF meta-metamodel elements and the UML metamodel elements. In spite of this, since the two models were designed to be interoperable, the UML Core package metamodel and the MOF meta-metamodel are structurally quite similar.

1. In loose (or “non-strict”) metamodeling a $M_n$ level model is an instance of a $M_{n+1}$ level model. In strict metamodeling, every element of a $M_n$ level model is an instance of exactly one element of $M_{n+1}$ level model.
2.2.2 Package Structure

The complexity of the UML metamodel is managed by organizing it into logical packages. These packages group metaclasses that show strong cohesion with each other and loose coupling with metaclasses in other packages. The metamodel is decomposed into the top-level packages shown in Figure 2-1.

![Figure 2-1 Top-Level Packages](image1)

The Foundation and Behavioral Elements packages are further decomposed as shown in Figure 2-2 and Figure 2-3.

![Figure 2-2 Foundation Packages](image2)
2.3 Language Formalism

This section contains a description of the techniques used to describe UML. The specification adapts formal techniques to improve precision while maintaining readability. The technique describes the UML metamodel in three views using both text and graphic presentations. The benefits of adapting formal techniques include:

- the correctness of the description is improved,
- ambiguities and inconsistencies are reduced,
- the architecture of the metamodel is validated by a complementary technique, and
- the readability of the description is increased.

It is important to note that the current description is not a completely formal specification of the language because to do so would have added significant complexity without clear benefit. In addition, the state of the practice in formal specifications does not yet address some of the more difficult language issues that UML introduces.

The structure of the language is nevertheless given a precise specification, which is required for tool interoperability. The dynamic semantics are described using natural language, although in a precise way so they can easily be understood. Currently, the dynamic semantics are not considered essential for the development of tools; however, this will probably change in the future.
2.3.1 Levels of Formalism

A common technique for specification of languages is to first define the syntax of the language and then to describe its static and dynamic semantics. The syntax defines what constructs exist in the language and how the constructs are built up in terms of other constructs. Sometimes, especially if the language has a graphic syntax, it is important to define the syntax in a notation independent way, that is, to define the abstract syntax of the language. The concrete syntax is then defined by mapping the notation onto the abstract syntax. The syntax is described in the Abstract Syntax sections.

The static semantics of a language define how an instance of a construct should be connected to other instances to be meaningful, and the dynamic semantics define the meaning of a well-formed construct. The meaning of a description written in the language is defined only if the description is well formed, that is, if it fulfills the rules defined in the static semantics. The static semantics are found in sections headed Well-Formedness Rules. The dynamic semantics are described under the heading Semantics. In some cases, parts of the static semantics are also explained in the Semantics section for completeness.

The specification uses a combination of languages - a subset of UML, an object constraint language, and precise natural language to describe the abstract syntax and semantics of the full UML. The description is self-contained; no other sources of information are needed to read the document. Although this is a metacircular description, understanding this document is practical since only a small subset of UML constructs are needed to describe its semantics.

In constructing the UML metamodel different techniques have been used to specify language constructs, using some of the capabilities of UML. The main language constructs are reified into metaclasses in the metamodel. Other constructs, in essence being variants of other ones, are defined as stereotypes of metaclasses in the metamodel. This mechanism allows the semantics of the variant construct to be significantly different from the base metaclass. Another more “lightweight” way of defining variants is to use metaattributes. As an example, the aggregation construct is specified by an attribute of the metaclass AssociationEnd, which is used to indicate if an association is an ordinary aggregate, a composite aggregate, or a common association.

2. Although a comprehension of the UML’s four-layer metamodel architecture and its underlying meta-metamodel is helpful, it is not essential to understand the UML semantics.

3. In order to understand the description of the UML semantics, you must understand some UML semantics.
2.3.2 Package Specification Structure

This section provides information for each package in the UML metamodel. Each package has one or more of the following subsections.

2.3.2.1 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax is presented in a UML class diagram showing the metaclasses defining the constructs and their relationships. The diagram also presents some of the well-formedness rules, mainly the multiplicity requirements of the relationships, and whether or not the instances of a particular sub-construct must be ordered. Finally, a short informal description in natural language describing each construct is supplied. The first paragraph of each of these descriptions is a general presentation of the construct that sets the context, while the following paragraphs give the informal definition of the metaclass specifying the construct in UML. For each metaclass, its attributes are enumerated together with a short explanation. Furthermore, the opposite role names of associations connected to the metaclass are also listed in the same way.

2.3.2.2 Well-Formedness Rules

The static semantics of UML metaclasses, except for multiplicity and ordering constraints, are defined as a set of invariants of an instance of the metaclass. (Note that a metaclass is not required to have any invariants.) These invariants have to be satisfied for the construct to be meaningful. The rules thus specify constraints over attributes and associations defined in the metamodel. Each invariant is defined by an OCL expression together with an informal explanation of the expression. In many cases, additional operations on the metaclasses are needed for the OCL expressions. These are then defined in a separate subsection after the well-formedness rules for the construct, using the same approach as the abstract syntax: an informal explanation followed by the OCL expression defining the operation.

The statement ‘No extra well-formedness rules’ means that all current static semantics are expressed in the superclasses together with the multiplicity and type information expressed in the diagrams.

2.3.2.3 Semantics

The meanings of the constructs are defined using natural language. The constructs are grouped into logical chunks that are defined together. Since only concrete metaclasses have a true meaning in the language, only these are described in this section.

2.3.2.4 Standard Elements

Stereotypes of the metaclasses defined previously in the section are listed, with an informal definition in natural language. Well-formedness rules, if any, for the stereotypes are also defined in the same manner as in the Well-Formedness Rules subsection.
Other kinds of standard elements (constraints and tagged-values) are listed, and are defined in the Standard Elements appendix.

2.3.2.5 Notes

This subsection may contain rationales for metamodeling decisions, pragmatics for the use of the constructs, and examples all written in natural language.

2.3.3 Use of a Constraint Language

The specification uses the Object Constraint Language (OCL), as defined in Chapter 6, “Object Constraint Language Specification” for expressing well-formedness rules. The following conventions are used to promote readability:

- Self - which can be omitted as a reference to the metaclass defining the context of the invariant, has been kept for clarity.
- In expressions where a collection is iterated, an iterator is used for clarity, even when formally unnecessary. The type of the iterator is usually omitted, but included when it adds to understanding.
- The ‘collect’ operation is left implicit where this is practical.

2.3.4 Use of Natural Language

We strove to be precise in our use of natural language, in this case English. For example, the description of UML semantics includes phrases such as “X provides the ability to…” and “X is a Y.” In each of these cases, the usual English meaning is assumed, although a deeply formal description would demand a specification of the semantics of even these simple phrases.

The following general rules apply:

- When referring to an instance of some metaclass, we often omit the word “instance.” For example, instead of saying “a Class instance” or “an Association instance,” we just say “a Class” or “an Association.” By prefixing it with an “a” or “an,” assume that we mean “an instance of.” In the same way, by saying something like “Elements” we mean “a set (or the set) of instances of the metaclass Element.”
- Every time a word coinciding with the name of some construct in UML is used, that construct is referenced.
- Terms including one of the prefixes sub, super, or meta are written as one word (for example, metamodel, subclass).

2.3.5 Naming Conventions and Typography

In the description of UML, the following conventions have been used:

- When referring to constructs in UML, not their representation in the metamodel, normal text is used.
• Metaclass names that consist of appended nouns/adjectives, initial embedded capitals are used (for example, ‘ModelElement,’ ‘StructuralFeature’).

• Names of metaassociations/association classes are written in the same manner as metaclasses (for example, ‘ElementReference’).

• Initial embedded capital is used for names that consist of appended nouns/adjectives (for example, ‘ownedElement,’ ‘allContents’).

• Boolean metaattribute names always start with ‘is’ (for example, ‘isAbstract’).

• Enumeration types always end with “Kind” (for example, ‘AggregationKind’).

• While referring to metaclasses, metaassociations, metaattributes, etc. in the text, the exact names as they appear in the model are always used.

• Names of stereotypes are delimited by guillemets and begin with lowercase (for example, «type»).

Part 2 - Foundation

2.4 Foundation Package

The Foundation package is the language infrastructure that specifies the static structure of models. The Foundation package is decomposed into the following subpackages: Core, Extension Mechanisms, and Data Types. Figure 2-4 illustrates the Foundation Packages. The Core package specifies the basic concepts required for an elementary metamodel and defines an architectural backbone for attaching additional language constructs, such as metaclasses, metaassociations, and metaattributes. The Extension Mechanisms package specifies how model elements are customized and extended with new semantics. The Data Types package defines basic data structures for the language.
2.5 Core

2.5.1 Overview

The Core package is the most fundamental of the subpackages that compose the UML Foundation package. It defines the basic abstract and concrete metamodel constructs needed for the development of object models. Abstract constructs are not instantiable and are commonly used to reify key constructs, share structure, and organize the UML metamodel. Concrete metamodel constructs are instantiable and reflect the modeling constructs used by object modelers (cf. metamodelers). Abstract constructs defined in the Core include ModelElement, GeneralizableElement, and Classifier. Concrete constructs specified in the Core include Class, Attribute, Operation, and Association.

The Core package specifies the core constructs required for a basic metamodel and defines an architectural backbone (“skeleton”) for attaching additional language constructs such as metaclasses, metaassociations, and metaattributes. Although the Core package contains sufficient semantics to define the remainder of UML, it is not the UML meta-metamodel. It is the underlying base for the Foundation package, which in turn serves as the infrastructure for the rest of language. In other packages, the Core is extended by adding metaclasses to the backbone using generalizations and associations.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Core package.

2.5.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Core package is expressed in graphic notation in the following figures. Figure 2-5 on page 2-13 shows the model elements that form the structural backbone of the metamodel. Figure 2-6 on page 2-14 shows the model elements that define relationships. Figure 2-7 on page 2-15 shows the model elements that define dependencies. Figure 2-8 on page 2-16 shows the various kinds of classifiers. Figure 2-9 on page 2-17 shows auxiliary elements for template parameters, presentation elements, and comments.
Figure 2-5 Core Package - Backbone
Figure 2-6 Core Package - Relationships
Figure 2-7 Core Package - Dependencies
Figure 2-8 Core Package - Classifiers
2.5.2.1 Abstraction

An abstraction is a Dependency relationship that relates two elements or sets of elements that represent the same concept at different levels of abstraction or from different viewpoints.

In the metamodel, an Abstraction is a Dependency in which there is a mapping between the supplier and the client. Depending on the specific stereotype of Abstraction, the mapping may be formal or informal, and it may be unidirectional or bidirectional.

If an Abstraction element has more than one client element, the supplier element maps into the set of client elements as a group. For example, an analysis-level class might be split into several design-level classes. The situation is similar if there is more than one supplier element.

---

Figure 2-9 Core Package - Auxiliary Elements
The UML standard stereotyped classes of Abstraction are Derivation, Realization, Refinement, and Trace. (These are the names for the Abstraction class with the stereotypes «derive», «realize», «refine», and «trace», respectively.)

**Attributes**

* mapping  
  A MappingExpression that states the abstraction relationship between the supplier and the client. In some cases, such as Derivation, it is usually formal and unidirectional; in other cases, such as Trace, it is usually informal and bidirectional. The mapping attribute is optional and may be omitted if the precise relationship between the elements is not specified.

**Stereotypes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>«derive»</td>
<td>Specifies a derivation relationship among model elements that are usually, but not necessarily, of the same type. A derived dependency specifies that the client may be computed from the supplier. The mapping specifies the computation. The client may be implemented for design reasons, such as efficiency, even though it is logically redundant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>«realize»</td>
<td>Specifies a realization relationship between a specification model element or elements (the supplier) and a model element or elements that implement it (the client). The implementation model element is required to support all of the operations or received signals that the specification model element declares. The implementation model element must make or inherit its own declarations of the operations and signal receptions. The mapping specifies the relationship between the two. The mapping may or may not be computable. Realization can be used to model stepwise refinement, optimizations, transformations, templates, model synthesis, framework composition, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>«refine»</td>
<td>Specifies refinement relationship between model elements at different semantic levels, such as analysis and design. The mapping specifies the relationship between the two elements or sets of elements. The mapping may or may not be computable, and it may be unidirectional or bidirectional. Refinement can be used to model transformations from analysis to design and other such changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>«trace»</td>
<td>Specifies a trace relationship between model elements or sets of model elements that represent the same concept in different models. Traces are mainly used for tracking requirements and changes across models. Since model changes can occur in both directions, the directionality of the dependency can often be ignored. The mapping specifies the relationship between the two, but it is rarely computable and is usually informal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5.2.2 Artifact

An Artifact represents a physical piece of information that is used or produced by a software development process. Examples of Artifacts include models, source files, scripts, and binary executable files. An Artifact may constitute the implementation of a deployable component.

In the metamodel, an Artifact is a Classifier with an optional aggregation association to one or more Components. As a Classifier, Artifacts may have Features that represent properties of the Artifact (for example, a “read-only” attribute or a “check in” operation).

It should be noted that sometimes Artifacts may need to be linked to Classifiers directly, without introducing a ‘Component.’ For instance, in the context of code generation, the resulting Artifacts (source code files) are never deployed as Components. In that case, a «derive» Dependency can be used between the Classifier(s) and the generated Artifact.

The standard stereotypes of Artifact are «file», the subclasses of «file» («executable», «source», «library», and «document»), and «table». These stereotypes can be further subclassed into implementation and platform specific stereotypes (for example, «jarFile» for Java archives).

Associations

implementationLocation The deployable Component(s) that are implemented by this Artifact.

Stereotypes

«document» Denotes a generic file that is not a «source» file or «executable». Subclass of «file».
«executable» Denotes a program file that can be executed on a computer system. Subclass of «file».
«file» Denotes a physical file in the context of the system developed.
«library» Denotes a static or dynamic library file. Subclass of «file».
«source» Denotes a source file that can be compiled into an executable file. Subclass of «file».
«table» Denotes a database table.

2.5.2.3 Association

An association defines a semantic relationship between classifiers. The instances of an association are a set of tuples relating instances of the classifiers. Each tuple value may appear at most once.
In the metamodel, an Association is a declaration of a semantic relationship between Classifiers, such as Classes. An Association has at least two AssociationEnds. Each end is connected to a Classifier - the same Classifier may be connected to more than one AssociationEnd in the same Association. The Association represents a set of connections among instances of the Classifiers. An instance of an Association is a Link, which is a tuple of Instances drawn from the corresponding Classifiers.

**Attributes**

- **name**: The name of the Association that in combination with its associated Classifiers must be unique within the enclosing namespace (usually a Package).

**Associations**

- **connection**: An Association consists of at least two AssociationEnds, each of which represents a connection of the association to a Classifier. Each AssociationEnd specifies a set of properties that must be fulfilled for the relationship to be valid. The bulk of the structure of an Association is defined by its AssociationEnds. The classifiers belonging to the association are related to the AssociationEnds by the participant rolename association.

**Stereotypes**

- **implicit**: The «implicit» stereotype is applied to an association, specifying that the association is not manifest, but rather is only conceptual.

**Standard Constraints**

- **xor**: The {xor} constraint is applied to a set of associations, specifying that over that set, exactly one is manifest for each associated instance. Xor is an exclusive or (not inclusive or) constraint.

**Tagged Values**

- **persistence**: Persistence denotes the permanence of the state of the association, marking it as transitory (its state is destroyed when the instance is destroyed) or persistent (its state is not destroyed when the instance is destroyed).

**Inherited Features**

Association is a GeneralizableElement. The following elements are inherited by a child Association.
2.5.2.4 AssociationClass

An association class is an association that is also a class. It not only connects a set of classifiers but also defines a set of features that belong to the relationship itself and not any of the classifiers.

Inherited Features

AssociationClass inherits features as specified in both Class and Association.

In the metamodel, an AssociationClass is a declaration of a semantic relationship between Classifiers, which has a set of features of its own. AssociationClass is a subclass of both Association and Class (that is, each AssociationClass is both an Association and a Class); therefore, an AssociationClass has both AssociationEnds and Features.

2.5.2.5 AssociationEnd

An association end is an endpoint of an association, which connects the association to a classifier. Each association end is part of one association. The association-ends of each association are ordered.

In the metamodel, an AssociationEnd is part of an Association and specifies the connection of an Association to a Classifier. It has a name and defines a set of properties of the connection (for example, which Classifier the Instances must conform to, their multiplicity, and if they may be reached from another Instance via this connection).

In the following descriptions when referring to an association end for a binary association, the source end is the other end. The target end is the one whose properties are being discussed.
Attributes

aggregation

When placed on one end (the “target” end), specifies whether the class on the target end is an aggregation with respect to the class on the other end (the “source” end). Only one end can be an aggregation.

Possibilities are:

* none - The target class is not an aggregate.
* aggregate - The target class is an aggregate; therefore, the source class is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part may be contained in other aggregates.
* composite - The target class is a composite; therefore, the source class is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part is strongly owned by the composite and may not be part of any other composite.

changeability

When placed on one end (the “target” end), specifies whether an instance of the Association may be modified by an instance of the class on the other end (the “source” end). In other words, the attribute controls the access by operations on the class on the opposite end.

Possibilities are:

* changeable - No restrictions on modification.
* frozen - No links may be added by operations on the source class after the creation of the source object. Operations on the target class may add links (provided they are not similarly restricted).
* addOnly - Links may be added at any time by operations on the source object, but once created a link may not be removed by operations on the source class. Operations on the target class may add or remove links (provided they are not similarly restricted).

ordering

When placed on a target end, specifies whether the set of links from the source instance to the target instance is ordered. The ordering must be determined and maintained by Operations that add links. It represents additional information not inherent in the objects or links themselves.

Possibilities are:

* unordered - The links form a set with no inherent ordering.
* ordered - A set of ordered links can be scanned in order.
* Other possibilities (such as sorted) may be defined later by declaring additional keywords. As with user-defined stereotypes, this would be a private extension supported by particular editing tools.
isNavigable When placed on a target end, specifies whether traversal from a
source instance to its associated target instances is possible.
Specification of each direction across the Association is
independent. A value of true means that the association can be
navigated by the source class and the target rolename can be used
in navigation expressions.

multiplicity When placed on a target end, specifies the number of target
instances that may be associated with a single source instance
across the given Association.

name (Inherited from ModelElement) The rolename of the end. When
placed on a target end, provides a name for traversing from a
source instance across the association to the target instance or set
of target instances. It represents a pseudo-attribute of the source
classifier; that is, it may be used in the same way as an Attribute
and must be unique with respect to Attributes and other pseudo-
attributes of the source Classifier.

targetScope Specifies whether the target value is an instance or a classifier.

Possibilities are:
• instance. An instance value is part of each link. This is the
default.
• classifier. A classifier itself is part of each link. Normally this
would be fixed at modeling time and need not be stored
separately at run time.

visibility Specifies the visibility of the association end from the viewpoint
of the classifier on the other end.

Possibilities are:
• public - Other classifiers may navigate the association and use
the rolename in expressions, similar to the use of a public
attribute.
• protected - Descendants of the source classifier may navigate
the association and use the rolename in expressions, similar to
the use of a protected attribute.
• private - Only the source classifier may navigate the
association and use the rolename in expressions, similar to the
use of a private attribute.
• package - Classifiers in the same package (or a nested
subpackage, to any level) as the association declaration may
navigate the association and use the rolename in expressions.
Associations

**qualifier**
An optional list of qualifier Attributes for the end. If the list is empty, then the Association is not qualified.

**specification**
Designates zero or more Classifiers that specify the Operations that may be applied to an Instance accessed by the AssociationEnd across the Association. These determine the minimum interface that must be realized by the actual Classifier attached to the end to support the intent of the Association. May be an Interface or another Classifier. These classifiers do not indicate the classes of the participants in a link, merely the operations that may be applied when traversing a link.

**participant**
Designates the Classifier participating in the Association at the given end. A link of the Association contains a reference to an instance of the class (including a descendant of the given class or a class that realizes a given interface) in the given position in the link.

**(unnamed composite end)**
Designates the Association that owns the AssociationEnd.

Stereotypes

«association»
Specifies a real association (default and redundant, but may be included for emphasis).

«global»
Specifies that the target is a global value that is known to all elements rather than an actual association.

«local»
Specifies that the relationship represents a local variable within a procedure rather than an actual association.

«parameter»
Specifies that the relationship represents a procedure parameter rather than an actual association.

«self»
Specifies that the relationship represents a reference to the object that owns an operation or action rather than an actual association.

2.5.2.6 Attribute

An attribute is a named slot within a classifier that describes a range of values that instances of the classifier may hold.

In the metamodel, an Attribute is a named piece of the declared state of a Classifier, particularly the range of values that Instances of the Classifier may hold.

**Attributes**

**initialValue**
An Expression specifying the value of the attribute upon initialization. It is meant to be evaluated at the time the object is initialized. (Note that an explicit constructor may supersede an initial value.)
Associations

**associationEnd**

Designates the optional AssociationEnd that owns a qualifier attribute. Note that an attribute may be part of an AssociationEnd (in which case it is a qualifier) or part of a Classifier (by inheritance from Feature, in which case it is a feature) but not both. If the value is empty, the attribute is not a qualifier attribute.

2.5.2.7 BehavioralFeature

A behavioral feature refers to a dynamic feature of a model element, such as an operation or method.

In the metamodel, a BehavioralFeature specifies a behavioral aspect of a Classifier. All different kinds of behavioral aspects of a Classifier, such as Operation and Method, are subclasses of BehavioralFeature. BehavioralFeature is an abstract metaclass.

Attributes

**isQuery**

Specifies whether an execution of the Feature leaves the state of the system unchanged. True indicates that the state is unchanged; false indicates that side-effects may occur.

**name**

(Inherited from ModelElement) The name of the Feature. The entire signature of the Feature (name and parameter list) must be unique within its containing Classifier.

Associations

**parameter**

An ordered list of Parameters for the Operation. To call the Operation, the caller must supply a list of values compatible with the types of the Parameters.

Stereotypes

- **«create»**
  Specifies that the designated feature creates an instance of the classifier to which the feature is attached. May be promoted to the Classifier containing the feature.

- **«destroy»**
  Specifies that the designated feature destroys an instance of the classifier to which the feature is attached. May be promoted to the classifier containing the feature.

2.5.2.8 Binding

A binding is a relationship between a template (as supplier) and a model element generated from the template (as client). It includes a list of arguments that match the template parameters. The template is a form that is cloned and modified by substitution
to yield an implicit model fragment that behaves as if it were a direct part of the model. A Binding must have one supplier and one client; unlike a general Dependency, the supplier and client may not be sets.

In the metamodel, a Binding is a Dependency where the supplier is the template and the client is the instantiation of the template that performs the substitution of parameters of a template. A Binding has a list of arguments that replace the parameters of the supplier to yield the client. The client is fully specified by the binding of the supplier’s parameters and does not add any information of its own. An element may participate as a supplier in multiple Binding relationships to different clients. An element may participate in only one Binding relationship as a client.

**Associations**

**Argument**

An ordered list of arguments. Each argument is a TemplateArgument element. The model element attached to the TemplateArgument by the modelElement association replaces the corresponding supplier parameter in the supplier definition, and the result represents the definition of the client as if it had been defined directly.

**2.5.2.9 Class**

A class is a description of a set of objects that share the same attributes, operations, methods, relationships, and semantics. A class may use a set of interfaces to specify collections of operations it provides to its environment.

In the metamodel, a Class describes a set of Objects sharing a collection of Features, including Operations, Attributes and Methods, that are common to the set of Objects. Furthermore, a Class may realize zero or more Interfaces; this means that its full descriptor (see Section 2.5.4.4, “Inheritance,” on page 2-70 for the definition) must contain every Operation from every realized Interface (it may contain additional operations as well).

A Class defines the data structure of Objects, although some Classes may be abstract; that is, no Objects can be created directly from them. Each Object instantiated from a Class contains its own set of values corresponding to the StructuralFeatures declared in the full descriptor. Objects do not contain values corresponding to BehavioralFeatures or class-scope Attributes; all Objects of a Class share the definitions of the BehavioralFeatures from the Class, and they all have access to the single value stored for each class-scope attribute.

**Attributes**

**isActive**

Specifies whether an Object of the Class maintains its own thread of control. If true, then an Object has its own thread of control and runs concurrently with other active Objects. Such a class is informally called an *active class*. If false, then Operations run in the address space and under the control of the active Object that controls the caller. Such a class is informally called a *passive class*. 
Stereotypes

«auxiliary» Specifies a class that supports another more central or fundamental class, typically by implementing secondary logic or control flow. The class that the auxiliary supports may be defined explicitly using a Focus class or implicitly by a dependency relationship. Auxiliary classes are typically used together with Focus classes, and are particularly useful for specifying the secondary business logic or control flow of components during design. See also: «focus».

«focus» Specifies a class that defines the core logic or control flow for one or more auxiliary classes that support it. Support classes may be defined explicitly using Auxiliary classes or implicitly by dependency relationships. Focus classes are typically used together with one or more Auxiliary classes, and are particularly useful for specifying the core business logic or control flow of components during design. See also: «auxiliary».

«implementation» Specifies the implementation of a class in some programming language (for example, C++, Smalltalk, Java) in which an instance may not have more than one class. This is in contrast to Class, for which an instance may have multiple classes at one time and may gain or lose classes over time, and an object (a child of instance) may dynamically have multiple classes.

An Implementation class is said to realize a Type if it provides all of the operations defined for the Type with the same behavior as specified for the Type’s operations. An Implementation Class may realize a number of different Types. Note that the physical attributes and associations of the Implementation class do not have to be the same as those of any Type it realizes and that the Implementation Class may provide methods for its operations in terms of its physical attributes and associations. See also: «type».

«type» Specifies a domain of objects together with the operations applicable to the objects, without defining the physical implementation of those objects. A type may not contain any methods, maintain its own thread of control, or be nested. However, it may have attributes and associations. The associations of a Type are defined solely for the purpose of specifying the behavior of the type’s operations and do not represent the implementation of state data.

Although an object may have at most one Implementation Class, it may conform to multiple different Types. See also: «implementation».

Inherited Features

Class is a GeneralizableElement. The following elements are inherited by a child classifier, in addition to those specified under its parent, Classifier.

isActive The child may be active when the parent is passive, but not vice versa. In most cases, they are the same.
2.5.2.10 Classifier

A classifier is an element that describes behavioral and structural features; it comes in several specific forms, including class, data type, interface, component, artifact, and others that are defined in other metamodel packages.

In the metamodel, a Classifier declares a collection of Features, such as Attributes, Methods, and Operations. It has a name, which is unique in the Namespace enclosing the Classifier. Classifier is an abstract metaclass.

Classifier is a child of GeneralizableElement and Namespace. As a GeneralizableElement, it may inherit Features and participation in Associations (in addition to things inherited as a ModelElement). It also inherits ownership of StateMachines, Collaborations, etc.

As a Namespace, a Classifier may declare other Classifiers nested in its scope. Nested Classifiers may be accessed by other Classifiers only if the nested Classifiers have adequate visibility. There are no data value or state consequences of nested Classifiers (i.e., it is not an aggregation or composition).

Associations

- **feature**: An ordered list of Features, like Attribute, Operation, Method, owned by the Classifier.
- **association**: Denotes the AssociationEnd of an Association in which the Classifier participates at the given end. This is the inverse of the participant association from AssociationEnd. A link of the association contains a reference to an instance of the class in the given position.
- **powertypeRange**: Designates zero or more Generalizations for which the Classifier is a powertype. If the cardinality is zero, then the Classifier is not a powertype; if the cardinality is greater than zero, then the Classifier is a powertype over the set of Generalizations designated by the association, and the child elements of the Generalizations are the instances of the Classifier as a powertype. A Classifier that is a powertype can be marked with the «powertype» stereotype.
- **specifiedEnd**: Indicates an AssociationEnd for which the given Classifier specifies operations that may be applied to instances obtained by traversing the association from the other end. (This relationship does not define the structure of the association, merely operations that may be applied on traversing it.)
Stereotypes

«metaclass» Specifies that the instances of the classifier are classes.

«powertype» Specifies that the classifier is a metaclass whose instances are siblings marked by the same discriminator. For example, the metaclass TreeSpecies might be a power type for the subclasses of Tree that represent different species, such as AppleTree, BananaTree, and CherryTree.

«process» Specifies a classifier that represents a heavy-weight flow of control.

«thread» Specifies a classifier that represents a flow of control.

«utility» Specifies a classifier that has no instances, but rather denotes a named collection of non-member attributes and operations, all of which are class-scoped.

Tagged Values

persistence Persistence denotes the permanence of the state of the classifier, marking it as transitory (its state is destroyed when the instance is destroyed) or persistent (its state is not destroyed when the instance is destroyed).

semantics Semantics is the specification of the meaning of the classifier.

Inherited Features

Classifier is a GeneralizableElement. The following elements are inherited by a child classifier. Note that inheritance makes the inherited elements part of the (virtual) full descriptor of the classifier, but it does not change its actual data structure. See the explanation for the meaning of each kind of inheritance.

associationEnd The child class inherits participation in all associations of its parent, subject to all the same properties.

constraint Constraints on the parent apply to the child.
Non-Inherited Features

The following elements are not inherited by a child classifier:

- **isRoot**
  - By their very nature, these are not inherited.

- **isLeaf**
  - By their very nature, these are not inherited.

- **isAbstract**
  - By their very nature, these are not inherited.

- **name**
  - Each classifier has its own unique name.

- **parameter**
  - Template structure is not inherited. Each classifier must declare its own template structure, if any. A non-template can be child of a template and vice versa.

- **powertypeRange**
  - A powertype corresponds to a particular node in the generalization hierarchy, so it is not inherited.

### 2.5.2.11 Comment

A comment is an annotation attached to a model element or a set of model elements. It has no semantic force but may contain information useful to the modeler.

**Attributes**

- **body**
  - A string that is the comment.
Associations

annotatedElement A ModelElement or set of ModelElements described by the Comment.

Stereotypes

«requirement» Specifies a desired feature, property, or behavior of an element as part of a system.

«responsibility» Specifies a contract or an obligation of an element in its relationship to other elements.

2.5.2.12 Component

A component represents a modular, deployable, and replaceable part of a system that encapsulates implementation and exposes a set of interfaces.

A component is typically specified by one or more classifiers that reside on the component. A subset of these classifiers explicitly defines the component’s external interfaces. A component conforms to the interfaces that it exposes, where the interfaces represent services provided by elements that reside on the component. A component may be implemented by one or more artifacts, such as binary, executable, or script files. A component may be deployed on a node.

Components may be specified in both design models (for example, using static structure diagrams) and in implementation models (for example, using implementation diagrams). When they are specified as part of a design model components need not be allocated to nodes, nor do they need to have any associated implementation artifacts.

In the metamodel, a Component is a child of Classifier. It does not have its own Features, but instead acts as a container for other Classifiers that have Features. A Component is specified by the Interfaces it exposes and the Classifiers that reside on it. The visibility attribute of the ElementResidence association defines whether a resident element is visible outside the Component: an external Interface of a Component has visibility value ‘public.’ A Component may be implemented by one or more Artifacts, and may be deployed on a Node.

Associations

deploymentLocation The set of Nodes the Component is residing on.

resident Association class ElementResidence - The set of model elements that specify the component. The visibility attribute shows the external visibility of the element outside the component: an external Interface of a Component has visibility = ‘public’ for its ElementResidence association.

implementation The set of Artifacts that implement the Component. For a Component, these Artifacts are generally «executable». 
**Inherited Features**

The following elements are inherited by a child Component, in addition to those specified under Classifier.

(none)

**Non-Inherited Features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>deploymentLocation</th>
<th>The set of locations may differ. Often it is more restrictive on the child.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>resident</td>
<td>The set of resident elements may differ. Often it is more restrictive on the child and contains additional elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implementation</td>
<td>The set of Artifacts that implement the child Component will usually differ.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.5.2.13 Constraint**

A constraint is a semantic condition or restriction expressed in text.

In the metamodel, a Constraint is a BooleanExpression on an associated ModelElement(s), which must be true for the model to be well formed. This restriction can be stated in natural language, or in different kinds of languages with a well-defined semantics. Certain Constraints are predefined in the UML, others may be user defined. Note that a Constraint is an assertion, not an executable mechanism. It indicates a restriction that must be enforced by correct design of a system.

**Attributes**

| body | A BooleanExpression that must be true when evaluated for an instance of a system to be well-formed. |

**Associations**

| constrainedElement | A ModelElement or list of ModelElements affected by the Constraint. If the constrained element is a Stereotype, then the constraint applies to all ModelElements that use the stereotype. |
Stereotypes

«invariant» Specifies a constraint that must be attached to a set of classifiers or relationships. It indicates that the conditions of the constraint must hold over time (for the time period of concern in the particular containing element) for the classifiers or relationships and their instances.

«postcondition» Specifies a constraint that must be attached to an operation, and denotes that the conditions of the constraint must hold after the invocation of the operation.

«precondition» Specifies a constraint that must be attached to an operation, and denotes that the conditions of the constraint must hold for the invocation of the operation.

«stateInvariant» Specifies a constraint that must be attached to a state vertex in a state machine that has a classifier for a context. The stereotype indicates that the constraint holds for instances of the classifier when an instance is in that state.

2.5.2.14 DataType

A data type is a type whose values have no identity; that is, they are pure values. Data types include primitive built-in types (such as integer and string) as well as definable enumeration types (such as the predefined enumeration type boolean whose literals are false and true).

In the metamodel, a DataType defines a special kind of Classifier in which Operations are all pure functions; that is, they can return DataValues but they cannot change DataValues, because they have no identity. For example, an “add” operation on a number with another number as an argument yields a third number as a result; the target and argument are unchanged.

Inherited Features

DataType inherits features as specified in Classifier.

2.5.2.15 Dependency

A term of convenience for a Relationship other than Association, Generalization, Flow, or metarelationship (such as the relationship between a Classifier and one of its Instances).

A dependency states that the implementation or functioning of one or more elements requires the presence of one or more other elements.

In the metamodel, a Dependency is a directed relationship from a client (or clients) to a supplier (or suppliers) stating that the client is dependent on the supplier; that is, the client element requires the presence and knowledge of the supplier element.

The kinds of Dependency are Abstraction, Binding, Permission, and Usage. Various stereotypes of those elements are predefined.
**Associations**

*client*  
The element that is affected by the supplier element. In some cases (such as a Trace Abstraction) the direction is unimportant and serves only to distinguish the two elements.

*supplier*  
Inverse of client. Designates the element that is unaffected by a change. In a two-way relationship (such as some Refinement Abstractions) this would be the more general element. In an undirected situation, such as a Trace Abstraction, the choice of client and supplier may be irrelevant.

**2.5.2.16 Element**

An element is an atomic constituent of a model.

In the metamodel, an Element is the top metaclass in the metaclass hierarchy. It has two subclasses: ModelElement and PresentationElement. Element is an abstract metaclass.

**Tagged Values**

*documentation*  
Documentation is a comment, description, or explanation of the element to which it is attached.

**2.5.2.17 ElementOwnership**

Element ownership defines the visibility of a ModelElement contained in a Namespace.

In the metamodel, ElementOwnership reifies the relationship between ModelElement and Namespace denoting the ownership of a ModelElement by a Namespace and its visibility outside the Namespace. See Section 2.5.2.27, “ModelElement,” on page 2-42.
Attributes

isSpecification

Specifies whether the ownedElement is part of the specification for the containing namespace (in cases where specification is distinguished from the realization). Otherwise the ownedElement is part of the realization. In cases in which the distinction is not made, the value is false by default.

visibility

Specifies whether the ModelElement can be seen and referenced by other ModelElements.

Possibilities include:
- public - Any outside ModelElement can see the ModelElement.
- protected - Any descendant of the ModelElement can see the ModelElement.
- private - Only the ModelElement itself, or elements nested within it can see the ModelElement.
- package - ModelElements declared in the same package (or a nested subpackage, to any level) as the given ModelElement can see the ModelElement.

Note that use of an element in another Package may also be subject to access or import of its Package as described in Model Management; see Permission.

2.5.2.18 ElementResidence

Association class between Component and ModelElement that defines the set of ModelElements that specify a Component. See Component::resident in Section 2.5.2.12, “Component,” on page 2-31. Shows that the component supports the element. The visibility attribute of ElementResidence defines the visibility of a resident element outside the component: an external Interface of a Component has visibility = ‘public’ for its ElementResidence association.

Attributes

visibility

Specifies whether a ModelElement that resides in a Component is visible externally. Possible values for ElementResidence visibility are:

- public - Any resident ModelElement with public visibility is part of the Component’s external Interface and can be used by other elements, if they have permission to access or import the Component.
- private - The ModelElement is internal to the Component and cannot be used by external elements.
- protected - The ModelElement is only visible to Descendant Components.

Note: the visibility values ‘package’ does not apply to Element Residence visibility. The Component and its residents have ElementOwnership associations with visibility values to the Package that contains them.
2.5.2.19 **Enumeration**

In the metamodel, **Enumeration** defines a kind of **DataType** whose range is a list of predefined values, called **enumeration literals**.

**Enumeration literals** can be copied, stored as values, and passed as arguments. They are ordered within their enumeration datatypes. An enumeration literal can be compared for an exact match or to a range within its enumeration datatype. There is no other algebra defined on them (e.g., they cannot be added or subtracted).

The run-time instances of a Primitive datatype are **Values**. Each such value corresponds to exactly one **EnumerationLiteral** defined as part of the Enumeration type itself.

An Enumeration may have operations, but they must be pure functions (this is the rule for all **DataType** elements).

**Associations**

| literal | An ordered set of **EnumerationLiteral** elements, each specifying a possible value of an instance of the enumeration element. |

2.5.2.20 **EnumerationLiteral**

An **EnumerationLiteral** defines an element of the run-time extension of an **Enumeration** data type. It has no relevant substructure, that is, it is atomic. The enumeration literals of a particular **Enumeration** datatype are ordered.

It has a name (inherited from **ModelElement**) that can be used to identify it within its enumeration datatype.

Note that an **EnumerationLiteral** is a **ModelElement** and may appear in (M1) models to define the structure of an **Enumeration**. In a run-time (M0) system, enumeration literals are **DataValues** in many-to-one correspondence to **EnumerationLiterals** that they represent. (This is a subtle but necessary distinction between M1 and M0 entities.)

The run-time values corresponding to enumeration literals can be compared for equality and for relative ordering or inclusion in a range of enumeration literals.

**Associations**

| enumeration | The enumeration classifier of which this enumeration literal is an instance. |

2.5.2.21 **Feature**

A **feature** is a property, like operation or attribute, which is encapsulated within a **Classifier**.

In the metamodel, a **Feature** declares a behavioral or structural characteristic of an Instance of a **Classifier** or of the **Classifier** itself. **Feature** is an abstract metaclass.
2.5.2.22 Flow

A flow is a relationship between two versions of an object or between an object and a copy of it.

In the metamodel, a Flow is a child of Relationship. A Flow is a directed relationship from a source or sources to a target or targets.

Predefined stereotypes of Flow are «become» and «copy». Become relates one version of an object to another with a different value, state, or location. Copy relates an object to another object that starts as a copy of it.
Stereotypes

«become» Specifies a Flow relationship, source and target of which represent the same instance at different points in time, but each with potentially different values, state instance, and roles. A Become flow relationship from A to B means that instance A becomes B with possibly new values, state instance, and roles at a different moment in time/space.

«copy» Specifies a Flow relationship, the source and target of which are different instances, but each with the same values, state instance, and roles (but a distinct identity). A Copy flow relationship from A to B means that B is an exact copy of A. Future changes in A are not necessarily reflected in B.

2.5.2.23 GeneralizableElement

A generalizable element is a model element that may participate in a generalization relationship.

In the metamodel, a GeneralizableElement can be a generalization of other GeneralizableElements; that is, all Features defined in and all ModelElements contained in the ancestors are also present in the GeneralizableElement. GeneralizableElement is an abstract metaclass.

Attributes

isAbstract Specifies whether the GeneralizableElement may not have a direct instance. True indicates that an instance of the GeneralizableElement must be an instance of a child of the GeneralizableElement. False indicates that there may be an instance of the GeneralizableElement that is not an instance of a child. An abstract GeneralizableElement is not instantiable since it does not contain all necessary information. That is, it may not have a direct instance. It may have an indirect instance, and a model at a higher level of abstraction may include instances of an abstract type, with the understanding that in a fully expanded concrete snapshot, such instances would have concrete types that are descendants of the abstract types.

isLeaf Specifies whether the GeneralizableElement is a GeneralizableElement with no descendants. True indicates that it may not have descendants, false indicates that it may have descendants (whether or not it actually has any descendants at the moment).

isRoot Specifies whether the GeneralizableElement is a root GeneralizableElement with no ancestors. True indicates that it may not have ancestors, false indicates that it may have ancestors (whether or not it actually has any ancestors at the moment).
Associations

**generalization**
Designates a Generalization whose parent GeneralizableElement is the immediate ancestor of the current GeneralizableElement.

**specialization**
Designates a Generalization whose child GeneralizableElement is the immediate descendant of the current GeneralizableElement.

**Inherited Features**
The following elements are inherited by a child GeneralizableElement.

**constraint**
All constraints on the parent apply to the child.

**Non-Inherited Features**

**isRoot**
Not inheritable by their very nature, but they define the generalization structure. IsRoot may be true only if there are no parents. IsLeaf may be true only if there are no children.

**isLeaf**

**isAbstract**

**name**
Each model element has a unique name.

### 2.5.2.24 Generalization
A generalization is a taxonomic relationship between a more general element and a more specific element. The more specific element is fully consistent with the more general element (it has all of its properties, members, and relationships) and may contain additional information.

In the metamodel, a Generalization is a directed inheritance relationship, uniting a GeneralizableElement with a more general GeneralizableElement in a hierarchy. Generalization is a subtyping relationship; that is, an Instance of the more general GeneralizableElement may be substituted by an Instance of the more specific GeneralizableElement. See Inheritance for the consequences of Generalization relationships.
Attributes

discriminator

Designates the partition to which the Generalization link belongs. All of the Generalization links that share a given parent GeneralizableElement are divided into disjoint sets (that is, partitions) by their discriminator names. Each partition (a set of links sharing a discriminator name) represents an orthogonal dimension of specialization of the parent GeneralizableElement. The discriminator need not be unique. The empty string is also considered as a partition name; therefore all Generalization links have a discriminator. If the set of Generalization links that have the same parent all have the same name, then the children in the Generalization links are GeneralizableElements that specialize the parent, and an instance of any of them is a legal instance of the parent. Otherwise an indirect instance of the parent must be a (direct or indirect) instance of at least one element from each of the partitions.

Associations

child

Designates a GeneralizableElement that is the specialized version of the parent GeneralizableElement.

parent

Designates a GeneralizableElement that is the generalized version of the child GeneralizableElement.

powertype

Designates a Classifier that serves as a powertype for the child element along the dimension of generalization expressed by the Generalization. The child element is therefore an instance of the powertype element.

Stereotypes

«implementation»

Specifies that the child inherits the implementation of the parent (its attributes, operations, and methods) but does not make public the supplier's interfaces nor guarantee to support them, thereby violating substitutability. This is private inheritance and is usually used only for programming implementation purposes.
Standard Constraints

complete Specifies a constraint applied to a set of generalizations with the same discriminator and the same parent, indicating that any instance of the parent must be an instance of at least one child within the set of generalizations. If a parent has a single discriminator, the set of its child generalizations being complete implies that the parent is abstract. The connotation of declaring a set of generalizations complete is that all of the children with the given discriminator have been declared and that additional ones are not expected (in other words, the set of generalizations is closed), and designs may assume with some confidence that the set of children is fixed. If a new child is nevertheless added in the future, existing models may be adversely affected and may require modification.

disjoint Specifies a constraint applied to a set of generalizations, indicating that instance of the parent may be an instance of no more than one of the given children within the set of generalizations. This is the default semantics of generalization.

incomplete Specifies a constraint applied to a set of generalizations with the same discriminator, indicating that an instance of the parent need not be an instance of a child within the set (but there is no guarantee that such an instance will actually exist). Being incomplete implies that the parent is concrete. The connotation of declaring a set of generalizations incomplete is that all of the children with the given discriminator have not necessarily been declared and that additional ones might be added; therefore, users should not count on the set of children being fixed.

overlapping Specifies a constraint applied to a set of generalizations, indicating that an instance of one child may be simultaneously an instance of another child in the set (but there is no guarantee that such an instance will actually exist).

2.5.2.25 Interface

An interface is a named set of operations that characterize the behavior of an element.

In the metamodel, an Interface contains a set of Operations that together define a service offered by a Classifier realizing the Interface. A Classifier may offer several services, which means that it may realize several Interfaces, and several Classifiers may realize the same Interface.

Interfaces are GeneralizableElements.

Interfaces may not have Attributes, Associations, or Methods. An Interface may participate in an Association provided the Interface cannot see the Association; that is, a Classifier (other than an Interface) may have an Association to an Interface that is navigable from the Classifier but not from the Interface.

Inherited Features

Interface inherits features as specified in Classifier.
2.5.2.26 Method

A method is the implementation of an operation. It specifies the algorithm or procedure that effects the results of an operation.

In the metamodel, a Method is a declaration of a named piece of behavior in a Classifier and realizes one (directly) or a set (indirectly) of Operations of the Classifier.

There may be at most one method for a particular classifier (as owner of the method) and operation (as specification of the method) pairing.

Attributes

body
The implementation of the Method as a ProcedureExpression.

specification
Designates an Operation that the Method implements. The Operation must be owned by the Classifier that owns the Method or be inherited by it. The signatures of the Operation and Method must match.

2.5.2.27 ModelElement

A model element is an element that is an abstraction drawn from the system being modeled. Contrast with view element, which is an element whose purpose is to provide a presentation of information for human comprehension.

In the metamodel, a ModelElement is a named entity in a Model. It is the base for all modeling metaclasses in the UML. All other modeling metaclasses are either direct or indirect subclasses of ModelElement.

Each ModelElement can be regarded as a template. A template has a set of templateParameters that denotes which of the parts of a ModelElement are the template parameters. A ModelElement is a template when there is at least one template parameter. If it is not a template, a ModelElement cannot have template parameters. However, such embedded parameters are not usually complete and need not satisfy well-formedness rules. It is the arguments supplied when the template is instantiated that must be well formed.

Partially instantiated templates are allowed. This is the case when there are arguments provided for some, but not all templateParameters. A partially instantiated template is still a template, since it still has parameters.

Attributes

name
An identifier for the ModelElement within its containing Namespace.
**Associations**

*asArgument* Indicates zero or more TemplateArgument for which the model element is an argument in a template binding.

*clientDependency* Inverse of client. Designates a set of Dependency in which the ModelElement is a client.

*constraint* A set of Constraints affecting the element.

*implementationLocation* The component that an implemented model element resides in.

*namespace* Designates the Namespace that contains the ModelElement. Every ModelElement except a root element must belong to exactly one Namespace or else be a composite part of another ModelElement (which is a kind of virtual namespace). The pathname of Namespace or ModelElement names starting from the root package provides a unique designation for every ModelElement. The association attribute visibility specifies the visibility of the element outside its namespace (see Section 2.5.2.17, “ElementOwnership,” on page 2-34).

*presentation* A set of PresentationElements that present a view of the ModelElement.

*supplierDependency* Inverse of supplier. Designates a set of Dependency in which the ModelElement is a supplier.

*templateParameter* (association class TemplateParameter) A composite aggregation ordered list of parameters. Each parameter is a dummy ModelElement designated as a placeholder for a real ModelElement to be substituted during a binding of the template (see Section 2.5.2.8, “Binding,” on page 2-25). The real model element must be of the same kind (or a descendant kind) as the dummy ModelElement. The properties of the dummy ModelElement are ignored, except the name of the dummy element is used as the name of the template parameter. The association class TemplateParameter may be associated with a default ModelElement of the same kind as the dummy ModelElement. In the case of a Binding that does not supply an argument corresponding to the parameter, the value of the default ModelElement is used. If a Binding lacks an argument and there is no default ModelElement, the construct is invalid.

Note that the template parameter element lacks structure. For example, a parameter that is a Class lacks Features; they are found in the actual argument.

Note that if a ModelElement has at least one templateParameter, then it is a template; otherwise, it is an ordinary element.
Tagged Values

derived

A true value indicates that the model element can be completely derived from other model elements and is therefore logically redundant. In an analysis model, the element may be included to define a useful name or concept. In a design model, the usual intent is that the element should exist in the implementation to avoid the need for recomputation.

Inherited Features

ModelElement is not a GeneralizableElement but some of its descendants are. The following elements are inherited by children of elements that are GeneralizableElements.

constraint

The child is subject to all constraints of the parent.

presentation

The child is, by default, presented the same as the parent, but the presentation may be overridden.

stereotype

If a model element is classified by a stereotype, then its children are also classified by the stereotype. They may use the tags defined on the stereotype and they are subject to constraints imposed by the stereotype.

taggedValue

If a tag is defined to apply to a model element (for example, because it is classified by a stereotype defining the tag), then the tag applies to children of the model element.

Non-Inherited Features

clientDependency

A general inheritance rule is not possible

supplierDependency

deploymentLocation

The set of locations may differ. Often it is more restrictive on the child.

implementationLocation

The child may be implemented differently from the parent.

name

Each model element has its own name. Names are not inherited.

namespace

The child and the parent may be in different namespaces.

templateParameter

A parent and child may have different template structure.

2.5.2.28 Namespace

A namespace is a part of a model that contains a set of ModelElements each of whose names designates a unique element within the namespace.

In the metamodel, a Namespace is a ModelElement that can own other ModelElements, like Associations and Classifiers. The name of each owned ModelElement must be unique within the Namespace. Moreover, each contained
ModelElement is owned by at most one Namespace. The concrete subclasses of Namespace have additional constraints on which kind of elements may be contained. Namespace is an abstract metaclass.

Note that explicit parts of a model element, such as the features of a Classifier, are not modeled as owned elements in a namespace. A namespace is used for unstructured contents such as the contents of a package or a class declared inside the scope of another class.

**Associations**

ownedElement

association class ElementOwnership - A set of ModelElements owned by the Namespace. Its visibility attribute states whether the element is visible outside the namespace.

2.5.2.29 **Node**

A node is a run-time physical object that represents a computational resource, generally having at least a memory and often processing capability as well, and upon which components may be deployed.

In the metamodel, a Node is a subclass of Classifier. It is associated with a set of Components that are deployed on the Node.

**Associations**

deployedComponent

The set of Components deployed on the Node.

**Inherited Features**

The following elements are inherited by a child Node, in addition to those specified under Classifier.

(None)

**Non-Inherited Features**

resident

The set of resident elements may differ. Often it is more restrictive on the child.

2.5.2.30 **Operation**

An operation is a service that can be requested from an object to effect behavior. An operation has a signature, which describes the actual parameters that are possible (including possible return values).

In the metamodel, an Operation is a BehavioralFeature that can be applied to the Instances of the Classifier that contains the Operation.
Attributes

concurrency Specifies the semantics of concurrent calls to the same passive instance; that is, an Instance originating from a Classifier with isActive=false. Active instances control access to their own Operations so this property is usually (although not required in UML) set to sequential. Possibilities include:

- sequential - Callers must coordinate so that only one call to an Instance (on any sequential Operation) may be outstanding at once. If simultaneous calls occur, then the semantics and integrity of the system cannot be guaranteed.

- guarded - Multiple calls from concurrent threads may occur simultaneously to one Instance (on any guarded Operation), but only one is allowed to commence. The others are blocked until the performance of the first Operation is complete. It is the responsibility of the system designer to ensure that deadlocks do not occur due to simultaneous blocks. Guarded Operations must perform correctly (or block themselves) in the case of a simultaneous sequential Operation or guarded semantics cannot be claimed.

- concurrent - Multiple calls from concurrent threads may occur simultaneously to one Instance (on any concurrent Operation). All of them may proceed concurrently with correct semantics. Concurrent Operations must perform correctly in the case of a simultaneous sequential or guarded Operation or concurrent semantics cannot be claimed.

isAbstract If true, then the operation does not have an implementation, and one must be supplied by a descendant. If false, the operation must have an implementation in the class or inherited from an ancestor.

isLeaf If true, then the implementation of the operation may not be overridden by a descendant class. If false, then the implementation of the operation may be overridden by a descendant class (but it need not be overridden).

isRoot If true, then the class must not inherit a declaration of the same operation. If false, then the class may (but need not) inherit a declaration of the same operation. (But the declaration must match in any case; a class may not modify an inherited operation declaration.)

Tagged Values

semantics Semantics is the specification of the meaning of the operation.

2.5.2.31 Parameter

A parameter is an unbound variable that can be changed, passed, or returned. A parameter may include a name, type, and direction of communication. Parameters are used in the specification of operations, messages and events, templates, etc.
In the metamodel, a Parameter is a declaration of an argument to be passed to, or returned from, an Operation, a Signal, etc.

**Attributes**

**defaultValue**
An Expression whose evaluation yields a value to be used when no argument is supplied for the Parameter.

**kind**
Specifies what kind of a Parameter is required. Possibilities are:

- **in** - An input Parameter (may not be modified).
- **out** - An output Parameter (may be modified to communicate information to the caller).
- **inout** - An input Parameter that may be modified.
- **return** - A return value of a call.

**name**
(Inherited from ModelElement) The name of the Parameter, which must be unique within its containing Parameter list.

**Associations**

**type**
Designates a Classifier to which an argument value must conform.

### 2.5.2.32 Permission

Permission is a kind of dependency. It grants a model element permission to access elements in another namespace.

In the metamodel, Permission in a Dependency between a client ModelElement and a supplier ModelElement. The client receives permission to reference the supplier's contents. The supplier must be a Namespace.

The predefined stereotypes of Permission are access, import, and friend.

In the case of the access and import stereotypes, the client is granted permission to reference elements in the supplier namespace with public visibility. In the case of the import stereotype, the public names in the supplier namespace are added to the client namespace. An element may also access any protected contents of an ancestor namespace. An element may also access any contents (public, protected, private, or package) of its own namespace or a containing namespace.

In the case of the friend stereotype, the client is granted permission to reference elements in the supplier namespace, regardless of visibility.
Stereotypes

«access»  Access is a stereotyped permission dependency between two namespaces, denoting that the public contents of the target namespace are accessible to the namespace of the source package.

«friend»  Friend is a stereotyped permission dependency whose source is a model element, such as an operation, class, or package, and whose target is a model element in a different package, such as an operation, class or package. A friend relationship grants the source access to the target regardless of the declared visibility. It extends the visibility of the supplier so that the client can see into the supplier.

«import»  Import is a stereotyped permission dependency between two namespaces, denoting that the public contents of the target package are added to the namespace of the source package.

2.5.2.33 PresentationElement

A presentation element is a textual or graphical presentation of one or more model elements.

In the metamodel, a PresentationElement is an Element that presents a set of ModelElements to a reader. It is the base for all metaclasses used for presentation. All other metaclasses with this purpose are either direct or indirect subclasses of PresentationElement. PresentationElement is an abstract metaclass. The subclasses of this class are proper to a graphic editor tool and are not specified here. It is a stub for their future definition.

2.5.2.34 Primitive

A Primitive defines a predefined DataType, without any relevant UML substructure; that is, it has no UML parts. A primitive datatype may have an algebra and operations defined outside of UML (for example, mathematically). Primitive datatypes used in UML itself include Integer, UnlimitedInteger, and String.

The run-time instances of a Primitive datatype are DataValues. The values are in many-to-one correspondence to mathematical elements defined outside of UML (for example, the various integers).

2.5.2.35 ProgrammingLanguageDataType

A data type is a type whose values have no identity (i.e., they are pure values). A programming language data type is a data type specified according to the semantics of a particular programming language, using constructs available in that language. There are a wide variety of programming languages and many of them include type constructs not included as UML classifiers. In some cases, it is important to represent those constructs such that their exact form in the programming language is available. The ProgrammingLanguageDataType captures such programming language types in a language-dependent fashion. They are represented by the name of the language and a string characterizing them, subject to interpretation by the particular language. Because
they are dependent on particular languages, they are not portable among languages (except by agreement among the languages) and they do not map into other UML classifiers. Their semantics is therefore opaque within UML except by special interpretation by a profile intended for the particular language.

Note that many or most programming language types can be directly represented using other UML classifiers, and such representation makes available deeper semantic analysis.

A ProgrammingLanguageDataType may omit its name. Two ProgrammingLanguageDataType elements without names are not considered equivalent.

Attributes

expression An expression for the ProgrammingLanguageDataType expressed in its particular programming language.

Inherited Features

ProgrammingLanguageDataType is meant to define language-dependent constructs for which inheritance properties are undefined in UML.

2.5.2.36 Relationship

A relationship is a connection among model elements.

In the metamodel, Relationship is a term of convenience without any specific semantics. It is abstract.

Children of Relationship are Association, Dependency, Flow, and Generalization.

2.5.2.37 StructuralFeature

A structural feature refers to a static feature of a model element, such as an attribute.

In the metamodel, a StructuralFeature declares a structural aspect of an Instance of a Classifier, such as an Attribute. For example, it specifies the multiplicity and changeability of the StructuralFeature. StructuralFeature is an abstract metaclass.
Attributes

changeability  Whether the value may be modified after the object is created.

Possibilities are:
- changeable - No restrictions on modification.
- frozen - The value may not be altered after the object is instantiated and its values initialized. No additional values may be added to a set.
- addOnly - Meaningful only if the multiplicity is not fixed to a single value. Additional values may be added to the set of values, but once created a value may not be removed or altered.

multiplicity  The possible number of data values for the feature that may be held by an instance. The cardinality of the set of values is an implicit part of the feature. In the common case in which the multiplicity is 1..1, then the feature is a scalar; that is, it holds exactly one value.

ordering  Specifies whether the set of instances is ordered. The ordering must be determined and maintained by Operations that add values to the feature. This property is only relevant if the multiplicity is greater than one.

Possibilities are:
- unordered - The instances form a set with no inherent ordering.
- ordered - A set of ordered instances can be scanned in order.
- Other possibilities (such as sorted) may be defined later by declaring additional keywords. As with user-defined stereotypes, this would be a private extension supported by particular editing tools.

targetScope  Specifies whether the targets are ordinary Instances or are Classifiers.

Possibilities are:
- instance - Each value contains a reference to an Instance of the target Classifier. This is the setting for a normal Attribute.
- classifier - Each value contains a reference to the target Classifier itself. This represents a way to store meta-information.

Associations

type  Designates the classifier whose instances are values of the feature. Must be a Class, Interface, or DataType. The actual type may be a descendant of the declared type or (for an Interface) a Class that realizes the declared type.

Tagged Values

persistence  Persistence denotes the permanence of the state of the feature, marking it as transitory (its state is destroyed when the instance is destroyed) or persistent (its state is not destroyed when the instance is destroyed).
2.5.2.38 TemplateArgument

Reifies the relationship between a Binding and one of its arguments (a ModelElement).

Associations

- binding: The Binding that owns the template argument.
- modelElement: The actual argument for the subject Binding.

2.5.2.39 TemplateParameter

Defines the relationship between a template (a ModelElement) and its parameter (a ModelElement). A ModelElement with at least one templateParameter association is a template (by definition).

In the metamodel, TemplateParameter reifies the relationship between a ModelElement that is a template and a ModelElement that is a dummy placeholder for a template argument. See association templateParameter in Section 2.5.2.27, “ModelElement,” on page 2-42 for details.

Associations

- defaultElement: An optional default value ModelElement. In case of a Binding of the template ModelElement in the reified TemplateParameter class association, the defaultElement is used as the argument of the bound element if no argument is supplied for the corresponding template parameter. If no argument is supplied and there is no default value, the model is ill formed.

2.5.2.40 Usage

A usage is a relationship in which one element requires another element (or set of elements) for its full implementation or operation. The relationship is not a mere historical artifact, but an ongoing need; therefore, two elements related by usage must be in the same model.

In the metamodel, a Usage is a Dependency in which the client requires the presence of the supplier. How the client uses the supplier, such as a class calling an operation of another class, a method having an argument of another class, and a method from a class instantiating another class, is defined in the description of the particular Usage stereotype.

Various stereotypes of Usage are predefined, but the set is open-ended and may be added to.
Stereotypes

«call» Call is a stereotyped usage dependency whose source is an operation and whose target is an operation. The relationship may also be subsumed to the class containing an operation, with the meaning that there exists an operation in the class to which the dependency applies. A call dependency specifies that the source operation or an operation in the source class invokes the target operation or an operation in the target class. A call dependency may connect a source operation to any target operation that is within scope including, but not limited to, operations of the enclosing classifier and operations of other visible classifiers.

«create» Create is a stereotyped usage dependency denoting that the client classifier creates instances of the supplier classifier.

«instantiate» A stereotyped usage dependency among classifiers indicating that operations on the client create instances of the supplier.

«send» Send is a stereotyped usage dependency whose source is an operation and whose target is a signal, specifying that the source sends the target signal.

2.5.3 Well-Formedness Rules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Core package.

2.5.3.1 Association

[1] The AssociationEnds must have a unique name within the Association.

\[ self.allConnections->forall( r1, r2 | r1.name = r2.name \Rightarrow r1 = r2 ) \]

[2] At most one AssociationEnd may be an aggregation or composition.

\[ self.allConnections->select(aggregation <#none)->size <= 1 \]

[3] If an Association has three or more AssociationEnds, then no AssociationEnd may be an aggregation or composition.

\[ self.allConnections->size >=3 \Rightarrow self.allConnections->forall(aggregation = #none) \]

[4] The connected Classifiers of the AssociationEnds should be included in the Namespace of the Association, or be Classifiers with public visibility in other Namespaces to which the Namespace of the Association has “access” Permissions.

\[ self.allConnections->forall(r | self.namespace.allContents->includes(r.participant) ) \] or

\[ self.allConnections->forall(r | self.namespace.allContents->excludes(r.participant) \Rightarrow self.namespace.clientDependency->exists (d | doclIsTypeOf(Permission) and d.stereotype.name = 'access' and \]

...
d.supplier.oclAsType(Namespace).ownedElement->select (e | e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public)->includes (r.participant) or
  d.supplier.oclAsType(GeneralizableElement).
  allParents.oclAsType(Namespace).ownedElement->select (e | e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public)->includes (r.participant) or
  d.supplier.oclAsType(Package).allImportedElements->select (e | e.elementImport.visibility = #public) ->includes (r.participant) ) )

**Additional operations**

[1] The operation `allConnections` results in the set of all AssociationEnds of the Association.

\[
\text{allConnections} : \text{Set(AssociationEnd)}; \\
\text{allConnections} = \text{self.connection}
\]

### 2.5.3.2 AssociationClass


\[
\text{self.allConnections->forAll( ar | self.allFeatures->forAll( f | f.oclIsKindOf(StructuralFeature) implies ar.name <> f.name ))}
\]

[2] An AssociationClass cannot be defined between itself and something else.

\[
\text{self.allConnections->forAll(ar | ar.participant <> self)}
\]

**Additional operations**

[1] The operation `allConnections` results in the set of all AssociationEnds of the AssociationClass, including all connections defined by its parent (transitive closure).

\[
\text{allConnections} : \text{Set(AssociationEnd)}; \\
\text{allConnections} = \text{self.connection->union(self.parent->select (s | s.oclIsKindOf(Association))->collect (a : Association | a.allConnections))->asSet}
\]

### 2.5.3.3 AssociationEnd

[1] The Classifier of an AssociationEnd cannot be an Interface or a DataType if the association is navigable away from that end.

\[
(\text{self.participant.oclIsKindOf(Interface) or self.participant.oclIsKindOf(DataType)} \implies \text{self.association.connection->select (ae | ae <> self)->forAll(ae | ae.isNavigable = #false)}
\]
2.5.3.4 Attribute

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.5 BehavioralFeature

[1] All Parameters should have a unique name.

\[
\text{self.parameter->forAll}(p1, p2 \mid p1\text{.name} = p2\text{.name} \implies p1 = p2)
\]

[2] The type of the Parameters should be included in the Namespace of the Classifier.

\[
\text{self.parameter->forAll(} \ p \ |
\text{self.owner.namespace.allContents->includes (} p\text{.type) })
\]

Additional operations

[1] The operation hasSameSignature checks if the argument has the same signature as the instance itself.

\[
\text{hasSameSignature (} b \ : \ \text{BehavioralFeature} \ ) : \ \text{Boolean;}
\]

\[
\text{hasSameSignature (} b) =
\]

\[
\text{(self.name = b.name) and (self.parameter->size = b.parameter->size) and Sequence\{ 1..(self.parameter->size) \}->forAll( index : Integer | b.parameter->at(index).type = self.parameter->at(index).type and b.parameter->at(index).kind = self.parameter->at(index).kind )}
\]

[2] The operation matchesSignature checks if the argument has a signature that would clash with the signature of the instance itself (and therefore must be unique). Mismatches in kind or any differences in return parameters do not cause a mismatch:

\[
\text{matchesSignature (} b : \ \text{BehavioralFeature} \ ) : \ \text{Boolean;}
\]

\[
\text{matchesSignature (} b) =
\]

\[
\text{(self.name = b.name) and (self.parameter->size = b.parameter->size) and Sequence\{ 1..(self.parameter->size) \}->forAll( index : Integer | b.parameter->at(index).type = self.parameter->at(index).type or)
\]
(b.parameter->at(index).kind = return and
  self.parameter->at(index).kind = return)
)

2.5.3.6 Binding

[1] The client ModelElement must conform to the type of the supplier ModelElement in a Binding.
  self.client.oclIsKindOf(self.supplier)

[2] Each argument ModelElement of the supplier must have the same type (or a descendant of
  the type) of the corresponding supplier parameter ModelElement in a Binding.
  let range : Set(Integer) = [1..self.arguments->size()] in
  range->forAll(index |
    arguments->at(index).oclIsKindOf(
      supplier.templateParameter->at(index).oclType
    )
  )

[3] The number of arguments must equal the number of parameters.
  self.arguments->size() = self.supplier.templateParameter->size()

[4] A Binding has one client and one supplier.
  (self.client->size = 1) and (self.supplier->size = 1)

[5] A ModelElement may participate in at most one Binding as a client.
  Binding.allInstances->forAll
    [b1, b2 | (b1 <> b2) implies (b1.client <> b2.client)]

2.5.3.7 Class

[1] If a Class is concrete, all the Operations of the Class should have a realizing Method in the
  full descriptor.
  not self.isAbstract implies self.allOperations->forAll (op |
    self.allMethods->exists (m | m.specification->includes(op))
  )

[2] A Class can only contain Classes, Associations, Generalizations, UseCases, Constraints,
  Dependencies, Collaborations, DataTypes, and Interfaces as a Namespace.
  self.allContents->forAll->(c |
    c.oclIsKindOf(Class ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Association) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Generalization) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(UseCase ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Constraint ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Dependency ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Collaboration ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(DataType ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Interface ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(LeafNode ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Namespace ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Structure ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Use ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Constraint ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Dependency ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Collaboration ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(DataType ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Interface ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(LeafNode ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Namespace ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Structure ) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Use )
  )
2.5.3.8 Classifier

[1] No BehavioralFeature of the same kind may match the same signature in a Classifier.

\[
\text{self.feature->forAll}(f, g | \\
\quad ( \\
\quad \quad (f.oclIsKindOf(Operation) \text{ and } g.oclIsKindOf(Operation)) \text{ or } \\
\quad \quad (f.oclIsKindOf(Method) \text{ and } g.oclIsKindOf(Method)) \text{ or } \\
\quad \quad (f.oclIsKindOf(Reception) \text{ and } g.oclIsKindOf(Reception)) \\
\quad \quad ) \text{ and } \\
\quad f.oclAsType(BehavioralFeature).matchesSignature(g) \\
\quad ) \implies f = g)
\]

[2] No Attributes may have the same name within a Classifier.

\[
\text{self.feature->select}(a | a.oclIsKindOf(Attribute))->forAll(p, q | \\
p.name = q.name \implies p = q)
\]

[3] No opposite AssociationEnds may have the same name within a Classifier.

\[
\text{self.allOppositeAssociationEnds->forAll}(p, q | p.name = q.name \implies p = q)
\]

[4] The name of an Attribute may not be the same as the name of an opposite AssociationEnd or a ModelElement contained in the Classifier.

\[
\text{self.feature->select}(a | a.oclIsKindOf(Attribute))->forAll(a | \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{not self.allOppositeAssociationEnds->union(self.allContents)->collect(q |}
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad q.name )->includes(a.name)
\]

[5] The name of an opposite AssociationEnd may not be the same as the name of an Attribute or a ModelElement contained in the Classifier.

\[
\text{self.oppositeAssociationEnds->forAll}(o | \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{not self.allAttributes->union(self.allContents)->collect(q |}
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad q.name )->includes(o.name)
\]

[6] For each Operation in an specification realized by the Classifier, the Classifier must have a matching Operation.

\[
\text{self.specification.allOperations->forAll(interop | \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{self.allOperations->exists(op | op.hasMatchingSignature(interOp)})}
\]
[7] All of the generalizations in the range of a powertype have the same discriminator.

\[
\text{self.powertypeRange->forAll (g1, g2 | g1.discriminator = g2.discriminator)}
\]

[8] Discriminator names must be distinct from attribute names and opposite AssociationEnd names.

\[
\text{self.allDiscriminators->intersection (self.allAttributes.name->union (self.allOppositeAssociationEnds.name))->isEmpty}
\]

**Additional operations**

[1] The operation allFeatures results in a Set containing all Features of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Features.

\[
\text{allFeatures : Set(Feature);} \\
\text{allFeatures = self.feature->union (self.parent.oclAsType(Classifier).allFeatures)}
\]

[2] The operation allOperations results in a Set containing all Operations of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Operations.

\[
\text{allOperations : Set(Operation);} \\
\text{allOperations = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Operation))}
\]

[3] The operation allMethods results in a Set containing all Methods of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Methods.

\[
\text{allMethods : set(Method);} \\
\text{allMethods = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Method))}
\]

[4] The operation allAttributes results in a Set containing all Attributes of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Attributes.

\[
\text{allAttributes : set(Attribute);} \\
\text{allAttributes = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Attribute))}
\]

[5] The operation associations results in a Set containing all Associations of the Classifier itself.

\[
\text{associations : set(Association);} \\
\text{associations = self.association.association->asSet}
\]

[6] The operation allAssociations results in a Set containing all Associations of the Classifier itself and all its inherited Associations.

\[
\text{allAssociations : set(Association);} \\
\text{allAssociations = self.associations->union (self.parent.oclAsType(Classifier).allAssociations)}
\]

[7] The operation oppositeAssociationEnds results in a set of all AssociationEnds that are opposite to the Classifier.
oppositeAssociationEnds : Set (AssociationEnd);
oppositeAssociationEnds =
    self.associations->select ( a | a.connection->select ( ae |
        ae.participant = self ).size = 1 )->collect ( a |
        a.connection->
            select ( ae | ae.participant <> self ) )->union ( self.associations->select ( a | a.connection->select ( ae |
        ae.participant = self ).size > 1 )->collect ( a |
        a.connection ) )

[8] The operation allOppositeAssociationEnds results in a set of all AssociationEnds, including the inherited ones, that are opposite to the Classifier.

allOppositeAssociationEnds : Set (AssociationEnd);
allOppositeAssociationEnds = self.oppositeAssociationEnds->union ( self.parent.allOppositeAssociationEnds )

[9] The operation specification yields the set of Classifiers that the current Classifier realizes.

specification: Set(Classifier)
specification = self.clientDependency->
    select(d |
        d.oclIsKindOf(Abstraction) 
        and d.stereotype.name = "realization"
        and d.supplier.oclIsKindOf(Classifier))
        .supplier.oclAsType(Classifier)

[10] The operation allContents returns a Set containing all ModelElements contained in the Classifier together with the contents inherited from its parents.

allContents : Set(ModelElement);
allContents = self.contents->union(
    self.parent.allContents->select(e |
        e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public or
        e.elementOwnership.visibility = #protected))

[11] The operation allDiscriminators results in a Set containing all Discriminators of the Generalizations from which the Classifier is descended itself and all its inherited Features.

allDiscriminators : Set(Name);
allDiscriminators = self.generalization.discriminator->union(
    self.parent.oclAsType(Classifier).allDiscriminators)
2.5.3.9 Comment

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.10 Component

[1] A Component may only contain other Components in its namespace.

\[
\text{self.allContents-forAll( } \ c \ \mid \ c.\text{oclIsKindOf(Component)} \text{)}
\]

[2] A Component does not have any Features.

\[
\text{self.feature->isEmpty}
\]

[3] A Component may only have as residents DataTypes, Interfaces, Classes, Associations, Dependencies, Constraints, Signals, DataValues, and Objects.

\[
\text{self.allResidentElements->forAll( } \ re \mid \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(DataType) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Interface) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Class) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Association) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Dependency) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Constraint) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Signal) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(DataValue) or} \\
\quad \text{re.oclIsKindOf(Object) )}
\]

Additional operations

[1] The operation allResidentElements results in a Set containing all ModelElements resident in a Component or one of its ancestors.

\[
\text{allResidentElements : set(ModelElement)}
\]

\[
\text{allResidentElements = self.resident->union(} \\
\text{self.parent.oclAsType(Component).allResidentElements->select(} \\
\text{re } \mid \\
\quad \text{re.elementResidence.visibility = #public or} \\
\quad \text{re.elementResidence.visibility = #protected))}
\]

2.5.3.11 Constraint


\[
\text{not self.constrainedElement->includes (self)}
\]
2.5.3.12 **DataType**

[1] A DataType can only contain Operations, which all must be queries.

\[
\text{self.allFeatures}\rightarrow\text{forAll}(f \mid \\
\quad f.\text{oclIsKindOf(Operation)} \text{ and } \\
\quad f.\text{oclAsType(Operation)\.isQuery})
\]

[2] A DataType cannot contain any other ModelElements.

\[
\text{self.allContents}\rightarrow\text{isEmpty}
\]

2.5.3.13 **Dependency**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.14 **Element**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.15 **ElementOwnership**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.16 **ElementResidence**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.17 **Enumeration**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.18 **EnumerationLiteral**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.19 **Feature**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.20 **GeneralizableElement**

[1] A root cannot have any Generalizations.

\[
\text{self.isRoot \ implies \ self.generalization}\rightarrow\text{isEmpty}
\]

[2] No GeneralizableElement can have a parent Generalization to an element that is a leaf.

\[
\text{self.parent}\rightarrow\text{forAll}(s \mid \text{not } s.\text{isLeaf})
\]
[3] Circular inheritance is not allowed.
   \[\textbf{not} \ \text{self.allParents->includes(self)}\]

   \[\text{self.generalization->forAll(g |}
   \text{ \ \ \ \self.namespace.allContents->includes(g.parent) )}\]

[5] A GeneralizableElement may only be a child of GeneralizableElement of the same kind.
   \[\text{self.generalization.parent->forAll(p | self.oclIsKindOf(p))}\]

**Additional Operations**

[1] The operation parent returns a Set containing all direct parents.
   \[\text{parent : Set(GeneralizableElement);}
   \text{parent = self.generalization.parent}\]

[2] The operation allParents returns a Set containing all the Generalizable Elements inherited
   by this GeneralizableElement (the transitive closure), excluding the GeneralizableElement
   itself.
   \[\text{allParents : Set(GeneralizableElement);}
   \text{allParents = self.parent->union(self.parent.allParents)}\]

### 2.5.3.21 Generalization

No extra well-formedness rules.

### 2.5.3.22 ImplementationClass (stereotype of Class)

[1] All direct instances of an implementation class must not have any other Classifiers that are
   implementation classes.
   \[\text{self.instance forall(i | i.classifier forall(c |}
   \text{ \ \ \ \ c.stereotype.name = "implementationClass" implies c = self)}\]

[2] A parent of an implementation class must be an implementation class.
   \[\text{self.parent->forAll(stereotype.name="implementationClass")}\]

### 2.5.3.23 Interface

[1] An Interface can only contain Operations.
   \[\text{self.allFeatures->forall(f |}
   \text{ \ \ \ \ f.oclIsKindOf(Operation) or f.oclIsKindOf(Reception)}\]

   \[\text{self.allContents->isEmpty}\]

[3] All Features defined in an Interface are public.
\[
self.allFeatures->forall ( f | f.visibility = #public )
\]

2.5.3.24 Method

[1] If the realized Operation is a query, then so is the Method.
   \[
   self.specification->isQuery implies self.isQuery
   \]

[2] The signature of the Method should be the same as the signature of the realized Operation.
   \[
   self.hasSameSignature (self.specification)
   \]

[3] The visibility of the Method should be the same as for the realized Operation.
   \[
   self.visibility = self.specification.visibility
   \]

[4] The realized Operation must be a feature (possibly inherited) of the same Classifier as the Method.
   \[
   self.owner.allOperations->includes(self.specification)
   \]

[5] If the realized Operation has been overridden one or more times in the ancestors of the owner of the Method, then the Method must realize the latest overriding (that is, all other Operations with the same signature must be owned by ancestors of the owner of the realized Operation).
   \[
   self.specification.owner.allOperations->includesAll(
     (self.owner.allOperations->select(op | self.hasSameSignature(op)))
   )
   \]

[6] There may be at most one method for a given classifier (as owner of the method) and operation (as specification of the method) pair.
   \[
   self.owner.allMethods->select(operation = self.operation)->size = 1
   \]

2.5.3.25 ModelElement

That part of the model owned by a template is not subject to all well-formedness rules. A template is not directly usable in a well-formed model. The results of binding a template are subject to well-formedness rules.

\[
(\text{not expressed in OCL})
\]

**Additional operations**

[1] The operation supplier results in a Set containing all direct suppliers of the ModelElement.
   \[
   \text{supplier} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
   \text{supplier} = self.clientDependency.supplier
   \]

[2] The operation allSuppliers results in a Set containing all the ModelElements that are suppliers of this ModelElement, including the suppliers of these ModelElements. This is the transitive closure.
   \[
   \text{allSuppliers} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
   \]
allSuppliers = self.supplier->union(self.supplier.allSuppliers)

model : Set(Model);
model = self.namespace->union(self.namespace.allSurroundingNamespaces)
  ->select( ns |
    ns.oclIsKindOf (Model))

[4] A ModelElement is a template when it has parameters.
isTemplate : Boolean;
isTemplate = (self.templateParameter->notEmpty)

[5] A ModelElement is an instantiated template when it is related to a template by a Binding relationship.
isInstantiated : Boolean;
isInstantiated = self.clientDependency->select( oclIsKindOf(Binding))->notEmpty

[6] The templateArguments are the arguments of an instantiated template, which substitute for template parameters.
templateArguments : Set(ModelElement);
templateArguments = self.clientDependency->
  select(oclIsKindOf(Binding)).oclAsType(Binding).argument

2.5.3.26 Namespace

[1] If a contained element that is not an Association or Generalization has a name, then the name must be unique in the Namespace.
self.allContents->forAll(me1, me2 : ModelElement |
  ( not me1.oclIsKindOf (Association) and not me2.oclIsKindOf (Association) and
    me1.name <> '' and me2.name <> '' and me1.name = me2.name
  ) implies
    me1 = me2 )

[2] All Associations must have a unique combination of name and associated Classifiers in the Namespace.
self.allContents -> select(oclIsKindOf(Association))->
  forAll(a1, a2 |
    a1.name = a2.name and
    a1.connection.participant = a2.connection.participant
  ) implies a1 = a2)

Additional operations
[1] The operation contents results in a Set containing all ModelElements contained by the Namespace.

\[
\text{contents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)} \\
\text{contents} = \text{self.ownedElement} \rightarrow \text{union}(\text{self.namespace}, \text{contents})
\]

[2] The operation allContents results in a Set containing all ModelElements contained by the Namespace.

\[
\text{allContents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)}; \\
\text{allContents} = \text{self.contents}
\]

[3] The operation allVisibleElements results in a Set containing all ModelElements visible outside of the Namespace.

\[
\text{allVisibleElements} : \text{Set(ModelElement)} \\
\text{allVisibleElements} = \text{self.allContents} \rightarrow \text{select}(e \mid e.\text{elementOwnership.visibility} = \#\text{public})
\]

[4] The operation allSurroundingNamespaces results in a Set containing all surrounding Namespaces.

\[
\text{allSurroundingNamespaces} : \text{Set(Namespace)} \\
\text{allSurroundingNamespaces} = \\
\text{self.namespace} \rightarrow \text{union}(\text{self.namespace.allSurroundingNamespaces})
\]

2.5.3.27 **Node**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.28 **Operation**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.29 **Parameter**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.30 **PresentationElement**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.31 **Primitive**

No additional well-formedness rules.

2.5.3.32 **StructuralFeature**

[1] The connected type should be included in the owner’s Namespace.
2.5.3.33 Trace

A trace is an Abstraction with the «trace» stereotype. These are the additional constraints due to the stereotype.

1. The client ModelElements of a Trace must all be from the same Model.
   \[\text{self.client->forAll}(e1, e2 \mid e1.model = e2.model)\]

2. The supplier ModelElements of a Trace must all be from the same Model.
   \[\text{self.supplier->forAll}(e1, e2 \mid e1.model = e2.model)\]

3. The client and supplier ModelElements must be from two different Models.
   \[\text{self.client.model <> self.supplier.model}\]

4. The client and supplier ModelElements must all be from models of the same system.
   \[\text{self.client.model.intersection(self.supplier.model) <> Set{}}\]

2.5.3.34 Type (stereotype of Class)

1. A Type may not have any Methods.
   \[\text{not self.feature->exists(oclIsKindOf(Method))}\]

2. The parent of a type must be a type.
   \[\text{self.parent->forAll(stereotype.name = "type")}\]

2.5.3.35 Usage

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.5.4 Detailed Semantics

This section provides a description of the dynamic semantics of the elements in the Core. It is structured based on the major constructs in the core, such as interface, class, and association.

2.5.4.1 Association

An association declares a connection (link) between instances of the associated classifiers (e.g., classes). It consists of at least two association ends, each specifying a connected classifier and a set of properties that must be fulfilled for the relationship to
be valid. The multiplicity property of an association end specifies how many instances of the classifier at a given end (the one bearing the multiplicity value) may be associated with a single instance of the classifier at the other end. A multiplicity is a range of nonnegative integers. The association end also states whether or not the connection may be traversed towards the instance playing that role in the connection (isNavigable), for instance, if the instance is directly reachable via the association. An association-end also specifies whether or not an instance playing that role in a connection may be replaced by another instance.

It may state

- that no constraints exist (changeable),
- that the link cannot be modified once it has been initialized (frozen), or
- that new links of the association may be added but not removed or altered (addOnly).

These constraints do not affect the modifiability of the objects themselves that are attached to the links. Moreover, the classifier, or (a child of) the classifier itself. The ordering attribute of association-end states that if the instances related to a single instance at the other end have an ordering that must be preserved, the order of insertion of new links must be specified by operations that add or modify links. Note that sorting is a performance optimization and is not an example of a logically ordered association, because the ordering information in a sort does not add any information.

In UML, Associations can be of three different kinds: 1) ordinary association, 2) composite aggregate, and 3) shareable aggregate. Since the aggregate construct can have several different meanings depending on the application area, UML gives a more precise meaning to two of these constructs; that is, association and composite aggregate and leaves the shareable aggregate more loosely defined in between.

An association may represent an aggregation; that is, a whole/part relationship. In this case, the association-end attached to the whole element is designated, and the other association-end of the association represents the parts of the aggregation. Only binary associations may be aggregations. Composite aggregation is a strong form of aggregation, which requires that a part instance be included in at most one composite at a time and that the composite object has sole responsibility for the disposition of its parts. This means that the composite object is responsible for the creation and destruction of the parts. In implementation terms, it is responsible for their memory allocation. If a composite object is destroyed, it must destroy all of its parts. It may remove a part and give it to another composite object, which then assumes responsibility for it. If the multiplicity from a part to composite is zero-to-one, the composite may remove the part, and the part may assume responsibility for itself, otherwise it may not live apart from a composite.

A consequence of these rules is that a composite implies propagation semantics; that is, some of the dynamic semantics of the whole is propagated to its parts. For example, if the whole is copied or destroyed, then so are the parts as well (because a part may belong to at most one composite).
A classifier on the composite end of an association may have parts that are classifiers and associations. At the instance level, an instance of a part element is considered “part of” the instance of a composite element. If an association is part of a composite and it connects two classes that are also part of the same composite, then a link of the association will connect objects that are part of the same composite object of which the link is part.

A shareable aggregation denotes weak ownership; that is, the part may be included in several aggregates and its owner may also change over time. However, the semantics of a shareable aggregation does not imply deletion of the parts when an aggregate referencing it is deleted. Both kinds of aggregations define a transitive, antisymmetric relationship; that is, the instances form a directed, non-cyclic graph. Composition instances form a strict tree (or rather a forest).

A qualifier declares a partition of the set of associated instances with respect to an instance at the qualified end (the qualified instance is at the end to which the qualifier is attached). A qualifier instance comprises one value for each qualifier attribute. Given a qualified object and a qualifier instance, the number of objects at the other end of the association is constrained by the declared multiplicity. In the common case in which the multiplicity is 0..1, the qualifier value is unique with respect to the qualified object, and designates at most one associated object. In the general case of multiplicity 0..*, the set of associated instances is partitioned into subsets, each selected by a given qualifier instance. In the case of multiplicity 1 or 0..1, the qualifier has both semantic and implementation consequences. In the case of multiplicity 0..*, it has no real semantic consequences but suggests an implementation that facilitates easy access of sets of associated instances linked by a given qualifier value.

Note that the multiplicity of a qualifier is given assuming that the qualifier value is supplied. The “raw” multiplicity without the qualifier is assumed to be 0..*. This is not fully general but it is almost always adequate, as a situation in which the raw multiplicity is 1 would best be modeled without a qualifier.

Note also that a qualified multiplicity whose lower bound is zero indicates that a given qualifier value may be absent, while a lower bound of 1 indicates that any possible qualifier value must be present. The latter is reasonable only for qualifiers with a finite number of values (such as enumerated values or integer ranges) that represent full tables indexed by some finite range of values.

### 2.5.4.2 AssociationClass

An association may be refined to have its own set of features; that is, features that do not belong to any of the connected classifiers but rather to the association itself. Such an association is called an association class. It will be both an association, connecting a set of classifiers and a class, and as such have features and be included in other associations. The semantics of such an association is a combination of the semantics of an ordinary association and of a class.

The AssociationClass construct can be expressed in a few different ways in the metamodel (for example, as a subclass of Class, as a subclass of Association, or as a subclass of Classifier). Since an AssociationClass is a construct being both an
association (having a set of association-ends) and a class (declaring a set of features),
the most accurate way of expressing it is as a subclass of both Association and Class.
In this way, AssociationClass will have all the properties of the other two constructs.
Moreover, if new kinds of associations containing features (e.g., AssociationDataType)
are to be included in UML, these are easily added as subclasses of Association and the
other Classifier.

The terms child, subtype, and subclass are synonyms and mean that an instance of a
classifier being a subtype of another classifier can always be used where an instance of
the latter classifier is expected. The neutral terms parent and child, with the transitive
closures ancestor and descendant, are the preferred terms in this document.

2.5.4.3 Class

The purpose of a class is to declare a collection of methods, operations, and attributes
that fully describe the structure and behavior of objects. All objects instantiated from a
class will have attribute values matching the attributes of the full class descriptor and
support the operations found in the full class descriptor. Some classes may not be
directly instantiated. These classes are said to be abstract and exist only for other
classes to inherit and reuse the features declared by them. No object may be a direct
instance of an abstract class, although an object may be an indirect instance of one
through a subclass that is non-abstract.

When a class is instantiated to create a new object, a new instance is created, which is
initialized containing an attribute value for each attribute found in the full class
descriptor. The object is also initialized with a connection to the list of methods in the
full class descriptor.

Note – An actual implementation behaves as if there were a full class descriptor, but
many clever optimizations are possible in practice.

Finally, the identity of the new object is returned to the creator. The identity of every
instance in a well-formed system is unique and automatic.

A class can have generalizations to other classes. This means that the full class
descriptor of a class is derived by inheritance from its own segment declaration and
those of its ancestors. Generalization between classes implies substitutability; that is,
an instance of a class may be used whenever an instance of a superclass is expected. If
the class is specified as a root, it cannot be a subclass of other classes. Similarly, if it
is specified as a leaf, no other class can be a subclass of the class.

Each attribute declared in a class has a visibility and a type. The visibility defines if the
attribute is publicly available to any class, if it is only available inside the class and its
subclasses (protected), if it can be used within the containing package (package), or if
it can only be used inside the class (private). The targetScope of the attribute declares
whether its value should be an instance (of a child) of that type or if it should be (a
child of) the type itself.

There are two alternatives for the ownerScope of an attribute:
• it may state that each object created by the class (or by its subclasses) has its own value of the attribute, or
• that the value is owned by the class itself.

An attribute also declares how many attribute values should be connected to each owner (multiplicity), what the initial values should be, and if these attribute values may be changed to:
• none - no constraint exists,
• frozen - the value cannot be replaced or added to once it has been initialized, or
• addOnly - new values may be added to a set but not removed or altered.

For each operation, the operation name, the types of the parameters, and the return type(s) are specified, as well as its visibility (see above). An operation may also include a specification of the effects of its invocation. The specification can be done in several different ways (for example, with pre- and post-conditions, pseudo-code, or just plain text). Each operation declares if it is applicable to the instances, the class, or to the class itself (ownerScope). Furthermore, the operation states whether or not its application will modify the state of the object (isQuery). The operation also states whether or not the operation may be realized by a different method in a subclass (isPolymorphic). A method realizing an operation has the same signature as the operation and a body implementing the specification of the operation. Methods in descendants override and replace methods inherited from ancestors (see Section 2.5.4.4, “Inheritance,” on page 2-70). Each method implements an operation declared in the class or inherited from an ancestor. The same operation may be declared more than once in a full class descriptor, but their descriptions must all match, except that the generalization properties (isRoot, IsAbstract, isLeaf) may vary, and a child operation may strengthen query properties (the child may be a query even though the parent is not). The specification of the method must match the specification of its matching operation, as defined above for operations. Furthermore, if the isQuery attribute of an operation is true, then it must also be true in any realizing method. However, if it is false in the operation, it may still be true in the method if the method does not actually modify the state to carry out the behavior required by the operation (this can only be true if the operation does not inherently modify state). The visibility of a method must match its operation.

Classes may have associations to each other. This implies that objects created by the associated classes are semantically connected; that is, that links exist between the objects, according to the requirements of the associations. See Association on the next page. Associations are inherited by subclasses.

A class may realize a set of interfaces. This means that each operation found in the full descriptor for any realized interface must be present in the full class descriptor with the same specification (see Section 2.5.4.4, “Inheritance,” on page 2-70). The relationship between interface and class is not necessarily one-to-one; a class may offer several interfaces and one interface may be offered by more than one class. The same operation may be defined in multiple interfaces that a class supports; if their
specifications are identical then there is no conflict; otherwise, the model is ill formed. Moreover, a class may contain additional operations besides those found in its interfaces.

A class acts as the namespace for various kinds of contained elements defined within its scope including classes, interfaces, and associations (note that this is purely a scoping construction and does not imply anything about aggregation), the contained classifiers can be used as ordinary classifiers in the container class. If a class inherits another class, the contents of the ancestor are available to its descendants if the visibility of an element is public or protected; however, if the visibility is private, then the element is not visible and therefore not available in the descendant.

2.5.4.4 Inheritance

To understand inheritance it is first necessary to understand the concept of a full descriptor and a segment descriptor. A full descriptor is the full description needed to describe an object or other instance (see Section 2.5.4.5, “Instantiation,” on page 2-71). It contains a description of all of the attributes, associations, and operations that the object contains. In a pre-object-oriented language, the full descriptor of a data structure was declared directly in its entirety. In an object-oriented language, the description of an object is built out of incremental segments that are combined using inheritance to produce a full descriptor for an object. The segments are the modeling elements that are actually declared in a model. They include elements such as class and other generalizable elements. Each generalizable element contains a list of features and other relationships that it adds to what it inherits from its ancestors. The mechanism of inheritance defines how full descriptors are produced from a set of segments connected by generalization. The full descriptors are implicit, but they define the structure of actual instances.

Each kind of generalizable element has a set of inheritable features. For any model element, these include constraints. For classifiers, these include features (attributes, operations, signal receptions, and methods) and participation in associations. The ancestors of a generalizable element are its parents (if any) together with all of their ancestors (with duplicates removed). For a Namespace (such as a Package or a Class with nested declarations), the public or protected contents of the Namespace are available to descendants of the Namespace.

If a generalizable element has no parent, then its full descriptor is the same as its segment descriptor. If a generalizable element has one or more parents, then its full descriptor contains the union of the features from its own segment descriptor and the segment descriptors of all of its ancestors. For a classifier, no attribute, operation, or signal with the same signature may be declared in more than one of the segments (in other words, they may not be redefined). A method may be declared in more than one segment. A method declared in any segment supersedes and replaces a method with the same signature declared in any ancestor. If two or more methods nevertheless remain, then they conflict and the model is ill formed. The constraints on the full descriptor are the union of the constraints on the segment itself and all of its ancestors. If any of them are inconsistent, then the model is ill formed.
In any full descriptor for a classifier, each method must have a corresponding operation. In a concrete classifier, each operation in its full descriptor must have a corresponding method in the full descriptor.

The purpose of the full descriptor is explained under Section 2.5.4.5, “Instantiation,” on page 2-71.

### 2.5.4.5 Instantiation

The purpose of a model is to describe the possible states of a system and their behavior. The state of a system comprises objects, values, and links. Each object is described by a full class descriptor. The class corresponding to this descriptor is the direct class of the object. If an object is not completely described by a single class (multiple classification), then any class in the minimal set of unrelated (by generalization) classes whose union completely describes the object is a direct class of the object. Similarly each link has a direct association and each value has a direct data type. Each of these instances is said to be a direct instance of the classifier from which its full descriptor was derived. An instance is an indirect instance of the classifier or any of its ancestors.

The data content of an object comprises one value for each attribute in its full class descriptor (and nothing more). The value must be consistent with the type of the attribute. The data content of a link comprises a tuple containing a list of instances, one that is an indirect instance of each participant classifier in the full association descriptor. The instances and links must obey any constraints on the full descriptors of which they are instances (including both explicit constraints and built-in constraints such as multiplicity).

The state of a system is a valid system instance if every instance in it is a direct instance of some element in the system model and if all of the constraints imposed by the model are satisfied by the instances.

The behavioral parts of UML describe the valid sequences of valid system instances that may occur as a result of both external and internal behavioral effects.

### 2.5.4.6 Interface

The purpose of an interface is to collect a set of operations that constitute a coherent service offered by classifiers. Interfaces provide a way to partition and characterize groups of operations. An interface is only a collection of operations with a name. It cannot be directly instantiated. Instantiable classifiers, such as class or use case, may use interfaces for specifying different services offered by their instances. Several classifiers may realize the same interface. All of them must contain at least the operations matching those contained in the interface. The specification of an operation contains the signature of the operation (i.e., its name, the types of the parameters, and the return type). An interface does not imply any internal structure of the realizing classifier. For example, it does not define which algorithm to use for realizing an operation. An operation may, however, include a specification of the effects of its invocation. The specification can be done in several different ways (e.g., with pre and post-conditions, pseudo-code, or just plain text).
Each operation declares if it applies to the instances of the classifier declaring it or to the classifier itself (for example, a constructor on a class (ownerScope)). Furthermore, the operation states whether or not its application will modify the state of the instance (isQuery). The operation also states whether or not all the classes must have the same realization of the operation (isPolymorphic).

An interface can be a child of other interfaces denoted by generalizations. This means that a classifier offering the interface must provide not only the operations declared in the interface but also those declared in the ancestors of the interface. If the interface is specified as a root, it cannot be a child of other interfaces. Similarly, if it is specified as a leaf, no other interface can be a child of the interface.

### 2.5.4.7 Operation

Operation is a conceptual construct, while Method is the implementation construct. Their common features, such as having a signature, are expressed in the BehavioralFeature metaclass, and the specific semantics of the Operation. The Method constructs are defined in the corresponding subclasses of BehavioralFeature.

### 2.5.4.8 PresentationElement

The responsibility of presentation element is to provide a textual and graphical projection of a collection of model elements. In this context, projection means that the presentation element represents a human readable notation for the corresponding model elements. The notation for UML can be found in Chapter 3 of this document.

Presentation elements and model elements must be kept in agreement, but the mechanisms for doing this are design issues for model editing tools.

### 2.5.4.9 Template

A template is a parameterized model element that cannot be used directly in a model. Instead, it may be used to generate other model elements using the Binding relationship; those generated model elements can be used in normal relationships with other elements.

A template represents the parameterization of a model element, such as a class or an operation, although conceptually any model element may be used (but not all may be useful). The template element is attached by composite aggregation to an ordered list of parameter elements. Each parameter element has a name that represents a parameter name within the template element. Any use of the name within the scope of the template element represents an unbound parameter that is to be replaced by an actual value in a Binding of the template. For example, a parameter may represent the type of an attribute of a class (for a class template). The corresponding attribute would have an association to the template parameter as its type.
Note that the scope of the template includes all of the elements recursively owned by it through composite aggregation. For example, a parameterized class template owns its attributes, operations, and so on. Neither the parameterized elements nor its contents may be used directly in a model without binding.

A template element has the templateParameter association to a list of ModelElements that serve as its parameters. To avoid introducing metamodel (M2) elements in an ordinary (M1) model, the model contains a representative of each parameter element, rather than the type of the parameter element. For example, a frequent kind of parameter is a class. Instead of including the metaclass Class in the (M1) ordinary model, a dummy class must be declared whose name is the name of the parameter. This dummy element is meaningful only within the template (it may not be used within the wider model) and it has no features (such as attributes and operations), because the features are part of an actual element that is supplied when the template is bound. Because a template parameter is only a dummy that lacks internal structure, it may violate well-formedness constraints of elements of its kind; the actual elements supplied during binding must satisfy ordinary well-formedness constraints.

Note also that when the template is bound, the bound element does not show the explicit structure of an element of its kind; it is a stub. Its semantics and well-formedness rules must be evaluated as if the actual substitutions of actual elements for parameters had been made; but the expansions are not explicitly shown in a canonical model as they are regarded as derived.

A template element is therefore effectively isolated from the directly-usable part of the model and is indirectly connected to its ultimate instances through Binding associations to bound elements. The bound elements may be used in ordinary models in places where the model element underlying the template could be used.

### 2.5.4.10 Miscellaneous

A constraint is a Boolean expression over one or several elements that must always be true. A constraint can be specified in several different ways (e.g., using natural language or a constraint language).

A dependency specifies that the semantics of a set of model elements requires the presence of another set of model elements. This implies that if the source is somehow modified, the dependents probably must be modified. The reason for the dependency can be specified in several different ways (e.g., using natural language or an algorithm) but is often implicit.

A Usage or Binding dependency can be established only between elements in the same model, since the semantics of a model cannot be dependent on the semantics of another model. If a connection is to be established between elements in different models, a Trace or Refinement should be used. Refinement can connect elements in different or same models.
Whenever the supplier element of a dependency changes, the client element is potentially invalidated. After such invalidation, a check should be performed followed by possible changes to the derived client element. Such a check should be performed after which action can be taken to change the derived element to validate it again. The semantics of this validation and change is outside the scope of UML.

A data type is a special kind of classifier, similar to a class, but whose instances are primitive values (not objects). For example, the integers and strings are usually treated as primitive values. A primitive value does not have an identity, so two occurrences of the same value cannot be differentiated. Usually, it is used for specification of the type of an attribute. An enumeration type is a user-definable type comprising a finite number of values.

### 2.6 Extension Mechanisms

#### 2.6.1 Overview

The Extension Mechanisms package is the subpackage that specifies how specific UML model elements are customized and extended with new semantics by using stereotypes, constraints, tag definitions, and tagged values. A coherent set of such extensions, defined for specific purposes, constitutes a UML profile (see Section 2.14, “Model Management,” on page 2-187).

The UML provides a rich set of modeling concepts and notations that have been carefully designed to meet the needs of typical software modeling projects. However, users may sometimes require additional features beyond those defined in the UML standard. These needs are met in UML by its built-in extension mechanisms that enable new kinds of modeling elements to be added to the modeler’s repertoire as well as to attach free-form information to modeling elements. The principal extension mechanism is the concept of Stereotype. It provides a way of defining virtual subclasses of UML metaclasses with new metaattributes and additional semantics.

A fundamental constraint on all extensions defined using the profile extension mechanism is that extensions must be strictly additive to the standard UML semantics. This means that such extensions must not conflict with or contradict the standard semantics. In effect, these extension mechanisms are a means for refining the standard semantics of UML and do not support arbitrary semantic extension. They allow the modeler to add new modeling elements to UML for use in creating UML models for process-specific or implementation language-specific domains (for example, supporting code generation for a certain language and infrastructure). It should be noted that stereotypes and tags are used in the definition of UML itself. They are used to define standard model elements that are not considered complex enough to be defined directly as UML metaclasses.

Stereotypes are themselves metaclasses in UML. Consequently, the user of a UML tool can define stereotypes; for example, a new stereotype «persistent» could be defined that can be attached to classes. Many users will not define new stereotypes, but will
only apply them during modeling; for example, the stereotype “persistent” can be attached to the class “Invoice” by the modeler. A tool could use this as an indicator that a database table definition needs to be generated.

A profile is a stereotyped package that contains model elements that have been customized for a specific domain or purpose by extending the metamodel using stereotypes, tagged definitions, and constraints. A profile may specify model libraries on which it depends and the metamodel subset that it extends.

A stereotype is a model element that defines additional values (based on tag definitions), additional constraints, and optionally a new graphical representation. All model elements that are branded by one or more particular stereotypes receive these values and constraints in addition to the attributes, associations, and superclasses that the element has in the standard UML. Stereotypes augment the classification mechanism based on the built in UML metamodel class hierarchy; therefore, names of new stereotypes must not clash with the names of predefined UML metamodel elements or standard elements.

Tag definitions specify new kinds of properties that may be attached to model elements. The actual properties of individual model elements are specified using Tagged Values. These may either be simple datatype values or references to other model elements. Tag definitions can be compared to metaattribute definitions while tagged values correspond to values attached to model elements. They may be used to represent properties such as management information (author, due date, status), code generation information (optimizationLevel, containerClass).

Constraints can also be attached to any model element to refine its semantics. Constraints attached to a stereotype must be observed by all model elements branded by that stereotype. If the rules are specified formally in a profile (for example, by using OCL for the expression of constraints), then a modeling tool may be able to interpret the rules and aid the modeler in enforcing them when applying the profile.

Although it is outside the scope and intent of the UML specification, it is also possible to extend the UML metamodel by explicitly adding new metaclasses and other meta constructs. This capability depends on the use of tools and repositories that support the OMG Meta Object Facility (MOF). Profiles are sometimes referred to as the ‘lightweight’ built-in extension mechanisms of UML, in contrast with the ‘heavyweight’ extensibility mechanism as defined by the MOF specification. This is because there are restrictions on how UML profiles can extend the UML metamodel. These restrictions are intended to ensure that any extensions defined by a UML profile are purely additive. Such restrictions do not apply in the MOF context where, in principle, any metamodel can be defined. (Consequently, every profile definition could also be expressed as an MOF metamodel, but not all MOF metamodels based on UML can be expressed as proper UML profiles.)

From a pragmatic viewpoint, when modeling tools are used to specify lightweight extensions, they should fully support UML extension mechanisms (including a default graphical notation for extended elements) and the XMI that they produce must be compatible with the predefined XMI for UML DTDs. (Note that this is expected to be less readable than a dedicated XMI format based on an MOF metamodel.)
When defining profiles modelers should be careful to base their extensions on the most semantically similar constructs in the UML metamodel. Failure to observe this can easily result in semantically incorrect or semantically redundant language extensions. When capturing the extended semantics of a domain in the definition of a profile (with the purpose of enabling tool support for the domain), modelers should also be careful not to focus exclusively on defining stereotypes. In most cases a combination of stereotypes and predefined standard model elements will be most effective. Examples of standard or common model elements in a profile definition are standard classes that the user is intended to reuse or subclass, or a set of standard Templates that the user may apply.

Several profile-related standard stereotypes and tags are defined in the Model Management package and chapter, including «profile», «modelLibrary», «appliedProfile», and {applicableSubset}.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Extension Mechanisms package.

### 2.6.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Extension Mechanisms package is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-10.

![Figure 2-10 Extension Mechanisms](image)
2.6.2.1 Constraint (as extended)

The constraint concept allows new semantics to be specified linguistically for a model element. The specification is written as an expression in a designated constraint language. The language can be specially designed for writing constraints (such as OCL), a programming language, mathematical notation, or natural language. If constraints are to be enforced by a model editor tool, then the tool must understand the syntax and semantics of the constraint language. Because the choice of language is arbitrary, constraints are an extension mechanism.

In the metamodel a constraint directly attached to a model element describes semantic restrictions that this model element must obey. Constraints attached to a Stereotype apply to each model element that bears that stereotype. Note that, for the case of constraints attached to stereotype definitions, the scope of the constraint is the UML metamodel and not the model in which it is defined. This allows the definition of well-formedness rules for stereotypes in the same manner as the well-formedness rules of other metamodel elements.

Attributes

- **body**: A boolean expression defining the constraint. Expressions are written as strings in a designated language. For the model to be well formed, the expression must always yield a true value when evaluated for instances of the constrained elements at any time when the system is stable; that is, not during the execution of an atomic operation.

  When a constraint is attached to a stereotype, the lexical scope of that constraint is the UML metamodel rather than the M1 model in which the constraint is defined. This means that there is no need to explicitly import the UML metamodel.

Associations

- **constrainedElement**: An ordered list of elements subject to the constraint
- **constrainedStereotype**: A stereotype to which the constraint applies. This constraint will automatically apply to all model elements branded by that stereotype.

Any one Constraint must have one or more constrainedElement links, or one constrainedStereotype link, but not both.

2.6.2.2 ModelElement (as extended)

Any model element may have arbitrary tagged values and constraints (subject to these making sense). A model element may also have one or more stereotypes. In the latter case, the base class of the stereotype must match the metaclass of that model element (such as Class, Association, Dependency) or one of its subclasses. The presence of a stereotype may impose implicit constraints on the modeling element and may require the presence of specific tagged values.
2.6.2.3 Stereotype

The stereotype concept provides a way of branding (classifying) model elements so that they behave in some respects as if they were instances of new virtual metamodel constructs. These model elements have the same structure (attributes, associations, operations) as similar non-stereotyped model elements of the same kind. The stereotype may specify additional constraints and tag definitions that apply to model elements. In addition, a stereotype may be used to indicate a difference in meaning or usage between two model elements with identical structure.

In the metamodel the Stereotype metaclass is a subclass of GeneralizableElement. Tag definitions and constraints attached to a stereotype apply to all model elements branded by that stereotype. A stereotype may also specify a geometrical icon to be used for presenting elements with the stereotype.

If a stereotype is a subclass of another stereotype, then it inherits all of the constraints and tagged values from its stereotype supertype and it must apply to the same kind of base class. A stereotype keeps track of the base class to which it may be applied. Stereotypes are typically grouped in a Profile package.

Attributes

- **baseClass**: Specifies the names of one or more UML modeling elements to which the stereotype applies, such as Class, Association, Refinement, Constraint. This is the name of a metaclass; that is, a class from the UML metamodel itself rather than a user model class.

- **icon**: The geometrical description for an icon to be used to present an image of a model element branded by the stereotype.
Associations

extendedElement  Designates the model elements affected by the stereotype. Each one must be a model element of the kind specified by the baseClass attribute.

definedTag  Specifies a set of tag definitions, each of which specifies tagged values that a model element branded by the stereotype can have.

stereotypeConstraint  Designates constraints that apply to all model elements branded by this stereotype. These constraints are defined in the scope of the full UML metamodel.

2.6.2.4  TagDefinition

A tag definition specifies the tagged values that can be attached to a kind of model element. Among other things, tag definitions can be used to define the virtual meta attributes of the stereotype to which they are attached. Some of these meta attributes may be references to other metamodel elements and, in effect, can be used to specify new one-way meta references. However, this latter feature should be used with discretion since it can easily be misused to define new semantics that are more than just refinement of the original UML metamodel.

Tag definitions should be defined in conjunction with a stereotype since that allows them to be used in a more disciplined manner (stereotypes are constrained by the semantics of their base class). However, primarily for reasons of compatibility with models defined on the basis of UML 1.3, it is still possible to have tag definitions that are not associated with any stereotype.

Attributes

multiplicity  Specifies the number of data values that tagged values based on this tag must have, or, the number of model elements that can be associated to the related tagged values.

tagType  In the general case, where the tag type is a data type, this specifies the range of values of the tagged values associated with the tag definition.

In the special case, where the tag type refers to a metaclass that is not a datatype, the tag value references model elements that are instances of the metaclass.

Associations

typedValue  The tagged values that conform to this tag definition.

owner  The stereotype to which this tag definition belongs.
2.6.2.5 TaggedValue

A tagged value allows information to be attached to any model element in conformance with its tag definition. Although a tagged value, being an instance of a kind of ModelElement, automatically inherits the name attribute, the name that is actually used in the tagged value is the name of the associated tag definition. The interpretation of tagged values is intentionally beyond the scope of UML semantics. It must be determined by user or tool conventions that may be specified in a profile in which the tagged value is defined. It is expected that various model analysis tools will define tag definitions to supply information needed for their operations beyond the basis semantics of UML. Such information could include code generation options, model management information, or user-specified semantics.

Any tagged value must have one or more reference value links or one or more data values, but not both.

Attributes

dataValue Specifies the set of values that are part of the tagged value. The type of this value must conform to the type specified in the tagType attribute of the associated tag definition. The number of values that can be specified is defined by the multiplicity attribute of the associated tag definition.

Associations

type Specifies the tag definition which defines the name, meaning, and type of the tagged value.

referenceValue Specifies the model elements that this tagged value references. These elements are model-level instances of the metaclass or stereotype specified by the tagType attribute of the corresponding tag definition. The number of references is defined by the multiplicity attribute of the associated tag definition.

2.6.3 Well-Formedness Rules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Extension Mechanisms package.

2.6.3.1 Constraint

[1] A Constraint attached to a stereotype must not conflict with constraints on any inherited stereotype, or associated with the base class.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

[2] A constraint attached to a stereotyped model element (either directly or through another stereotype) must not conflict with any constraints on the associated stereotype, nor with the class (the base class) of the model element.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible
[3] A constraint attached to a stereotype will apply to all model elements branded by that stereotype and must not conflict with any constraints on the attached branding stereotype, nor with the class (the base class) of the model element.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

### 2.6.3.2 ModelElement

[1] Tags associated with a model element (directly via a property list or indirectly via a stereotype) must not clash with any meta attributes associated with the model element.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

[2] A model element must have at most one tagged value with a given tag name.

```ocl
self.taggedValue->forAll(t1, t2 : TaggedValue |
    t1.type.name = t2.type.name implies t1 = t2)
```


```ocl
self.stereotype->excludes(self)
```

### 2.6.3.3 Stereotype

[1] Stereotype names must not clash with any base class names.

```ocl
Stereotype.allInstances->forAll(st | st.baseClass <> self.name)
```

[2] The base class name must be provided.

```ocl
Set {self.baseClass}->notEmpty
```

[3] Tag names attached to a stereotype must not clash with M2 meta-attribute namespace of the appropriate base class element, nor with tag definition names of any inherited stereotype.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

[4] The base class of a stereotype must be the same or a subclass of the base class of parent stereotypes.

-- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

[5] All stereotype definitions must be contained either directly or transitively in a profile package.

```ocl
findProfile(self)->notEmpty
```

### Additional Operations

[1] The find profile operation returns either the single-element set containing profile package in which the model element is defined or an empty set if the element is not contained in any profile.

```ocl
findProfile (me : ModelElement) : Set (Package)
if (me.namespace->notEmpty) then
    if (me.namespace.oclIsKindOf(Package) and
```
me.namespace.stereotype->notEmpty) and
me.namespace.stereotype->exists(s|s.name = profile) then
    result = me.namespace
else -- go up to the next level of namespace
    result = findProfile (me.namespace)
else
    result = me.namespace -- return empty set

2.6.3.4 TagDefinition

[1] The type associated with a tag definition is either the name of a UML metaclass, including
elements of the DataType package, or an instance of the DataType metaclass or one of its
descendants.
    -- cannot be specified with OCL, level M2 not accessible

[2] All tag definitions must be contained either directly or transitively in a profile package.
    findProfile(self)->notEmpty

2.6.3.5 TaggedValue

[1] The data value of a tagged value is exclusive to the “referenceValue” association.
    if (self.referenceValue->size > 0)
        then (self.dataValue->size = 0)
        else (self.dataValue->size > 0)
    endif

[2] The data value of a tagged value must conform to the data type specified by the “tagType”
attribute of the tag definition.
    -- cannot be specified with OCL (requires an OCL function that
    converts a string name into a corresponding metatype)

[3] The model elements associated with a tagged value by the “referenceValue” association
must be instances of the metaclass specified by the “tagType” attribute of the tag
definition.
    -- cannot be specified with OCL (requires an OCL function that
    converts a string name into a corresponding metatype)

2.6.4 Detailed Semantics

The various extension mechanisms defined in this chapter represent extensions to the
modeling language UML that affect the structure and semantics of models produced by
the user.

Within a model, any user-level model element may have a set of links to stereotypes,
and a set of tagged values conformant to existing tag definitions. The constraints
defined for the stereotype specify restrictions on the instantiation of the model. An
instance of a user-level model element must satisfy all of the constraints on its model
element for the model to be well-formed. Evaluation of constraints is to be performed when the relevant portion of the system is "stable," that is, after the completion of any internal operations when it is waiting for external events. In general, constraints are written in any language that can adequately specify the desired constraints, such as OCL, C++, or natural language. The interpretation of the constraints must be specified by the constraint language.

A stereotype refers to a base class, which is a class in the UML metamodel (not a user-level modeling element) such as Class, Association, Refinement, etc. A stereotype may be a subclass of one or more existing stereotypes. In that case, it inherits their constraints and tag definitions and may add additional ones of its own. In principle, a stereotype inherits the base class value of its parent, if one exists (this is expressed as a constraint on these values). The modeler may refine this to any subclass of that base class. For instance, if a stereotype s with a base class b is defined, then a stereotype t that has s as its superclass has either b or any subclass of b as its base class value. If a stereotype has multiple superclasses, then all of these superclasses must be derived from a single common superclass. In that case, the base class of the subclass is equivalent to the most specific parent stereotype, or a subclass of that. For instance, if a stereotype s has supertypes t and u with base classes “Classifier” and “Class” respectively, then the base class of s is “Class” or any subclass of “Class” in UML.

If a model element is branded by an attached stereotype, then the UML base class of the model element must be the base class specified by the stereotype or one of the subclasses of that base class. Any constraints on the stereotype are implicitly attached to the model element. Any tag definitions belonging to the stereotype will serve as specifications for tagged values associated to the model element. If the stereotype is a subclass of one or more stereotypes, then any constraints or tag definitions from those stereotypes also apply to the model element (because they are inherited by this stereotype). If there are any conflicts among the multiple constraints and tag definitions (inherited or directly specified), then the model is ill formed, as is the case with general specialization hierarchies.

2.6.5 Notes

Backward compatibility of profiles with UML 1.3 has been addressed by maintaining the basic UML 1.3 extension features while adding new features that can be optionally exploited. There are two areas where backward compatibility has been carefully considered. First, although it is generally recommended that tags should be defined in the context of a stereotype, they may still be defined independently as was the case with UML 1.3. Second, although it is generally recommended that tag definitions should be typed, they may still be defined with type declared String; that is, they are effectively not typed.

UML 1.4 compliant tools are expected to make use of the ability to type tags, and to provide conversion utilities for models based on earlier versions of UML. It is important to note, however, that older models that contain tags declared to be of type String should still work correctly, since String continues to be a standard UML datatype.

The following are some typical examples of stereotypes and tag definitions:
### A stereotype of Class with an associated tag definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Base Class</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>Class</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>storageMode</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Classes of this stereotype are persistent and may be stored in a variety of different modes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>storageMode</td>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>StorageProfile::StorageEnum (an enumeration: {table, file, object})</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>identifies the storage mode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A stereotype of Class with an associated tag definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Base Class</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>Class</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>isPersistent</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Classes of this stereotype may be persistent, depending on the value of the “isPersistent” tag.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isPersistent</td>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>UML::Datatypes::Boolean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>indicates whether the class is persistent or not</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A stereotype of Class with an associated tag definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Base Class</th>
<th>Parent</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>Class</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>primaryKeyClass</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Classes of this stereotype have a reference to indicate the primary key specification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>primaryKeyClass</td>
<td>persistent</td>
<td>reference to UML::Foundation::Class</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identifies the M1 class that serves as the primary key.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A stereotype of Class with an associated tag definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Base Class</th>
<th>Stereotype Parent</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>workflow</td>
<td>ActionState</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>resources</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>action states of this stereotype represent workflow actions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reference to</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identifies the M1 class that serves as the primary key.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7 Data Types

2.7.1 Overview

The Data Types package is the subpackage that specifies the different data types that are used to define UML. This section has a simpler structure than the other packages, since it is assumed that the semantics of these basic concepts are well known.

2.7.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Data Types package is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-11 on page 2-86 and Figure 2-12 on page 2-86.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Stereotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>debugMode</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>DebugProfile::DebugDomain (an enumeration with three possible choices: {on, off, trace})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Used to set the desired debug mode for a model post-processor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliasNames</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>UML::Datatypes::String</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Reuses the standard String datatype at the M1 level.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the metamodel the data types are used for declaring the types of the class attributes. They appear as strings in the diagrams and not with a separate ‘data type’ icon. In this way, the sizes of the diagrams are reduced. However, each occurrence of a particular name of a data type denotes the same data type.

Note that these data types are the data types used for defining UML and not the data types to be used by a user of UML. The latter data types will be instances of the DataType metaclass defined in the metamodel.
2.7.2.1 **ActionExpression**

An expression whose evaluation results in the performance of an action.

2.7.2.2 **AggregationKind**

An enumeration that denotes what kind of aggregation an Association is. When placed on a target end, specifies the relationship of the target end to the source end. AggregationKind defines an enumeration whose values are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>none</th>
<th>The end is not an aggregate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aggregate</td>
<td>The end is an aggregate; therefore, the other end is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part may be contained in other aggregates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>composite</td>
<td>The end is a composite; therefore, the other end is a part and must have the aggregation value of none. The part is strongly owned by the composite and may not be part of any other composite.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.2.3 **ArgListsExpression**

In the metamodel ArgListsExpression defines a statement that will result in a set of object lists when it is evaluated.

2.7.2.4 **Boolean**

In the metamodel Boolean defines an enumeration that denotes a logical condition. Its enumeration literals are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>true</th>
<th>The Boolean condition is satisfied.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>false</td>
<td>The Boolean condition is not satisfied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.2.5 **BooleanExpression**

In the metamodel BooleanExpression defines a statement that will evaluate to an instance of Boolean when it is evaluated.
2.7.2.6 **CallConcurrencyKind**

An enumeration that denotes the semantics of multiple concurrent calls to the same passive instance; that is, an Instance originating from a Classifier with isActive=false. It is an enumeration with the values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CallConcurrencyKind</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sequential</td>
<td>Callers must coordinate so that only one call to an Instance (on any sequential Operation) may be outstanding at once. If simultaneous calls occur, then the semantics and integrity of the system cannot be guaranteed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guarded</td>
<td>Multiple calls from concurrent threads may occur simultaneously to one Instance (on any guarded Operation), but only one is allowed to commence. The others are blocked until the performance of the first Operation is complete. It is the responsibility of the system designer to ensure that deadlocks do not occur due to simultaneous blocks. Guarded Operations must perform correctly (or block themselves) in the case of a simultaneous sequential Operation or guarded semantics cannot be claimed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concurrent</td>
<td>Multiple calls from concurrent threads may occur simultaneously to one Instance (on any concurrent Operation). All of them may proceed concurrently with correct semantics. Concurrent Operations must perform correctly in the case of a simultaneous sequential or guarded Operation or concurrent semantics cannot be claimed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.2.7 **ChangeableKind**

In the metamodel ChangeableKind defines an enumeration that denotes how an AttributeLink or LinkEnd may be modified. Its values are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ChangeableKind</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>changeable</td>
<td>No restrictions on modification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frozen</td>
<td>The value may not be changed from the source end after the creation and initialization of the source object. Operations on the other end may change a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>addOnly</td>
<td>If the multiplicity is not fixed, values may be added at any time from the source object, but once created a value may not be removed from the source end. Operations on the other end may change a value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.2.8 **Expression**

In the metamodel an Expression defines a statement that will evaluate to a (possibly empty) set of instances when executed in a context. An Expression does not modify the environment in which it is evaluated. An expression contains an expression string and the name of an interpretation language with which to evaluate the string.
Attributes

language
Names the language in which the expression body is represented. The interpretation of the expression depends on the language. If the language name is omitted, no interpretation for the expression can be assumed by UML.

body
The text of the expression expressed in the given language.

Predefined language names include the following:

OCL
The Object Constraint Language (see the chapter “Object Constraint Language Specification” in this document).

(The empty string) This represents a natural-language statement. As such, it is obviously intended for human information rather than formal specification.

In general, a language name should be spelled and capitalized exactly as it appears in the document defining the language. For example, use COBOL, not Cobol; use Ada, not ADA; use PostScript, not Postscript. In other words, spell it correctly.

2.7.2.9 Geometry
An uninterpreted type used to describe the geometrical shape of icons, such as those that may be attached to stereotypes. The details of this specification are not currently part of UML and must therefore be supplied by the implementation of a model editing tool, with the understanding that they will likely be tool-specific. This type is therefore not actually defined in the metamodel but is used only as the type of attributes.

2.7.2.10 Integer
In the metamodel, Integer is a classifier element that is an instance of Primitive, representing the predefined type of integers. An instance of Integer an element in the (infinite) set of integers (…-2, -1, 0, 1, 2…).

2.7.2.11 IterationExpression
In the metamodel IterationExpression defines a string that will evaluate to an iteration control construct in the interpretation language.

2.7.2.12 LocationReference
Designates a position within a behavior sequence for the insertion of an extension use case. May be a line or range of lines in code, or a state or set of states in a state machine, or some other means in a different kind of specification.
2.7.2.13 Mapping

In the metamodel a Mapping is an expression that is used for mapping ModelElements. For exchange purposes, it should be represented as a String.

Attributes

body A string describing the mapping. The format of the mapping is currently unspecified in UML.

2.7.2.14 MappingExpression

An expression that evaluates to a mapping.

2.7.2.15 Multiplicity

In the metamodel a Multiplicity defines a non-empty set of non-negative integers. A set that only contains zero (\(\{0\}\)) is not considered a valid Multiplicity. Every Multiplicity has at least one corresponding String representation.

2.7.2.16 MultiplicityRange

In the metamodel a MultiplicityRange defines a range of integers. The upper bound of the range cannot be below the lower bound. The lower bound must be a nonnegative integer. The upper bound must be a nonnegative integer or the special value \(\text{unlimited}\), which indicates there is no upper bound on the range.

2.7.2.17 Name

In the metamodel a Name defines a token that is used for naming ModelElements. A name is represented as a String.

2.7.2.18 ObjectSetExpression

In the metamodel ObjectSetExpression defines a statement that will evaluate to a set of instances when it is evaluated. ObjectSetExpressions are commonly used to designate the target instances in an Action. The expression may be the reserved word “all” when used as the target of a SendAction. It evaluates to all the instances that can receive the signal, as determined by the underlying runtime system.

2.7.2.19 OrderingKind

Defines an enumeration that specifies how the elements of a set are arranged. Used in conjunction with elements that have a multiplicity in cases when the multiplicity value is greater than one. The ordering must be determined and maintained by operations.
that modify the set. The intent is that the set of enumeration literals be open for new values to be added by tools for purposes of design, code generation, etc. For example, a value of sorted might be used for a design specification.

Values are:

| unordered | The elements of the set have no inherent ordering. |
| ordered   | The elements of the set have a sequential ordering. |
|           | Other possibilities (such as sorted) may be defined later by declaring additional keywords. As with user-defined stereotypes, this would be a private extension supported by particular editing tools. |

### 2.7.2.20 ParameterDirectionKind

In the metamodel ParameterDirectionKind defines an enumeration that denotes if a Parameter is used for supplying an argument and/or for returning a value. The enumeration values are:

| in         | An input Parameter (may not be modified). |
| out        | An output Parameter (may be modified to communicate information to the caller). |
| inout      | An input Parameter that may be modified. |
| return     | A return value of a call. |

### 2.7.2.21 ProcedureExpression

In the metamodel ProcedureExpression defines a statement that will result in a change to the values of its environment when it is evaluated.
2.7.2.22  PseudostateKind

In the metamodel, PseudostateKind defines an enumeration that discriminates the kind of Pseudostate. See Section 2.12.2.7, “PseudoState,” on page 2-151 for details. The enumeration values are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>choice</th>
<th>Splits an incoming transition into several disjoint outgoing transitions. Each outgoing transition has a guard condition that is evaluated after prior actions on the incoming path have been completed. At least one outgoing transition must be enabled or the model is ill formed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deepHistory</td>
<td>When reached as the target of a transition, restores the full state configuration that was active just before the enclosing composite state was last exited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fork</td>
<td>Splits an incoming transition into several concurrent outgoing transitions. All of the transitions fire together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial</td>
<td>The default target of a transition to the enclosing composite state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>join</td>
<td>Merges transitions from concurrent regions into a single outgoing transition. All the transitions fire together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>junction</td>
<td>Chains together transitions into a single run-to-completion path. May have multiple input and/or output transitions. Each complete path involving a junction is logically independent and only one such path fires at one time. May be used to construct branches and merges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shallowHistory</td>
<td>When reached as the target of a transition, restores the state within the enclosing composite state that was active just before the enclosing state was last exited. Does not restore any substates of the last active state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.2.23  ScopeKind

In the metamodel ScopeKind defines an enumeration that denotes whether a feature belongs to individual instances or an entire classifier. Its values are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>instance</th>
<th>The feature pertains to Instances of a Classifier. For example, it is a distinct Attribute in each Instance or an Operation that works on an Instance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>classifier</td>
<td>The feature pertains to an entire Classifier. For example, it is an Attribute shared by the entire Classifier or an Operation that works on the Classifier, such as a creation operation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7.2.24 **String**

In the metamodel String is a classifier element that is an instance of Primitive. An instance of String defines a piece of text.

2.7.2.25 **TimeExpression**

In the metamodel TimeExpression defines a statement that will define the time of occurrence of an event. The specific format of time expressions is not specified here and is subject to implementation considerations.

2.7.2.26 **TypeExpression**

In the metamodel TypeExpression is the encoding of a programming language type in the interpretation language. It is used within a ProgrammingLanguageDataType.

2.7.2.27 **UnlimitedInteger**

In the metamodel, UnlimitedInteger is a classifier element that is an instance of Primitive. It defines a data type whose range is the nonnegative integers augmented by the special value “unlimited.” It is used for the upper bound of multiplicities.

2.7.2.28 **Uninterpreted**

In the metamodel an Uninterpreted is a blob, the meaning of which is domain-specific and therefore not defined in UML.

2.7.2.29 **VisibilityKind**

In the metamodel VisibilityKind defines an enumeration that denotes how the element to which it refers is seen outside the enclosing name space. Its values are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visibility Kind</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>public</td>
<td>Other elements may see and use the target element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protected</td>
<td>Descendants of the source element may see and use the target element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>private</td>
<td>Only the source element may see and use the target element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>package</td>
<td>Elements declared in the same package as the target element may see and use the target element.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part 3 - Behavioral Elements**

This Behavioral Elements package is the language superstructure that specifies the dynamic behavior or models. The Behavioral Elements package is decomposed into the following subpackages: Common Behavior, Collaborations, Use Cases, State Machines, and Activity Graphs.
2.8 Behavioral Elements Package

Common Behavior specifies the core concepts required for behavioral elements. The Collaborations package specifies a behavioral context for using model elements to accomplish a particular task. The Use Case package specifies behavior using actors and use cases. The State Machines package defines behavior using finite-state transition systems. The Activity Graphs package defines a special case of a state machine that is used to model processes.

2.9 Common Behavior

2.9.1 Overview

The Common Behavior package is the most fundamental of the subpackages that compose the Behavioral Elements package. It specifies the core concepts required for dynamic elements and provides the infrastructure to support Collaborations, State Machines, and Use Cases.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Common Behavior package.
2.9.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Common Behavior package is expressed in graphic notation in the following figures. Figure 2-14 shows the model elements that define Signals and Receptions.

Figure 2-14 Common Behavior - Signals

Figure 2-15 on page 2-96 illustrates the model elements that specify various actions, such as CreateAction, CallAction, and SendAction.
Figure 2-15 Common Behavior - Actions

Figure 2-16 on page 2-97 shows the model elements that define Instances and Links.
Figure 2-16 Common Behavior - Instances
The following metaclasses are contained in the Common Behavior package.

2.9.2.1 Action

An action is a specification of an executable statement that forms an abstraction of a computational procedure that results in a change in the state of the model, and can be realized by sending a message to an object or modifying a link or a value of an attribute.

In the metamodel an Action may be part of an ActionSequence and may contain a specification of a target as well as a specification of the actual arguments; that is, a list of Arguments containing expressions for determining the actual Instances to be used when the Action is performed (or executed).
The target metaattribute is of type ObjectSetExpression which, when executed, resolves into zero or more specific Instances that are the intended target of the Action, like a receiver of a dispatched Signal. The recurrence metaattribute specifies how the target set is iterated when the action is executed. It is not defined within UML if the action is applied sequentially or in parallel to the target instances.

Action is an abstract metaclass.

**Attributes**

- `isAsynchronous` Indicates if a dispatched Stimulus is asynchronous or not.
- `recurrence` An Expression stating how many times the Action should be performed.
- `script` An ActionExpression describing the effects of the Action.
- `target` An ObjectSetExpression that determines the target of the Action.

**Associations**

- `actualArgument` A sequence of Expressions that determines the actual arguments needed when evaluating the Action.

### 2.9.2.2 ActionSequence

An action sequence is a collection of actions.

In the metamodel an ActionSequence is an Action, which is an aggregation of other Actions. It describes the behavior of the owning State or Transition.

**Associations**

- `action` A sequence of Actions performed sequentially as an atomic unit.

### 2.9.2.3 Argument

An argument is an expression describing how to determine the actual values passed in a dispatched request.

In the metamodel an Argument is a composite part of an Action and contains a metaattribute value of type Expression. It states how the actual argument is determined when the owning Action is executed.

**Attributes**

- `value` An Expression determining the actual Instance when evaluated.
2.9.2.4 AttributeLink

An attribute link is a named slot in an instance, which holds the value of an attribute.

In the metamodel AttributeLink is a piece of the state of an Instance and holds the value of an Attribute.

Associations

| value | The Instance that is the value of the AttributeLink. |
| attribute | The Attribute from which the AttributeLink originates. |

2.9.2.5 CallAction

A call action is an action resulting in an invocation of an operation on an instance. A call action can be synchronous or asynchronous, indicating whether the operation is invoked synchronously or asynchronously.

In the metamodel the CallAction is an Action. The designated Instance or set of Instances is specified via the target expression, and the actual arguments are designated via the argument association inherited from Action. The Operation to be invoked is specified by the associated Operation.

Attributes

| isAsynchronous | (inherited from Action) Indicates if a dispatched operation is asynchronous or not. |

- False - indicates that the caller waits for the completion of the execution of the operation.
- True - Indicates that the caller does not wait for the completion of the execution of the operation but continues immediately.

Associations

| operation | The operation that will be invoked when the Action is executed. |

2.9.2.6 ComponentInstance

A component instance is an instance of a component that resides on a node instance. A component instance may have a state.

In the metamodel a ComponentInstance is an Instance that originates from a Component. It may be associated with a set of Instances, and may reside on a NodeInstance.
2.9.2.7 CreateAction

A create action is an action resulting in the creation of an instance of some classifier.

In the metamodel the CreateAction is an Action. The Classifier to be instantiated is designated by the instantiation association of the CreateAction. A CreateAction has no target instance.

Associations

 instantiation The Classifier of which an Instance will be created of when the CreateAction is performed.

2.9.2.8 DestroyAction

A destroy action is an action that results in the destruction of an object specified in the action.

In the metamodel a DestroyAction is an Action. The designated object is specified by the target association of the Action.

2.9.2.9 DataValue

A data value is an instance with no identity.

In the metamodel DataValue is a child of Instance that cannot change its state; that is, all Operations that are applicable to it are pure functions or queries. DataValues are typically used as attribute values.

2.9.2.10 Exception

An exception is a signal raised by behavioral features typically in case of execution faults.

In the metamodel Exception is derived from Signal. An Exception is associated with the BehavioralFeatures that raise it.

Associations

 context (Inherited from Signal) The set of BehavioralFeatures that raise the exception.
2.9.2.11 Instance

The instance construct defines an entity to which a set of operations can be applied and which has a state that stores the effects of the operations.

In the metamodel Instance is connected to at least one Classifier that declares its structure and behavior. It has a set of attribute values and is connected to a set of Links, both sets matching the definitions of its Classifiers. The two sets implement the current state of the Instance. An Instance may also own other Instances or Links.

Instance is an abstract metaclass.

Associations

- **slot**: The set of AttributeLinks that holds the attribute values of the Instance.
- **linkEnd**: The set of LinkEnds of the connected Links that are attached to the Instance.
- **classifier**: The set of Classifiers that declare the structure of the Instance.
- **ownedInstance**: The set of Instances that are owned by the Instance.
- **ownedLink**: The set of Links that are owned by the Instance.
- **owner**: Specifies the Instance that owns the Instance.

Standard Constraints

- **destroyed**: Destroyed is a constraint applied to an instance, specifying that the instance is destroyed during the execution.
- **new**: New is a constraint applied to an instance, specifying that the instance is created during the execution.
- **transient**: Transient is a constraint applied to an instance, specifying that the instance is created and destroyed during the execution.

Tagged Values

- **persistent**: Persistence denotes the permanence of the state of the instance, marking it as *transitory* (its state is destroyed when the instance is destroyed) or *persistent* (its state is not destroyed when the instance is destroyed).

2.9.2.12 Link

The link construct is a connection between instances.

In the metamodel Link is an instance of an Association. It has a set of LinkEnds that matches the set of AssociationEnds of the Association. A Link defines a connection between Instances.
Associations

association  The Association that is the declaration of the link.

connection  The tuple of LinkEnds that constitute the Link.

owner  Specifies the Instance that owns the Link.

Standard Constraints

destroyed  Destroyed is a constraint applied to a link, specifying that the link is destroyed during the execution.

new  New is a constraint applied to a link, specifying that the link is created during the execution.

transient  Transient is a constraint applied to a link, specifying that the link is created and destroyed during the execution.

2.9.2.13 LinkEnd

A link end is an end point of a link. In the metamodel LinkEnd is the part of a Link that connects to an Instance. It corresponds to an AssociationEnd of the Link’s Association.

Associations

associationEnd  The AssociationEnd that is the declaration of the LinkEnd.

instance  The Instance connected to the LinkEnd.

qualifierValue  The AttributeLinks that hold the values of the Qualifier associated with the corresponding AssociationEnd.

Stereotypes

association  Association is a constraint applied to a link-end, specifying that the corresponding instance is visible via association.

global  Global is a constraint applied to a link-end, specifying that the corresponding instance is visible because it is in a global scope relative to the link.

local  Local is a constraint applied to link-end, specifying that the corresponding instance is visible because it is in a local scope relative to the link.

parameter  Parameter is a constraint applied to a link-end, specifying that the corresponding instance is visible because it is a parameter relative to the link.

self  Self is a constraint applied to a link-end, specifying that the corresponding instance is visible because it is the dispatcher of a request.
2.9.2.14 LinkObject

A link object is a link with its own set of attribute values and to which a set of operations may be applied.

In the metamodel LinkObject is a connection between a set of Instances, where the connection itself may have a set of attribute values and to which a set of Operations may be applied. It is a child of both Object and Link.

2.9.2.15 NodeInstance

A node instance is an instance of a node. A collection of component instances may reside on the node instance.

In the metamodel NodeInstance is an Instance that originates from a Node. Each ComponentInstance that resides on a NodeInstance must be an instance of a Component that resides on the corresponding Node.

Associations

resident A collection of ComponentInstances that reside on the NodeInstances.

2.9.2.16 Object

An object is an instance that originates from a class.

In the metamodel Object is a subclass of Instance and it originates from at least one Class. The set of Classes may be modified dynamically, which means that the set of features of the Object may change during its lifetime.

2.9.2.17 Reception

A reception is a declaration stating that a classifier is prepared to react to the receipt of a signal. The reception designates a signal and specifies the expected behavioral response. A reception is a summary of expected behavior. The details of handling a signal are specified by a state machine.

In the metamodel Reception is a child of BehavioralFeature and declares that the Classifier containing the feature reacts to the signal designated by the reception feature. The isPolymorphic attribute specifies whether the behavior is polymorphic or not; a true value indicates that the behavior is not always the same and may be affected by state or subclassing. The specification indicates the expected response to the Signal.
**Attributes**

- **isAbstract**: If true, then the reception does not have an implementation, and one must be supplied by a descendant. If false, the reception must have an implementation in the classifier or inherited from an ancestor.

- **isLeaf**: If true, then the implementation of the reception may not be overridden by a descendant classifier. If false, then the implementation of the reception may be overridden by a descendant classifier (but it need not be overridden).

- **isRoot**: If true, then the classifier must not inherit a declaration of the same reception. If false, then the classifier may (but need not) inherit a declaration of the same reception. (But the declaration must match in any case; a classifier may not modify an inherited declaration of a reception.)

- **Specification**: A description of the effects of the classifier receiving a Signal, stated by a String.

**Associations**

- **Signal**: The Signal that the Classifier is prepared to handle.

### 2.9.2.18 ReturnAction

A return action is an action that results in returning a value to a caller.

In the metamodel ReturnAction is an Action that causes values to be passed back to the activator. The values are represented by the arguments inherited from Action. A ReturnAction has no explicit target.

### 2.9.2.19 SendAction

A send action is an action that results in the (asynchronous) sending of a signal. The signal can be directed to a set of receivers via an objectSetExpression, or sent implicitly to an unspecified set of receivers, defined by some external mechanism. For example, if the signal is an exception, the receiver is determined by the underlying runtime system mechanisms.

In the metamodel SendAction is an Action. It is associated with the Signal to be raised, and its actual arguments are specified by the argument association, inherited from Action.

**Associations**

- **Signal**: The signal that will be invoked when the Action is executed.
2.9.2.20 Signal

A signal is a specification of an asynchronous stimulus communicated between instances. The receiving instance handles the signal by a state machine. Signal is a generalizable element and is defined independently of the classes handling the signal. A reception is a declaration that a class handles a signal, but the actual handling is specified by a state machine.

In the metamodel Signal is a child to Classifier, with the parameters expressed as Attributes. A Signal is always asynchronous. A Signal is associated with the BehavioralFeatures that raise it.

Associations

context The set of BehavioralFeatures that raise the signal.

reception A set of Receptions that indicates Classes prepared to handle the signal.

2.9.2.21 Stimulus

A stimulus reifies a communication between two instances.

In the metamodel Stimulus is a communication; that is, a Signal sent to an Instance, or an invocation of an Operation. It can also be a request to create an Instance, or to destroy an Instance. It has a sender, a receiver, and may have a set of actual arguments, all being Instances.

Associations

argument The sequence of Instances being the arguments of the MessageInstance.

communicationLink The Link that is used for communication.

dispatchAction The Action that caused the Stimulus to be dispatched when it was executed.

receiver The Instance that receives the Stimulus.

sender The Instance that sends the Stimulus.

2.9.2.22 SubsystemInstance

A subsystem instance is an instance of a subsystem. It is the runtime representation of a subsystem, hence it can be connected to links corresponding to associations of the subsystem. Its task is to handle incoming communication by re-directing stimuli to the appropriate receiver inside the subsystem.

In the metamodel SubsystemInstance is a subclass of Instance.
2.9.2.23 **TerminateAction**

A terminate action results in self-destruction of an object.

In the metamodel TerminateAction is a child of Action. The target of a TerminateAction is implicitly the Instance executing the action, so there is no explicit target.

2.9.2.24 **UninterpretedAction**

An uninterpreted action represents an action that is not explicitly reified in the UML.

Taken to the extreme, any action is a call or raise on some instance, like in Smalltalk. However, in more practical terms, uninterpreted actions can be used to model language-specific actions that are neither call actions nor send actions, and are not easily categorized under the other types of actions.

2.9.3 **Well-Formedness Rules**

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Common Behavior package.

2.9.3.1 **Action**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.9.3.2 **ActionSequence**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.9.3.3 **Argument**

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.9.3.4 **AttributeLink**

[1] The type of the Instance must match the type of the Attribute.

\[
\text{self.value.classifier->union (}
\text{self.value.classifier.allParents)->includes (}
\text{self.attribute.type)}
\]

2.9.3.5 **CallAction**

[1] The number of arguments must be the same as the number of parameters in the Operation.

\[
\text{self.actualArgument->size = self.operation.parameter->size}
\]
2.9.3.6  ComponentInstance

   self.classifier->size = 1
   and
   self.classifier.oclIsKindOf(Component)

[2] A ComponentInstance may only own ComponentInstances.
   self.contents->forAll (c | c.oclIsKindOf(ComponentInstance))

2.9.3.7  CreateAction

[1] A CreateAction does not have a target expression.
   self.target->isEmpty

2.9.3.8  DestroyAction

[1] A DestroyAction should not have arguments.
   self.actualArgument->size = 0

2.9.3.9  DataValue

[1] A DataValue originates from exactly one Classifier, which is aDataType.
   (self.classifier->size = 1)
   and
   self.classifier.oclIsKindOf(DataType)

[2] A DataValue has no AttributeLinks.
   self.slot->isEmpty

[3] A DataValue may not contain any Instances.
   self.contents->isEmpty

2.9.3.10 Exception

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.9.3.11 Instance

   self.slot->forall (al |
   self.classifier->exists (c |
   c.allAttributes->includes (al.attribute)))

   self.allLinks->forall (l |
self.classifier->exists ( c | c.allAssociations->includes ( l.association ) )
[3] If two Operations have the same signature they must be the same.
self.classifier->forAll ( c1, c2 | c1.allOperations->forAll ( op1 | c2.allOperations->forAll ( op2 | op1.hasSameSignature (op2) implies op1 = op2 ) ) )

[3] There are no name conflicts between the AttributeLinks and opposite LinkEnds.
self.slot->forAll( al | not self.allOppositeLinkEnds->exists( le | le.name = al.name ) )
and
self.allOppositeLinkEnds->forAll( le | not self.slot->exists( al | le.name = al.name ) )

[4] For each Association in which an Instance is involved, the number of opposite LinkEnds must match the multiplicity of the AssociationEnd.
self.classifier.allOppositeAssociationEnds->forAll ( ae | ae.multiplicity.multiplicityRange->exists ( mr | self.selectedLinkEnds (ae)->size >= mr.lower and (mr.upper = 'unlimited' or (mr.upper <> 'unlimited' and self.selectedLinkEnds (ae)->size <= mr.upper.oclAsType (Integer) ) ) ) )

[5] The number of associated AttributeLinks must match the multiplicity of the Attribute.
self.classifier.allAttributes->forAll ( a | a.multiplicity.multiplicityRange->exists ( mr | self.selectedAttributeLinks (a)->size >= mr.lower and (mr.upper = 'unlimited' or (mr.upper <> 'unlimited' and self.selectedAttributeLinks (a)->size <= mr.upper.oclAsType (Integer) ) ) ) )

**Additional operations**

[1] The operation allLinks results in a set containing all Links of the Instance itself.
allLinks : set(Link);
allLinks = self.linkEnd.link

[2] The operation allOppositeLinkEnds results in a set containing all LinkEnds of Links connected to the Instance with another LinkEnd.
alOppositeLinkEnds : set(LinkEnd);
alOppositeLinkEnds = self.allLinks.connection->select (le | le.instance <> self)
[3] The operation selectedLinkEnds results in a set containing all opposite LinkEnds corresponding to a given AssociationEnd.

\[
\text{selectedLinkEnds \ (ae : \text{AssociationEnd}) : \text{set(\text{LinkEnd})}};
\]
\[
\text{selectedLinkEnds \ (ae) = self.allOppositeLinkEnds->select \ (le | \le.associationEnd = ae)};
\]

[4] The operation selectedAttributeLinks results in a set containing all AttributeLinks corresponding to a given Attribute.

\[
\text{selectedAttributeLinks \ (ae : \text{Attribute}) : \text{set(\text{AttributeLink})}};
\]
\[
\text{selectedAttributeLinks \ (a) = self.slot->select \ (s | \ s.attribute = a)};
\]

[5] The operation contents results in a Set containing all ModelElements contained by the Instance.

\[
\text{contents : Set(\text{ModelElement})};
\]
\[
\text{contents = self.ownedInstance->union(self.ownedLink)};
\]

2.9.3.12 Link

[1] The set of LinkEnds must match the set of AssociationEnds of the Association.

\[
\text{Sequence \ (1..self.connection->size)->forAll \ (i | self.connection->at \ (i).associationEnd = self.association.connection->at \ (i))};
\]

[2] There are not two Links of the same Association that connects the same set of Instances in the same way.

\[
\text{self.association.link->forAll \ (l | Sequence \ (1..self.connection->size)->forAll \ (i | self.connection->at \ (i).instance = l.connection->at \ (i).instance) \ implies \ self = l)};
\]

2.9.3.13 LinkEnd

[1] The type of the Instance must match the type of the AssociationEnd.

\[
\text{self.instance.classifier->union (self.instance.classifier.allParents)->includes (self.associationEnd.type)};
\]

2.9.3.14 LinkObject

[1] One of the Classifiers must be the same as the Association.

\[
\text{self.classifier->includes(self.association)};
\]

[2] The Association must be a kind of AssociationClass.

\[
\text{self.association.oclIsKindOf(AssociationClass)};
\]
2.9.3.15 NodeInstance

[1] A NodeInstance must have only one Classifier as its origin, and it must be a Node.

\[
\text{self.classifier->forall (c | c.oclIsKindOf(Node))} \\
\text{and} \\
\text{self.classifier->size = 1}
\]

[2] Each ComponentInstance that resides on a NodeInstance must be an instance of a Component that resides on the corresponding Node.

\[
\text{self.resident->forall(n |} \\
\text{self.classifier.resident->includes(n.classifier))}
\]


\[
\text{self.contents->isEmpty}
\]

2.9.3.16 Object

[1] Each of the Classifiers must be a kind of Class or ClassifierInState.

\[
\text{self.classifier->forall (c | c.oclIsKindOf(Class) or} \\
\text{(c.oclIsKindOf(ClassifierInState) and} \\
\text{c.oclAsType(ClassifierInState).type.oclIsKindOf(Class)))}
\]


\[
\text{self.contents->forall(c |} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(Object) or} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(DataValue) or} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(Link) or} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(UseCaseInstance) or} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(CollaborationInstance) or} \\
\text{c.oclIsKindOf(Stimuli))}
\]

2.9.3.17 Reception


\[
\text{not self.isQuery}
\]

2.9.3.18 ReturnAction


\[
\text{self.isAsynchronous}
\]

2.9.3.19 SendAction

[1] The number of arguments is the same as the number of parameters of the Signal.
self.actualArgument->size = self.signal.allAttributes->size


self.isAsynchronous

### 2.9.3.20 Signal


self.contents->isEmpty

### 2.9.3.21 Stimulus

[1] The number of arguments must match the number of Arguments of the Action.

self.dispatchAction.actualArgument->size = self.argument->size

[2] The Action must be a SendAction, a CallAction, a CreateAction, or a DestroyAction.

self.dispatchAction.oclIsKindOf(SendAction) or
self.dispatchAction.oclIsKindOf(CallAction) or
self.dispatchAction.oclIsKindOf(CreateAction) or
self.dispatchAction.oclIsKindOf(DestroyAction)

### 2.9.3.22 SubsystemInstance

[1] A SubsystemInstance may only own Objects, DataValues, Links, UseCaseInstances, CollaborationInstances, SubsystemInstances, and Stimuli.

self.contents->forall (c |
    c.oclIsKindOf(Object) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(DataValue) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Link) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(UseCaseInstance) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(CollaborationInstance) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(SubsystemInstance) or
    c.oclIsKindOf(Stimulus) )


self.classifier.oclIsKindOf(Subsystem)

### 2.9.3.23 TerminateAction


self.actualArguments->size = 0

### 2.9.3.24 UninterpretedAction

No extra well-formedness rules.
2.9.4 Detailed Semantics

This section provides a description of the semantics of the elements in the Common Behavior package.

2.9.4.1 Object and DataValue

An object is an instance that originates from a class, it is structured and behaves according to its class. All objects originating from the same class are structured in the same way, although each of them has its own set of attribute links. Each attribute link references an instance, usually a data value. The number of attribute links with the same name fulfills the multiplicity of the corresponding attribute in the class. The set may be modified according to the specification in the corresponding attribute; for example, each referenced instance must originate from (a specialization of) the type of the attribute, and attribute links may be added or removed according to the changeable property of the attribute.

An object may have multiple classes; that is, it may originate from several classes. In this case, the object will have all the features declared in all of these classes, both the structural and the behavioral ones. Moreover, the set of classes; that is, the set of features that the object conforms to may vary over time. New classes may be added to the object and old ones may be detached. This means that the features of the new classes are dynamically added to the object, and the features declared in a class that is removed from the object are dynamically removed from the object. No name clashes between attributes links and opposite link ends are allowed, and each operation that is applicable to the object should have a unique signature.

Another kind of instance is data value, which is an instance with no identity. Moreover, a data value cannot change its state; all operations that are applicable to a data value are queries and do not cause any side effects. Since it is not possible to differentiate between two data values that appear to be the same, it becomes more of a philosophical issue whether there are several data values representing the same value or just one for each value-it is not possible to tell. In addition, a data value cannot change its data type.

An instance may contain other instances as a result of a (namespace) containment between their classifiers. Namespace rules imply that an instance contained in another instance has access to all names that are accessible to its container instance.

Subsystem instances are further discussed in Section 2.14, “Model Management,” on page 2-187.

2.9.4.2 Link

A link is a connection between instances. Each link is an instance of an association; that is, a link connects instances of (specializations of) the associated classifiers. In the context of an instance, an opposite end defines the set of instances connected to the instance via links of the same association and each instance is attached to its link via a link-end originating from the same association-end. However, to be able to use a particular opposite end, the corresponding link end attached to the instance must be
navigable. An instance may use its opposite ends to access the associated instances. An instance can communicate with the instances of its opposite ends and use references to them as arguments or reply values in communications.

A link object is a special kind of link, which at the same time is also an object. Since an object may change its classes this is also true for a link object. However, one of the classes must always be an association class.

2.9.4.3 Signal, Exception and Stimulus

Several kinds of requests exist between instances; for example, sending a signal and invoking an operation. The former is used to trigger a reaction in the receiver in an asynchronous way and without a reply, while the latter applies an operation to an instance, which can be either done synchronously or asynchronously and may require a reply from the receiver to the sender. Other kinds of requests are used for example to create a new instance or to delete an already existing instance. When an instance communicates with another instance a stimulus is passed between the two instances. Each stimulus has a sender instance and a receiver instance, and possibly a sequence of arguments according to the specifying signal or operation. The stimulus uses a link between the sender and the receiver for communication. This link may be missing if the receiver is an argument inside the current activation, a local or global variable, or if the stimulus is sent to the sender instance itself. Moreover, a stimulus is dispatched by an action (e.g., a call action or a send action). The action specifies the request made by the stimulus, like the operation to be invoked or the signal event to be raised, as well as how the actual arguments of the stimulus are determined.

A signal may be attached to a classifier, which means that instances of the classifier will be able to receive that signal. This is facilitated by declaring a reception by the classifier. An exception is a special kind of signal, typically used to signal fault situations. The sender of the exception aborts execution and execution resumes with the receiver of the exception, which may be the sender itself. Unlike other signals, the receiver of an exception is determined implicitly by the interaction sequence during execution; it is not explicitly specified as the target of the send action.

The reception of a stimulus originating from a call action by an instance causes the invocation of an operation on the receiver. The receiver executes the method that is found in the full descriptor of the class that corresponds to the operation. The reception of a stimulus originating from a signal by an instance may cause a transition and subsequent effects as specified by the state machine for the classifier of the recipient. This form of behavior is described in the State Machines package. Note that the invoked behavior is described by methods and state machine transitions. Operations and receptions merely declare that a classifier accepts a given operation invocation or signal but they do not specify the implementation.

2.9.4.4 Action

An action is a specification of a computable statement. Each kind of action is defined as a subclass of action. The following kinds of actions are defined:
• send action is an action in which a stimulus is created that causes a signal event for the receiver(s).

• call action is an action in which a stimulus is created that causes an operation to be invoked on the receiver.

• create action is an action in which an instance is created based on the definitions of the specified set of classifiers.

• terminate action is an action in which an instance causes itself to cease to exist.

• destroy action is an action in which an instance causes another instance to cease to exist.

• return action is an action that returns a value to a caller.

• uninterpreted action is an action that has no interpretation in UML.

Each action specifies the target of the action and the arguments of the action. The target of an action is an object set expression, which resolves into zero or more instances when the action is executed; for example, the receiver of a stimulus or the instance to be destroyed. The action also specifies if it should iterate over the set of target instances (recurrence). Note, however, that UML does not define if the action is applied to the target instances sequentially or in parallel. The recurrence can also (in the degenerated case) be used for specification of a condition, which must be fulfilled if the action is to be applied to the target; otherwise, the request is neglected.

The arguments of the action resolve into a sequence of instances when the action is executed. These instances are the actual arguments of (for example, the stimulus being dispatched by the action); that is, the instances passed with a signal or the instances used in an operation invocation. The argument sequence may be dependent on the recurrence; that is, the arguments may vary dependent on the actual target.

An action is always executed within the context of an instance, so the target set expression and the argument expressions are evaluated within an instance.

2.10 Collaborations

2.10.1 Overview

The Collaborations package is a subpackage of the Behavioral Elements package. The package uses constructs defined in the Foundation package and the Common Behavior packages.

The Collaborations package provides the means to define Collaborations and CollaborationInstanceSets. The main constructs used in a Collaboration include ClassifierRole, AssociationRole, Interaction, and Message while Instance, Stimulus, and Link are used in a CollaborationInstanceSet.

The description of cooperating Instances involves two aspects: 1) the structural description of the participants, and 2) the description of their communication patterns. The structure of the participants that play the roles in the performance of a specific task and their relationships is called a Collaboration. The communication pattern
performed by Instances playing the roles to accomplish the task is called an
*Interaction*. The behavior is implemented by ensembles of Instances that exchange
Stimuli within an overall Interaction. To understand the mechanisms used in a design,
it is important to see only those Instances and their Interactions that are involved in
accomplishing a purpose or a related set of purposes, projected from the larger system
of which they are part of.

A Collaboration includes a set of ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles that define the
participants needed for a given set of purposes. Instances conforming to the
ClassifierRoles play the roles defined by the ClassifierRoles, while Links between the
Instances conform to AssociationRoles of the Collaboration. ClassifierRoles and
AssociationRoles define a usage of Instances and Links, and the Classifiers and
Associations declare all required properties of these Instances and Links.

An Interaction is defined in the context of a Collaboration. It specifies the
communication patterns between the roles in the Collaboration. More precisely, it
contains a set of partially ordered Messages, each specifying one communication; for
example, what Signal to be sent or what Operation to be invoked, as well as the roles
to be played by the sender and the receiver, respectively.

A CollaborationInstanceSet references a collection of Instances that jointly perform the
task specified by the CollaborationInstanceSet’s Collaboration. These Instances play
the roles defined by the ClassifierRoles of the Collaboration; that is, the Instances have
all the properties stated by (the Instances conform to) the ClassifierRoles. The Stimuli
sent between the Instances when performing the task are participating in the
InteractionInstanceSet of the CollaborationInstanceSet. These Stimuli conform to the
Messages in one of the Interactions of the Collaboration. Since an Instance can
participate in several CollaborationInstanceSets at the same time, all its
communications are not necessarily referenced by only one InteractionInstanceSet.
They can be interleaved.

A parameterized Collaboration represents a design construct that can be used
repeatedly in different designs. The participants in the Collaboration, including the
Classifiers and Relationships, can be parameters of the generic Collaboration. The
parameters are bound to particular ModelElements in each instantiation of generic
Collaboration. Such a parameterized Collaboration can capture the structure of a
design pattern (note that a design pattern involves more than structural aspects).
Whereas most Collaborations can be anonymous because they are attached to a named
ModelElement, Collaboration patterns are free standing design constructs that must
have names.

A Collaboration may be expressed at different levels of granularity. A coarse-grained
Collaboration may be refined to produce another Collaboration that has a finer
granularity.

Collaborations can be used for expressing several different things, like how use cases
are realized, actor structures of ROOM, OOram role models, and collaborations as
defined in Catalysis. They are also used for setting up the context of Interactions and
for defining the mapping between the specification part and the realization part of a
Subsystem.
A Collaboration may be attached to an Operation or a Classifier, like a UseCase, to describe the realization of the Operation or of the Classifier; that is, what roles Instances play to perform the behavior specified by the Operation or the UseCase. A Collaboration that describes a Classifier, like a UseCase, references Classifiers and Associations in general, while a Collaboration describing an Operation includes the arguments and the local variables of the Operation, as well as ordinary Associations attached to the Classifier owning the Operation. The Interactions defined within the Collaboration specify the communication pattern between the Instances when they perform the behavior specified in the Operation or the UseCase. A Collaboration may also be attached to a Classifier to define the static structure of it; that is, the roles played by the Attributes, the Parameters, etc.

A ClassifierRole or an AssociationRole has one or a collection of Classifiers or Associations as its base. The same Classifier or Association can appear as the base of roles in several Collaborations and several times in the same Collaboration, each time in a different role. In each appearance it is specified which of the properties of the Classifier or the Association are needed in the particular usage. These properties constitute a subset of all the properties of that Classifier or Association.

A Collaboration is a GeneralizableElement. This implies that a Collaboration may specify a task that is a specialization of another Collaboration’s task.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Collaborations package.

2.10.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Collaborations package is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-18 through Figure 2-20.
Figure 2-18 Collaborations - Roles
Figure 2-19 Collaborations - Interactions
2.10.2.1 AssociationEndRole

An association-end role specifies an endpoint of an association as used in a collaboration.

In the metamodel an AssociationEndRole is part of an AssociationRole and specifies the connection of an AssociationRole to a ClassifierRole. It is related to the AssociationEnd, declaring the corresponding part in an Association.

**Attributes**

- **collaborationMultiplicity**  The number of LinkEnds playing this role in a Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **availableQualifier**  The subset of Qualifiers that are used in the Collaboration.
- **base**  The AssociationEnd which the AssociationEndRole is a projection of.

2.10.2.2 AssociationRole

An association role is a specific usage of an association needed in a collaboration.
In the metamodel an AssociationRole specifies a restricted view of an Association used in a Collaboration. An AssociationRole is a composition of a set of AssociationEndRoles corresponding to the AssociationEnds of its base Association.

**Attributes**

- **multiplicity**
  The number of Links playing this role in a Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **base**
  The Association which the AssociationRole is a view of.

- **conformingLink**
  The collection of Links that conforms to the AssociationRole.

### 2.10.2.3 ClassifierRole

A classifier role is a specific role played by a participant in a collaboration. It specifies a restricted view of a classifier, defined by what is required in the collaboration.

In the metamodel a ClassifierRole specifies one participant of a Collaboration; that is, a role Instances conform to. A ClassifierRole defines a set of Features, which is a subset of those available in the base Classifiers, as well as a subset of ModelElements contained in the base Classifiers, that are used in the role. The ClassifierRole may be connected to a set of AssociationRoles via AssociationEndRoles. As ClassifierRole is a kind of Classifier, a Generalization relationship may be defined between two ClassifierRoles. The child role is a specialization of the parent; that is, the Features and the contents of the child includes the Features and contents of the parent.

**Attributes**

- **multiplicity**
  The number of Instances playing this role in a Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **availableContents**
  The subset of ModelElements contained in the base Classifier, which is used in the Collaboration.

- **availableFeature**
  The subset of Features of the base Classifier, which is used in the Collaboration.

- **base**
  The Classifiers, which the ClassifierRole is a view of.

- **conformingInstance**
  The collection of Instances that conforms to the ClassifierRole.

### 2.10.2.4 Collaboration

A collaboration describes how an operation or a classifier, like a use case, is realized by a set of classifiers and associations used in a specific way. The collaboration defines a set of roles to be played by instances and links, as well as a set of interactions that define the communication between the instances when they play the roles.
In the metamodel a Collaboration contains a set of ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles, which represent the Classifiers and Associations that take part in the realization of the associated Classifier or Operation. The Collaboration may also contain a set of Interactions that are used for describing the behavior performed by Instances conforming to the participating ClassifierRoles.

A Collaboration specifies a view (restriction, slice, projection) of a model of Classifiers. The projection describes the required relationships between Instances that conform to the participating ClassifierRoles, as well as the required subsets of the Features and contained ModelElements of these Classifiers. Several Collaborations may describe different projections of the same set of Classifiers. Hence, a Classifier can be a base for several ClassifierRoles.

A Collaboration may also reference a set of ModelElements, usually Classifiers and Generalizations, needed for expressing structural requirements, such as Generalizations required between the Classifiers themselves to fulfill the intent of the Collaboration.

A Collaboration is a GeneralizableElement, which implies that one Collaboration may specify a task that is a specialization of the task of another Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **constrainingElement** The ModelElements that add extra constraints, like Generalization and Constraint, on the ModelElements participating in the Collaboration.
- **interaction** The set of Interactions that are defined within the Collaboration.
- **ownedElement** (Inherited from Namespace) The set of roles defined by the Collaboration. These are ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles.
- **representedClassifier** The Classifier the Collaboration is a realization of. (Used if the Collaboration represents a Classifier.)
- **representedOperation** The Operation the Collaboration is a realization of. (Used if the Collaboration represents an Operation.)
- **usedCollaboration** Collaborations that are used when defining the source Collaboration.

**2.10.2.5 CollaborationInstanceSet**

A collaboration instance set references a set of instances that jointly collaborate in performing the particular task specified by the collaboration of the collaboration instance. The instances in the collaboration instance set play the roles defined in the collaboration.

In the metamodel a CollaborationInstanceSet references a set of Instances and Links that play the roles defined by the ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles of the CollaborationInstanceSet’s Collaboration.
A CollaborationInstanceSet contains an InteractionInstanceSet, which references the set of Stimuli that are interchanged between the Instances of the CollaborationInstanceSet and corresponds to the Messages of an Interaction in the CollaborationInstanceSet’s Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **constrainingElement**: The ModelElements that add extra constraints, like Generalization and Constraint, on the ModelElements participating in the Collaboration.
- **collaboration**: The Collaboration, which declares the roles that the Instances that participate in the CollaborationInstanceSet play.
- **interactionInstanceSet**: The InteractionInstanceSet that references the Stimuli passed between the Instances when performing the task of the CollaborationInstanceSet’s Collaboration.
- **participatingInstance**: The set of Instances that participate in the CollaborationInstanceSet.
- **participatingLink**: The set of Links that participate in the CollaborationInstanceSet.

**2.10.2.6 Interaction**

An interaction specifies the communication between instances performing a specific task. Each interaction is defined in the context of a collaboration.

In the metamodel an Interaction contains a set of Messages specifying the communication between a set of Instances conforming to the ClassifierRoles of the owning Collaboration.

**Associations**

- **context**: The Collaboration that defines the context of the Interaction.
- **message**: The Messages that specify the communication in the Interaction.

**2.10.2.7 InteractionInstanceSet**

An interaction instance set is the set of stimuli that participate in a collaboration instance set.

In the metamodel an InteractionInstanceSet references a collection of Stimuli that conform to the Messages of the InteractionInstanceSet’s Interaction.
Associations

2.10.2.8 Message

A message defines a particular communication between instances that is specified in an interaction.

In the metamodel a Message defines one specific kind of communication in an Interaction. A communication can be raising a Signal, invoking an Operation, creating or destroying an Instance. The Message specifies not only the kind of communication, but also the roles of the sender and the receiver, the dispatching Action, and the role played by the communication Link. Furthermore, the Message defines the relative sequencing of Messages within the Interaction.

Associations

2.10.3 Well-Formedness Rules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Collaborations package.

2.10.3.1 AssociationEndRole

[1] The type of the ClassifierRole must conform to the type of the base AssociationEnd.
self.type.base = self.base.type

or
self.type.base.allParents->includes (self.base.type)

[2] The type must be a kind of ClassifierRole.

self.type.oclIsKindOf (ClassifierRole)

[3] The qualifiers used in the AssociationEndRole must be a subset of those in the base AssociationEnd.

self.base.qualifier->includesAll (self.availableQualifier)

[4] In a Collaboration an Association may only be used for traversal if it is allowed by the base Association.

self.isNavigable implies self.base.isNavigable

[5] An AssociationEndRole is not a role of another AssociationEndRole.

not self.base.oclIsKindOf (AssociationEndRole)

2.10.3.2 AssociationRole


Sequence { 1..(self.connection->size) }->forAll (index |

self.connection->at(index).base =
self.base.connection->at(index))

[2] The endpoints must be a kind of AssociationEndRoles.

self.connection->forAll( r | r.oclIsKindOf (AssociationEndRole) )


not self.base.oclIsKindOf (AssociationEnd)

2.10.3.3 ClassifierRole

[1] The AssociationRoles connected to the ClassifierRole must match a subset of the Associations connected to the base Classifiers.

self.allAssociations->forAll( ar |

self.base.allAssociations->exists ( a | ar.base = a ) )

[2] The Features and contents of the ClassifierRole must be subsets of those of the base Classifiers.

self.base.allFeatures->includesAll (self.allAvailableFeatures)

and

self.base.allContents->includesAll (self.allAvailableContents)

[3] A ClassifierRole does not have any Features of its own.

self.allFeatures->isEmpty

[4] A ClassifierRole is not a role of another ClassifierRole.
Additional operations

[1] The operation allAvailableFeatures results in the set of all Features contained in the ClassifierRole together with those contained in the parents.

\[
\text{allAvailableFeatures} : \text{Set(Feature)};
\]
\[
\text{allAvailableFeatures} = \text{self}.\text{availableFeature} \cup (\text{self}.\text{parent}.\text{allAvailableFeatures})
\]

[2] The operation allAvailableContents results in the set of all ModelElements contained in the ClassifierRole together with those contained in the parents.

\[
\text{allAvailableContents} : \text{Set(ModelElement)};
\]
\[
\text{allAvailableContents} = \text{self}.\text{availableContents} \cup (\text{self}.\text{parent}.\text{allAvailableContents})
\]

2.10.3.4 Collaboration

[1] All Classifiers and Associations of the ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles in the Collaboration must be included in the namespace owning the Collaboration.

\[
\text{self}.\text{allContents} \forall (e | (e.\text{oclIsKindOf} (\text{ClassifierRole}) \implies \\
\text{self}.\text{namespace}.\text{allContents} \ni (e.\text{oclAsType(ClassifierRole)}.\text{base}) ) \\
\text{and} \\
(e.\text{oclIsKindOf} (\text{AssociationRole}) \implies \\
\text{self}.\text{namespace}.\text{allContents} \ni (e.\text{oclAsType(AssociationRole)}.\text{base}) ))
\]

[2] All the constraining ModelElements must be included in the namespace owning the Collaboration.

\[
\text{self}.\text{constrainingElement} \forall (ce | \\
\text{self}.\text{namespace}.\text{allContents} \ni (ce))
\]

[3] If a ClassifierRole or an AssociationRole does not have a name, then it should be the only one with a particular base.

\[
\text{self}.\text{allContents} \forall (p | \\
(p.\text{oclIsKindOf} (\text{ClassifierRole}) \implies \\
p.\text{name} = '' \implies \\
\text{self}.\text{allContents} \forall (q | \\
q.\text{oclIsKindOf(ClassifierRole)} \implies \\
(p.\text{oclAsType(ClassifierRole)}.\text{base} = \\
q.\text{oclAsType(ClassifierRole)}.\text{base} \implies \\
p = q) )) \text{ and}
\]
(poclIsKindOf(AssociationRole) implies
  p.name = '' implies
  self.allContents->forAll ( q |
    qoclIsKindOf(AssociationRole) implies
    (poclAsType(AssociationRole).base =
    qoclAsType(AssociationRole).base implies
    p = q} ) )

[4] A Collaboration may only contain ClassifierRoles and AssociationRoles, the
Generalizations and the Constraints between them, and Actions used in the
Collaboration’s Interactions.

  self.allContents->forAll ( p |
    poclIsKindOf(ClassifierRole) or
    poclIsKindOf(AssociationRole) or
    poclIsKindOf(Generalization) or
    poclIsKindOf(Action) or
    poclIsKindOf(Constraint) )

[5] An Action contained in a Collaboration must be connected to a Message; that is, be the

  self.allContents->forAll ( p |
    poclIsKindOf(Action) implies
    self.interaction->exists ( i : Interaction |
    i.messages->exists ( m : Message | m.action = p ) ) )

[6] A role with the same name as one of the roles in a parent of the Collaboration must be a
child (a specialization) of that role.

  self.contents->forAll ( c |
    self.parent.allContents->forAll ( p |
    c.name = p.name implies c.allParents->include (p) ) )

Additional operations

[1] The operation allContents results in the set of all ModelElements contained in the
Collaboration together with those contained in the parents except those that have been
specialized.

  allContents : Set(ModelElement);
  allContents = self.contents->union (self.parent.allContents->reject ( e |
  self.contents.name->include (e.name) )

2.10.3.5 CollaborationInstanceSet

[1] The Interaction of the CollaborationInstanceSet’s InteractionInstanceSet must be defined
within the CollaborationInstanceSet’s Collaboration.
self.collision.interaction->includes (  
    self.interactionInstanceSet.interaction)

2.10.3.6 Interaction

[1] All Signals being sent must be included in the namespace owning the Collaboration in
which the Interaction is defined.
self.message->forAll ( m |  
    m.actionoclIsKindOf(SendAction) implies  
    self.context.namespace.allContents->includes (  
        m.action->oclAsType (SendAction).signal) )

2.10.3.7 InteractionInstanceSet

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.10.3.8 Message

[1] The sender and the receiver must participate in the Collaboration, which defines the
context of the Interaction.
self.interaction.context.ownedElement->includes (self.sender)  
and  
self.interaction.context.ownedElement->includes (self.receiver)

[2] The predecessors and the activator must be contained in the same Interaction.
self.predecessor->forAll ( p | p.interaction = self.interaction )  
and  
self.activator->forAll ( a | a.interaction = self.interaction )

[3] The predecessors must have the same activator as the Message.
self.allPredecessors->forAll ( p | p.activator = self.activator )

not self.allPredecessors->includes (self)

[5] The communicationLink of the Message must be an AssociationRole in the context of the
Message’s Interaction.
self.interaction.context.ownedElement->includes (  
    self.communicationConnection)

[6] The sender and the receive roles must be connected by the AssociationRole, which acts as
the communication connection.
self.communicationConnection->size > 0 implies  
    self.communicationConnection.connection->exists (ar |  
        ar.type = self.sender)  
and
self.communicationConnection.connection->exists (ar |
    ar.type = self.receiver)

Additional operations

[1] The operation allPredecessors results in the set of all Messages that precede the current one.

    allPredecessors : Set(Message);
    allPredecessors = self.predecessor->union
        (self.predecessor.allPredecessors)

2.10.4 Detailed Semantics

This section provides a description of the semantics of the elements in the Collaborations package. It is divided into two parts: Collaboration and Interaction. The description of behavior involves two aspects: 1) the structural description of the participants, and 2) the description of their communication patterns. The structure of Instances playing roles in a behavior and their relationships is described by a collaboration. The communication pattern performed by Instances playing the roles to accomplish a specific purpose is specified by an interaction.

2.10.4.1 Collaboration

Behavior is implemented by ensembles of instances that exchange stimuli to accomplish a task. To understand the mechanisms used in a design, it is important to see only those instances and their interactions that are involved in accomplishing the task or a related set of tasks, projected from the larger system of which they are parts of, and might be used for other purposes as well. Such a static construct is called a collaboration.

A collaboration defines an ensemble of participants that are needed for a given set of purposes. The participants define roles that instances and links play when interacting with each other. The roles to be played by the instances are modeled as classifier roles, and by the links as association roles. Classifier roles and association roles define a usage of instances and links, while the classifiers and associations specify all required properties of these instances and links. This means that the structure of an ensemble of interlinked instances conforms to the roles in a collaboration as they collaborate to achieve a given task. Reasoning about the behavior of an ensemble of instances can therefore be done in the context of the collaboration as well as in the context of the instances.

A collaboration can be used for specification of how an operation or a classifier, like a use case, is realized by an ensemble of classifiers and associations. Together, the classifiers and their associations participating in the collaboration meet the requirements of the realized operation or classifier. The collaboration defines a context in which the behavior of the realized element can be specified.
A collaboration specifies what properties instances must have to be able to take part in the collaboration; that is, a role in the collaboration specifies the required set of features a conforming instance must have. Furthermore, the collaboration also states what associations must exist between the participants, as well as what classifiers a participant, like a subsystem, must contain. Neither all features nor all contents of the participating classifiers and not all associations between these classifiers are always required in a particular collaboration. Because of this, a collaboration is defined in terms of classifier roles. A classifier role is a description of the features required in a particular collaboration; that is, a classifier role can be seen as a projection of a classifier, which is called the base of the classifier role. (In fact, since an instance can originate from multiple classifiers at the same time (multiple classification), a classifier role can have several base classifiers.) However, instances of different classifiers can play the role defined by the classifier role, as long as they have all the required properties. Several classifier roles may have the same base classifier, even in the same collaboration, but their features and contained elements may be different subsets of the features and contained elements of the classifier. These classifier roles specify different roles played by (possibly different) instances of the same classifier.

A collaboration may be attached to an operation or a classifier, like a use case, to describe the context in which their behavior occurs; that is, what roles instances play to perform the behavior specified by the operation or the use case. A collaboration used in this way describes the realization of the operation or the classifier. A collaboration that describes for example a use case, references classifiers and associations in general, while a collaboration describing an operation includes only the parameters and the local variables of the operation, as well as ordinary associations attached to the classifier owning the operation. The interactions defined within the collaboration (see below) specify the communication pattern between the instances when they perform the behavior specified in the operation or the use case. A collaboration may also be attached to a class to define its static structure; that is, how its attributes, parameters etc. cooperate with each other.

In a collaboration the association roles define what associations are needed between the classifiers in this context. Each association role represents the usage of an association in the collaboration, and it is defined between the classifier roles that represent the associated classifiers. The represented association is called the base association of the association role. As the association roles specify a particular usage of an association in a specific collaboration, all constraints expressed by the association ends are not necessarily required to be fulfilled in the specified usage. The multiplicity of the association end may be reduced in the collaboration; that is, the upper and the lower bounds of the association end roles may be inside the bounds of the corresponding end of the base association, as it might be that only a subset of the associated instances participate in the collaboration instance set. Similarly, an association may be traversed in some, but perhaps not all, of the allowed directions in the specific collaboration; that is, the value of the isNavigable property of an association end role may be false even if the value of that property of the base association end is true. (However, the opposite is not true; that is, an association may not be used for traversal in a direction that is not allowed according to the isNavigable properties of the association ends.) The changeability and ordering of an association end may be strengthened in an association end role; that is, in a particular usage the end is used in a more restricted way than is defined by the association. Furthermore, if
an association has a collection of qualifiers (see the Core), some of them may be used in a specific collaboration. An association end role may therefore include a subset of the qualifiers defined by the corresponding association end of the base association.

A collaboration instance set references a collection of instances that play the roles defined in the collaboration instance set’s collaboration. An instance participating in a collaboration instance set plays a specific role; that is, conforms to a classifier role, in the collaboration. The number of instances that should play one specific role in a collaboration is specified by the classifier role’s multiplicity. Different instances may play the same role but in different collaboration instance sets. Since all these instances play the same role, they must all conform to the classifier role specifying the role. Thus, they are normally instances of one of the base classifier of the classifier role, or one of their descendants. The only requirement on conforming instances is that they must offer operations according to the classifier role, as well as support attribute links corresponding to the attributes specified by the classifier role, and links corresponding to the association roles connected to the classifier role. They may, therefore, be instances of any classifier meeting this requirement. The instances may, of course, have more attribute links than required by the classifier role, which for example would be the case if they originate from a classifier being a child of a base classifier. Moreover, a conforming instance may also support more attribute links than required if it originates from multiple classifiers (multiple classification). Finally, one instance may play different roles in different collaboration instance sets of the same collaboration. In fact, the instance may play multiple roles in the same collaboration instance set.

Collaborations (but not collaboration instance sets) may have generalization relationships to other collaborations. This means that one collaboration can specify a specialization of another collaboration’s task. This implies that all the roles of the parent collaboration are also available in the child collaboration; the child collaboration may, of course, also contain new roles. The former roles may possibly be specialized with new features; that is, the role defined in the parent is replaced in the child by a role with the same name as the parent role. The role in the child must reference the same collection of features and the same collection of contained elements as the role in the parent, and may also reference some additional features and additional contained elements. In this way it is possible to specialize a collaboration both by adding new roles and by replacing existing roles with specializations of them. The specialized role, that is, a role with a generalization relationship to the replaced role, may both reference new features and replace (override) features of its parent. Note that the base classifiers of the specialized roles are not necessarily specializations of the base classifiers of the parent’s roles; it is enough that they contain all the required features.

How the instances referenced by a collaboration instance set should interact to jointly perform the behavior of the classifier realized by the collaboration is specified with a set of interactions (see below). The collaboration thus specifies the context in which these interactions are performed. If the collaboration represents an operation, the context includes things like parameters, attributes, and classifiers contained in the classifier owning the operation. The interactions then specify how the arguments, the attribute values, the instances etc. will cooperate to perform the behavior specified by the operation. If the collaboration is a specialization of another collaboration, all communications specified by the parent collaboration are also included in the child, as
the child collaboration includes all the roles of the parent. However, new messages may be inserted into these sequences of communication, since the child may include specializations of the parent’s roles as well as new roles. The child may of course also include completely new interactions that do not exist in the parent.

Two or more collaborations may be composed to form a new collaboration. For example, when refining a superordinate use case into a set of subordinate use cases, the collaborations specifying each of the subordinate use cases may be composed into one collaboration, which will be a (simple) refinement of the superordinate collaboration. The composition is done by observing that at least one instance must participate in both sets of collaborating instances. This instance has to conform to one classifier role in each collaboration. In the composite collaboration these two classifier roles are merged into a new one, which will contain all features included in either of the two original classifier roles. The new classifier role will, of course, be able to fulfill the requirements of both of the previous collaborations, so the instance participating in both of the two sets of collaborating instances will conform to the new classifier role.

A parameterized collaboration represents a design construct that can be used repeatedly in different designs. The participants in the collaboration, including the classifiers and relationships, can be parameters of the generic collaboration. The parameters are bound to particular model elements in each instantiation of generic collaboration. Such a parameterized collaboration can capture the structure of a design pattern (note that a design pattern involves more than structural aspects). Whereas most collaborations can be anonymous because they are attached to a named model element, collaboration patterns are free standing design constructs that must have names.

A collaboration may be a specification of a template. There will not be any instances of such a collaboration template, but it can be used for generating ordinary collaborations, which may be instantiated. Collaboration templates may have parameters that act like placeholders in the template. Usually, these parameters would be used as base classifiers and associations, but other kinds of model elements can also be defined as parameters in the collaboration, like operation or signal. In a collaboration generated from the template these parameters are refined by other model elements that make the collaboration instantiable.

Moreover, a collaboration may also contain a set of constraining model elements, like constraints and generalizations perhaps together with some extra classifiers. These constraining model elements do not participate in the collaboration themselves, but are used for expressing the extra constraints on the participating elements in the collaboration that cannot be covered by the participating roles themselves. For example, in a collaboration template it might be required that the base classifiers of two roles must have a common ancestor, or one role must be a subclass of another one. These kinds of requirements cannot be expressed with association roles, as the association roles express the required links between participating instances. An extra set of model elements may therefore be included in the collaboration.
2.10.4.2 Interaction

An interaction is defined in the context of a collaboration. It specifies the communication patterns between its roles. More precisely, it contains a set of partially ordered messages, each specifying one communication, such as what signal to be sent or what operation to be invoked, as well as the roles to be played by the sender and the receiver, respectively.

The purpose of an interaction is to specify the communication between an ensemble of interacting instances performing a specific task. An interaction is defined within a collaboration; that is, the collaboration defines the context in which the interaction takes place. The instances performing the communication specified by the interaction are included in a collaboration instance set; that is, they conform to the classifier roles of the collaboration instance set’s collaboration.

An interaction specifies the sending of a set of stimuli. These are partially ordered based on which execution thread they belong to. Within each thread the stimuli are sent in a sequential order while stimuli of different threads may be sent in parallel or in an arbitrary order.

An interaction instance set references the collection of stimuli that constitute the actual communication between the collection of instances. These instances are the collection of instances that participate in the collaboration instance set owning the interaction instance set. Hence, the interaction instance set includes those stimuli that the instances communicate when performing the task of the collaboration instance set. The stimuli of an interaction instance set match the messages of the interaction instance set’s interaction.

A message is a specification of a communication. It specifies the roles of the sender and the receiver instances, as well as which association role specifies the communication link. The message is connected to an action, which specifies the statement that, when executed, causes the communication specified by the message to take place. If the action is a call action or a send action, the signal to be sent or the operation to be invoked in the communication is stated by the action. The action also contains the argument expressions that, when executed, will determine the actual arguments being transmitted in the communication. Moreover, any conditions or iterations of the communication are also specified by the action. Apart from send action and call action, the action connected to a message can also be of other kinds, like create action and destroy action. In these cases, the communication will not raise a signal or invoke an operation, but cause a new instance to be created or an already existing instance to be destroyed. In the case of a create action, the receiver specified by the message is the role to be played by the instance, which is created when the action is performed.

The stimuli being sent when an action is executed conforms to a message, implying that the sender and receiver instances of the stimuli are in conformance with the sender and the receiver roles specified by the message. Furthermore, the action dispatching the stimulus is the same as the action attached to the message. If the action connected to the message is a create action or destroy action, the receiver role of the message specifies the role to be played by the instance, or was played by the instance, respectively.
The interaction specifies the activator and predecessors of each message. The activator is the message that invoked the procedure that in turn invokes the current message. Every message except the initial messages of an interaction thus has an activator. The predecessors are the set of messages that must be completed before the current message may be executed. The first message in a procedure of course has no predecessors. If a message has more than one predecessor, it represents the joining of two threads of control. If a message has more than one successor (the inverse of predecessor), it indicates a fork of control into multiple threads. Thus, the predecessor’s relationship imposes a partial ordering on the messages within a procedure, whereas the activator relationship imposes a tree on the activation of operations. Messages may be executed concurrently subject to the sequential constraints imposed by the predecessors and activator relationship.

2.10.5 Notes

In UML, the term Pattern is a synonym for a collaboration template that describes the structure of a design pattern. This definition is not as powerful as the term is used in other contexts. In general, design patterns involve many nonstructural aspects, such as heuristics for their use and lists of advantages and disadvantages. Such aspects are not modeled by UML and may be represented as text or tables.

2.11 Use Cases

2.11.1 Overview

The Use Cases package is a subpackage of the Behavioral Elements package. It specifies the concepts used for definition of the functionality of an entity like a system. The package uses constructs defined in the Foundation package of UML as well as in the Common Behavior package.

The elements in the Use Cases package are primarily used to define the behavior of an entity, like a system or a subsystem, without specifying its internal structure. The key elements in this package are UseCase and Actor. Instances of use cases and instances of actors interact when the services of the entity are used. How a use case is realized in terms of cooperating objects, defined by classes inside the entity, can be specified with a Collaboration. A use case of an entity may be refined to a set of use cases of the elements contained in the entity. How these subordinate use cases interact can also be expressed in a Collaboration. The specification of the functionality of the system itself is usually expressed in a separate use-case model; that is, a Model stereotyped «useCaseModel» (see Section 4.3, “Stereotypes and Notation,” on page 4-2). The use cases and actors in the use-case model are equivalent to those of the top-level package.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Use Cases package.
### 2.11.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Use Cases package is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-21 on page 2-135.

**Figure 2-21 Use Cases**

The following metaclasses are contained in the Use Cases package.

#### 2.11.2.1 Actor

An *actor* defines a coherent set of roles that users of an entity can play when interacting with the entity. An actor may be considered to play a separate role with regard to each use case with which it communicates.
In the metamodel Actor is a subclass of Classifier. An Actor has a Name and may communicate with a set of UseCases, and, at realization level, with Classifiers taking part in the realization of these UseCases. An Actor may also have a set of Interfaces, each describing how other elements may communicate with the Actor.

An Actor may have generalization relationships to other Actors. This means that the child Actor will be able to play the same roles as the parent Actor, that is, communicate with the same set of UseCases, as the parent Actor.

### 2.11.2.2 Extend

An *extend* relationship defines that instances of a use case may be augmented with some additional behavior defined in an extending use case.

In the metamodel an Extend relationship is a directed relationship implying that a UseCaseInstance of the base UseCase may be augmented with the structure and behavior defined in the extending UseCase. The relationship consists of a condition, which must be fulfilled if the extension is to take place, and a sequence of references to extension points in the base UseCase where the additional behavior fragments are to be inserted.

#### Attributes

- **condition**
  - An expression specifying the condition that must be fulfilled if the extension is to take place.

#### Associations

- **base**
  - The UseCase to be extended.
- **extension**
  - The UseCase specifying the extending behavior.
- **extensionPoint**
  - A sequence of extension-points in the base UseCase specifying where the additions are to be inserted.

### 2.11.2.3 ExtensionPoint

An extension point references one or a collection of locations in a use case where the use case may be extended.

In the metamodel an ExtensionPoint has a name and one or a collection of descriptions of locations in the behavior of the owning use case, where a piece of behavior may be inserted into the owning use case.

#### Attributes

- **location**
  - A reference to one location or a collection of locations where an extension to the behavior of the use case may be inserted.
2.11.2.4 Include

An include relationship defines that a use case contains the behavior defined in another use case.

In the metamodel an Include relationship is a directed relationship between two UseCases implying that the behavior in the addition UseCase is inserted into the behavior of the base UseCase. The base UseCase may only depend on the result of performing the behavior defined in the addition UseCase, but not on the structure; that is, on the existence of specific attributes and operations, of the addition UseCase.

2.11.2.5 Associations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>addition</th>
<th>The UseCase specifying the additional behavior.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>base</td>
<td>The UseCase that is to include the addition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.11.2.6 UseCase

The use case construct is used to define the behavior of a system or other semantic entity without revealing the entity’s internal structure. Each use case specifies a sequence of actions, including variants, that the entity can perform, interacting with actors of the entity.

In the metamodel UseCase is a subclass of Classifier, specifying the sequences of actions performed by an instance of the UseCase. The actions include changes of the state and communications with the environment of the UseCase. The sequences can be described using many different techniques, like Operation and Methods, ActivityGraphs, and StateMachines.

There may be Associations between UseCases and the Actors of the UseCases. Such an Association states that an instance of the UseCase and a user playing one of the roles of the Actor communicate. UseCases may be related to other UseCases by Extend, Include, and Generalization relationships. An Include relationship means that a UseCase includes the behavior described in another UseCase, while an Extend relationship implies that a UseCase may extend the behavior described in another UseCase, ruled by a condition. Generalization between UseCases means that the child is a more specific form of the parent. The child inherits all Features and Associations of the parent, and may add new Features and Associations.

The realization of a UseCase may be specified by a set of Collaborations; that is, the Collaborations define how Instances in the system interact to perform the sequences of the UseCase.
Assocations

extend A collection of Extend relationships to UseCases that the UseCase extends.

extensionPoint Defines a collection of ExtensionPoints where the UseCase may be extended.

include A collection of Include relationships to UseCases that the UseCase includes.

2.11.7 UseCaseInstance

A use case instance is the performance of a sequence of actions specified in a use case.

In the metamodel UseCaseInstance is a subclass of Instance. Each method performed by a UseCaseInstance is performed as an atomic transaction; that is, it is not interrupted by any other UseCaseInstance.

An explicitly described UseCaseInstance is called a scenario.

2.11.3 Well-FormednessRules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Use Cases package.

2.11.3.1 Actor

[1] Actors can only have Associations to UseCases, Subsystems, and Classes and these Associations are binary.

```
self.associations->forall(a |
  a.connection->size = 2 and
  a.allConnections->exists(r | r.type.oclIsKindOf(Actor)) and
  a.allConnections->exists(r |
    r.type.oclIsKindOf(UseCase) or
    r.type.oclIsKindOf(Subsystem) or
    r.type.oclIsKindOf(Class))
```


```
self.contents->isEmpty
```

2.11.3.2 Extend

[1] The referenced ExtensionPoints must be included in set of ExtensionPoint in the target UseCase.

```
self.base.allExtensionPoints -> includesAll (self.extensionPoint)
```
2.11.3.3 ExtensionPoint

[1] The name must not be the empty string.
    not self.name = ''

2.11.3.4 Include

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.11.3.5 UseCase

[1] UseCases can only have binary Associations.
    self.associations->forAll(a | a.connection->size = 2)

[2] UseCases cannot have Associations to UseCases specifying the same entity.
    self.associations->forAll(a |
        a.allConnections->forAll(s, o|
            (s.type.specificationPath->isEmpty and
             o.type.specificationPath->isEmpty )
        or
            (not s.type.specificationPath->includesAll(
             o.type.specificationPath) and
             not o.type.specificationPath->includesAll(
             s.type.specificationPath))
    )

    self.contents->isEmpty

    self.allExtensionPoints -> forAll (x, y |
        x.name = y.name implies x = y )

Additional operations

[1] The operation specificationPath results in a set containing all surrounding Namespaces that are not instances of Package.
    specificationPath : Set(Namespace)
    specificationPath = self.allSurroundingNamespaces->select(n | n.oclIsKindOf(Subsystem) or n.oclIsKindOf(Class))

[2] The operation allExtensionPoints results in a set containing all ExtensionPoints of the UseCase.
    allExtensionPoints : Set(ExtensionPoint)
    allExtensionPoints = self.allSupertypes.extensionPoint -> union (self.extensionPoint)
2.11.3.6 UseCaseInstance

[1] The Classifier of a UseCaseInstance must be a UseCase.
   
   self.classifier->forAll ( c | c.oclIsKindOf (UseCase) )

[2] A UseCaseInstance may not contain any Instances.
   
   self.contents->isEmpty

2.11.4 Detailed Semantics

This section provides a description of the semantics of the elements in the Use Cases package, and its relationship to other elements in the Behavioral Elements package.

2.11.4.1 Actor

Actors model parties outside an entity, such as a system, a subsystem, or a class that interact with the entity. Each actor defines a coherent set of roles users of the entity can play when interacting with the entity. Every time a specific user interacts with the entity, it is playing one such role. An instance of an actor is a specific user interacting with the entity. Any instance that conforms to an actor can act as an instance of the actor. If the entity is a system, the actors represent both human users and other systems. Some of the actors of a lower level subsystem or a class may coincide with actors of the system, while others appear inside the system. The roles defined by the latter kind of actors are played by instances of classifiers in other packages or subsystems; in the latter case the classifier may belong to either the specification part or the realization part of the subsystem.

Since an actor is outside the entity, its internal structure is not defined but only its external view as seen from the entity. Actor instances communicate with the entity by sending and receiving message instances to and from use case instances and, at realization level, to and from objects. This is expressed by associations between the actor and the use case or the class. Furthermore, interfaces can be connected to an actor, defining how other elements may interact with the actor.
Two or more actors may have commonalities; that is, communicate with the same set of use cases in the same way. The commonality is expressed with generalizations to another (possibly abstract) actor, which models the common role(s). An instance of a child can always be used where an instance of the parent is expected.

2.11.4.2 UseCase

![Diagram of UseCase relationships]

Figure 2-23 UseCase Illustration

In the following text the term entity is used when referring to a system, a subsystem, or a class and the terms model element and element denote a subsystem or a class.

The purpose of a use case is to define a piece of behavior of an entity without revealing the internal structure of the entity. The entity specified in this way may be a system or any model element that contains behavior, like a subsystem or a class, in a model of a system. Each use case specifies a service the entity provides to its users; that is, a specific way of using the entity. The service, which is initiated by a user, is a complete sequence. This implies that after its performance the entity will in general be in a state in which the sequence can be initiated again. A use case describes the interactions between the users and the entity as well as the responses performed by the entity, as these responses are perceived from the outside of the entity. A use case also includes possible variants of this sequence (for example, alternative sequences, exceptional behavior, error handling, etc.). The complete set of use cases specifies all different ways to use the entity; that is, all behavior of the entity is expressed by its use cases. These use cases can be grouped into packages for convenience.

From a pragmatic point of view, use cases can be used both for specification of the (external) requirements on an entity and for specification of the functionality offered by an (already realized) entity. Moreover, the use cases also indirectly state the requirements the specified entity poses on its users; that is, how they should interact so the entity will be able to perform its services.

Since users of use cases always are external to the specified entity, they are represented by actors of the entity. Thus, if the specified entity is a system or a subsystem at the topmost level, the users of its use cases are modeled by the actors of the system.
actors of a lower level subsystem or a class that are internal to the system are often not explicitly defined. Instead, the use cases relate directly to model elements conforming to these implicit actors; that is, whose instances play the roles of these actors in interaction with the use cases. These model elements are contained in other packages or subsystems, where in the subsystem case they may be contained in the specification part or the realization part. The distinction between actor and conforming element like this is often neglected; thus, they are both referred to by the term actor.

There may be associations between use cases and actors, meaning that the instances of the use case and the actor communicate with each other. One actor may communicate with several use cases of an entity; that is, the actor may request several services of the entity, and one use case communicates with one or several actors when providing its service. Note that two use cases specifying the same entity cannot communicate with each other since each of them individually describes a complete usage of the entity. Moreover, use cases always use signals when communicating with actors outside the system, while they may use other communication semantics when communicating with elements inside the system.

The interaction between actors and use cases can be defined with interfaces. An interface of a use case defines a subset of the entire interaction defined in the use case. Different interfaces offered by the same use case need not be disjoint.

A use case can be described in plain text, using operations and methods together with attributes, in activity graphs, by a state machine, or by other behavior description techniques, such as preconditions and postconditions. The interaction between a use case and its actors can also be presented in collaboration diagrams for specification of the interactions between the entity containing the use case and the entity’s environment.

A use-case instance is a performance of a use case, initiated by a message instance from an instance of an actor. As a response the use-case instance performs a sequence of actions as specified by the use case, like communicating with actor instances, not necessarily only the initiating one. The actor instances may send new message instances to the use-case instance and the interaction continues until the instance has responded to all input and does not expect any more input, when it ends. Each method performed by a use-case instance is performed as an atomic transaction; that is, it is not interrupted by any other use-case instance.

In the case where subsystems are used to model the system’s containment hierarchy, the system can be specified with use cases at all levels, as use cases can be used to specify subsystems and classes. A use case specifying one model element is then refined into a set of smaller use cases, each specifying a service of a model element contained in the first one. The use case of the whole may be referred to as superordinate to its refining use cases, which, correspondingly, may be called subordinate in relation to the first one. The functionality specified by each superordinate use case is completely traceable to its subordinate use cases. Note, though, that the structure of the container element is not revealed by the use cases, since they only specify the functionality offered by the element. The subordinate use cases of a specific superordinate use case cooperate to perform the superordinate one. Their cooperation is specified by collaborations and may be presented in collaboration diagrams. A specific subordinate use case may appear in several collaborations; that is
play a role in the performances of several superordinate use cases. In each such collaboration, other roles specify the cooperation with this specific subordinate use case. These roles are the roles played by the actors of that subordinate use case. Some of these actors may be the actors of the superordinate use case, as each actor of a superordinate use case appears as an actor of at least one of the subordinate use cases. Furthermore, the interfaces of a superordinate use case are traceable to the interfaces of those subordinate use cases that communicate with actors that are also actors of the superordinate use case.

The environment of subordinate use cases is the model element containing the model elements specified by these use cases. Thus, from a bottom-up perspective, an interaction between subordinate use cases results in a superordinate use case, that is, a use case of the container element.

Use cases of classes are mapped onto operations of the classes, since a service of a class in essence is the invocation of the operations of the class. Some use cases may consist of the application of only one operation, while others may involve a set of operations, usually in a well-defined sequence. One operation may be needed in several of the services of the class, and will therefore appear in several use cases of the class.

The realization of a use case depends on the kind of model element it specifies. For example, since the use cases of a class are specified by means of operations of the class, they are realized by the corresponding methods, while the use cases of a subsystem are realized by the elements contained in the subsystem. Since a subsystem does not have any behavior of its own, all services offered by a subsystem must be a composition of services offered by elements contained in the subsystem (i.e., eventually by classes). These elements will collaborate and jointly perform the behavior of the specified use case. One or a set of collaborations describes how the realization of a use case is made. Hence, collaborations are used for specification of both the refinement and the realization of a use case in terms of subordinate use cases.

The usage of use cases at all levels imply not only a uniform way of specification of functionality at all levels, but also a powerful technique for tracing requirements at the system package level down to operations of the classes. The propagation of the effect of modifying a single operation at the class level all the way up to the behavior of the system package is managed in the same way.

Commonalities between use cases can be expressed in three different ways: with generalization, include, and extend relationships. A generalization relationship between use cases implies that the child use case contains all the attributes, sequences of behavior, and extension points defined in the parent use case, and participates in all relationships of the parent use case. The child use case may also define new behavior sequences, as well as add additional behavior into and specialize existing behavior of the inherited ones. One use case may have several parent use cases and one use case may be a parent to several other use cases.

An include relationship between two use cases means that the behavior defined in the target use case is included at one location in the sequence of behavior performed by an instance of the base use case. When a use-case instance reaches the location where the behavior of an another use case is to be included, it performs all the behavior described by the included use case and then continues according to its original use case. This
means that although there may be several paths through the included use case due to (e.g., conditional statements), all of them must end in such a way that the use-case instance can continue according to the original use case. One use case may be included in several other use cases and one use case may include several other use cases. The included use case may not be dependent on the base use case. In that sense the included use case represents encapsulated behavior, which may easily be reused in several use cases. Moreover, the base use case may only be dependent on the results of performing the included behavior and not on structure, like Attributes and Associations, of the included use case.

An extend relationship defines that a use case may be augmented with some additional behavior defined in another use case. One use case may extend several use cases and one use case may be extended by several use cases. The base use case may not be dependent of the addition of the extending use case. The extend relationship contains a condition and references a sequence of extension points in the target use case. The condition must be satisfied if the extension is to take place, and the references to the extension points define the locations in the base use case where the additions are to be made. Once an instance of a use case is to perform some behavior referenced by an extension point of its use case, and the extension point is the first one in an extends relationship’s sequence of references to extension points, the condition of the relationship is evaluated. If the condition is fulfilled, the sequence obeyed by the use-case instance is extended to include the sequence of the extending use case. The different parts of the extending use case are inserted at the locations defined by the sequence of extension points in the relationship -- one part at each referenced extension point. Note that the condition is only evaluated once: at the first referenced extension point, and if it is fulfilled all of the extending use case is inserted in the original sequence. An extension point may define one location or a set of locations in the behavior defined by the use case. However, if an extend relationship references a sequence of extension points, only the first one may define a set of locations. All other ones must define exactly one location each. Which of the locations of the first extension point to use is determined by where the extension is triggered. This is not possible for the other ones. In other words, once the extension has been triggered, all locations where to add the different part of the extending use case must be uniquely defined. Hence, all extension points, except for the first one, referenced by an extend relationship must define single locations. The description of the location references by an extension point can be made in several different ways, like textual description of where in the behavior the addition should be made, pre-or post conditions, or using the name of a state in a state machine.

Note that the three kinds of relationships described above can only exist between use cases specifying the same entity. The reason for this is that the use cases of one entity specify the behavior of that entity alone; that is, all use-case instances are performed entirely within that entity. If a use case would have a generalization, include, or extend relationship to a use case of another entity, the resulting use-case instances would involve both entities, resulting in a contradiction. However, generalization, include, and extend relationships can be defined from use cases specifying one entity to use cases of another one if the first entity has a generalization to the second one, since the contents of both entities are available in the first entity. However, the contents of the second entity must be at least protected, so they become available inside the child entity.
As a first step when developing a system, the dynamic requirements of the system as a whole can be expressed with use cases. The entity being specified is then the whole system, and the result is a separate model called a use-case model, that is, a model with the stereotype «useCaseModel». Next, the realization of the requirements is expressed with a model containing a system package, probably a package hierarchy, and at the bottom a set of classes. If the system package, that is, a package with the stereotype «topLevelPackage» is a subsystem, its abstract behavior is naturally the same as that of the system. Thus, if use cases are used for the specification part of the system package, these use cases are equivalent to those in the use-case model of the system; that is, they express the same behavior but possibly slightly differently structured. In other words, all services specified by the use cases of a system package, and only those, define the services offered by the system. Furthermore, if several models are used for modeling the realization of a system (for example, an analysis model and a design model), the set of use cases of all system packages and the use cases of the use-case model must be equivalent.

2.11.5 Notes

A pragmatic rule of use when defining use cases is that each use case should yield some kind of observable result of value to (at least) one of its actors. This ensures that the use cases are complete specifications and not just fragments.

2.12 State Machines

2.12.1 Overview

The State Machine package is a subpackage of the Behavioral Elements package. It specifies a set of concepts that can be used for modeling discrete behavior through finite state-transition systems. These concepts are based on concepts defined in the Foundation package as well as concepts defined in the Common Behavior package. This enables integration with the other subpackages in Behavioral Elements.

The state machine formalism described in this section is an object-based variant of Harel statecharts. It incorporates several concepts similar to those defined in ROOMcharts, a variant of statechart defined in the ROOM modeling language. The major differences relative to classical Harel statecharts are described on Section 2.12.5.4, “Comparison to classical statecharts,” on page 2-175.

State machines can be used to specify behavior of various elements that are being modeled. For example, they can be used to model the behavior of individual entities (such as, class instances) or to define the interactions (such as, collaborations) between entities.

In addition, the state machine formalism provides the semantic foundation for activity graphs. This means that activity graphs are simply a special form of state machines.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the State Machines package. Activity graphs are described in Section 2.13, “Activity Graphs,” on page 2-175.
2.12.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for state machines is expressed graphically in Figure 2-24 on page 2-147, which covers all the basic concepts of state machine graphs such as states and transitions. Figure 2-25 on page 2-148 describes the abstract syntax of events that can trigger state machine behavior.

The specifications of the concepts defined in these two diagrams are listed in alphabetical order following the figures.
Figure 2-24 State Machines - Main
2.12.2.1 CallEvent

A call event represents the *reception* of a request to synchronously invoke a specific operation. (Note that a call event instance is distinct from the call action that caused it.) The expected result is the execution of a sequence of actions, which characterize the operation behavior at a particular state.

Two special cases of CallEvent are the object creation event and the object destruction event.

**Associations**

- **operation**: Designates the operation whose invocation raised the call event.
Stereotypes

«create» Create is a stereotyped call event denoting that the instance receiving that event has just been created. For state machines, it triggers the initial transition at the topmost level of the state machine (and is the only kind of trigger that may be applied to an initial transition).

«destroy» Destroy is a stereotyped call event denoting that the instance receiving the event is being destroyed.

2.12.2.2 ChangeEvent

A change event models an event that occurs when an explicit boolean expression becomes true as a result of a change in value of one or more attributes or associations. A change event is raised implicitly and is not the result of some explicit change event action.

The change event should not be confused with a guard. A guard is only evaluated at the time an event is dispatched whereas, conceptually, the boolean expression associated with a change event is evaluated continuously until it becomes true. The event that is generated remains until it is consumed even if the boolean expression changes to false after that.

Attributes

changeExpression The boolean expression that specifies the change event.

2.12.2.3 CompositeState

A composite state is a state that contains other state vertices (states, pseudostates, etc.). The association between the composite and the contained vertices is a composition association. Hence, a state vertex can be a part of at most one composite state.

Any state enclosed within a composite state is called a substate of that composite state. It is called a direct substate when it is not contained by any other state; otherwise, it is referred to as a transitively nested substate.

CompositeState is a child of State.

Associations

subvertex The set of state vertices that are owned by this composite state.
2.12.2.4 **Event**

An event is a specification of a type of observable occurrence. The occurrence that generates an event instance is assumed to take place at an instant in time with no duration.

Strictly speaking, the term “event” is used to refer to the type and not to an instance of the type. However, on occasion, where the meaning is clear from the context, the term is also used to refer to an event instance.

Event is a child of ModelElement.

**Attributes**

- **isConcurrent**
  
  A boolean value that specifies the decomposition semantics. If this attribute is true, then the composite state is decomposed directly into two or more orthogonal conjunctive components called *regions* (usually associated with concurrent execution). If this attribute is false, then there are no direct orthogonal components in the composite.

- **isRegion**
  
  A derived boolean value that indicates whether a CompositeState is a substate of a concurrent state. If it is true, then this composite state is a direct substate of a concurrent state.

**Associations**

- **parameter**
  
  The list of parameters defined by the event.

2.12.2.5 **FinalState**

A special kind of state signifying that the enclosing composite state is completed. If the enclosing state is the top state, then it means that the entire state machine has completed.

A final state cannot have any outgoing transitions.

FinalState is a child of State.

2.12.2.6 **Guard**

A guard is a boolean expression that is attached to a transition as a fine-grained control over its firing. The guard is evaluated when an event instance is dispatched by the state machine. If the guard is true at that time, the transition is enabled, otherwise, it is disabled.

Guards should be pure expressions without side effects. Guard expressions with side effects are ill formed.

Guard is a child of ModelElement.
Attributes

expression  The boolean expression that specifies the guard.

2.12.2.7 PseudoState

A pseudostate is an abstraction that encompasses different types of transient vertices in the state machine graph. They are used, typically, to connect multiple transitions into more complex state transitions paths. For example, by combining a transition entering a fork pseudostate with a set of transitions exiting the fork pseudostate, we get a compound transition that leads to a set of concurrent target states.

The following pseudostate kinds are defined:

- An initial pseudostate represents a default vertex that is the source for a single transition to the default state of a composite state. There can be at most one initial vertex in a composite state.

- deepHistory is used as a shorthand notation that represents the most recent active configuration of the composite state that directly contains this pseudostate; that is, the state configuration that was active when the composite state was last exited. A composite state can have at most one deep history vertex. A transition may originate from the history connector to the default deep history state. This transition is taken in case the composite state had never been active before.

- shallowHistory is a shorthand notation that represents the most recent active substate of its containing state (but not the substates of that substate). A composite state can have at most one shallow history vertex. A transition coming into the shallow history vertex is equivalent to a transition coming into the most recent active substate of a state. A transition may originate from the history connector to the initial shallow history state. This transition is taken in case the composite state had never been active before.

- join vertices serve to merge several transitions emanating from source vertices in different orthogonal regions. The transitions entering a join vertex cannot have guards.

- fork vertices serve to split an incoming transition into two or more transitions terminating on orthogonal target vertices. The segments outgoing from a fork vertex must not have guards.

- junction vertices are semantic-free vertices that are used to chain together multiple transitions. They are used to construct compound transition paths between states. For example, a junction can be used to converge multiple incoming transitions into a single outgoing transition representing a shared transition path (this is known as a merge). Conversely, they can be used to split an incoming transition into multiple outgoing transition segments with different guard conditions. This realizes a static conditional branch. (In the latter case, outgoing transitions whose guard conditions evaluate to false are disabled. A predefined guard denoted “else” may be defined for at most one outgoing transition. This transition is enabled if all the guards labeling the other transitions are false.) Static conditional branches are distinct from dynamic conditional branches that are realized by choice vertices (described below).
• choice vertices which, when reached, result in the dynamic evaluation of the guards of its outgoing transitions. This realizes a dynamic conditional branch. It allows splitting of transitions into multiple outgoing paths such that the decision on which path to take may be a function of the results of prior actions performed in the same run-to-completion step. If more than one of the guards evaluates to true, an arbitrary one is selected. If none of the guards evaluates to true, then the model is considered ill formed. (To avoid this, it is recommended to define one outgoing transition with the predefined “else” guard for every choice vertex.) Choice vertices should be distinguished from static branch points that are based on junction points (described above).

PseudoState is a child of StateVertex.

Attributes

kind

Determines the precise type of the PseudoState and can be one of initial, deepHistory, shallowHistory, join, fork, junction, or choice.

2.12.2.8 SignalEvent

A signal event represents the reception of a particular (asynchronous) signal. A signal event instance should not be confused with the action, such as send action, that generated it.

SignalEvent is a child of Event.

Associations

signal

The specific signal that is associated with this event.

2.12.2.9 SimpleState

A SimpleState is a state that does not have substates. It is a child of State.

2.12.2.10 State

A state is an abstract metaclass that models a situation during which some (usually implicit) invariant condition holds. The invariant may represent a static situation such as an object waiting for some external event to occur. However, it can also model dynamic conditions such as the process of performing some activity; that is, the model element under consideration enters the state when the activity commences and leaves it as soon as the activity is completed.

State is a child of StateVertex.
Associations

**deferrableEvent**
A list of events that are candidates to be retained by the state machine if they trigger no transitions out of the state (not consumed).

**entry**
An optional action that is executed whenever this state is entered regardless of the transition taken to reach the state. If defined, entry actions are always executed to completion prior to any internal activity or transitions performed within the state.

**exit**
An optional action that is executed whenever this state is exited regardless of which transition was taken out of the state. If defined, exit actions are always executed to completion only after all internal activities and transition actions have completed execution.

**doActivity**
An optional activity that is executed while being in the state. The execution starts when this state is entered, and stops either by itself, or when the state is exited, whichever comes first.

**internalTransition**
A set of transitions that, if triggered, occur without exiting or entering this state. Thus, they do not cause a state change. This means that the entry or exit condition of the State will not be invoked. These transitions can be taken even if the state machine is in one or more regions nested within this state.

2.12.2.11 StateMachine

A state machine is a specification that describes all possible behaviors of some dynamic model element. Behavior is modeled as a traversal of a graph of state nodes interconnected by one or more joined transition arcs that are triggered by the dispatching of series of event instances. During this traversal, the state machine executes a series of actions associated with various elements of the state machine.

StateMachine has a composition relationship to State, which represents the top-level state, and a set of transitions. This means that a state machine owns its transitions and its top state. All remaining states are transitively owned through the state containment hierarchy rooted in the top state. The association to ModelElement provides the context of the state machine. A common case of the context relation is where a state machine is used to specify the lifecycle of a classifier.

**Associations**

**context**
An association to the model element whose behavior is specified by this state machine. A model element may have more than one state machine (although one is sufficient for most purposes). Each state machine is optionally owned by one model element.
2.12.2.12 **StateVertex**

A StateVertex is an abstraction of a node in a statechart graph. In general, it can be the source or destination of any number of transitions.

StateVertex is a child of ModelElement.

**Associations**

- **top** Designates the top-level state that is the root of the state containment hierarchy. There is exactly one state in every state machine that is the top state.

- **transition** The set of transitions owned by the state machine. Note that internal transitions are owned by their containing states and not by the state machine.

2.12.2.13 **StubState**

A stub state can appear within a submachine state and represents an actual subvertex contained within the referenced state machine. It can serve as a source or destination of transitions that connect a state vertex in the containing state machine with a subvertex in the referenced state machine.

StubState is a child of State.

**Associations**

- **referenceState** Designates the referenced state as a pathname (a name formed by the concatenation of the name of a state and the successive names of all states that contain it, up to the top state).

2.12.2.14 **SubmachineState**

A submachine state is a syntactical convenience that facilitates reuse and modularity. It is a shorthand that implies a macro-like expansion by another state machine and is semantically equivalent to a composite state. The state machine that is inserted is called the **referenced** state machine while the state machine that contains the submachine state is called the **containing** state machine. The same state machine may be referenced more than once in the context of a single containing state machine. In effect, a submachine state represents a “call” to a state machine “subroutine” with one or more entry and exit points.

The entry and exit points are specified by stub states.
SubmachineState is a child of State.

**Associations**

$submachine$ The state machine that is to be substituted in place of the submachine state.

**2.12.2.15 SynchState**

A synch state is a vertex used for synchronizing the concurrent regions of a state machine. It is different from a state in the sense that it is not mapped to a boolean value (active, not active), but an integer. A synch state is used in conjunction with forks and joins to insure that one region leaves a particular state or states before another region can enter a particular state or states.

SynchState is a child of StateVertex.

**Attributes**

$bound$ A positive integer or the value “unlimited” specifying the maximal count of the SynchState. The count is the difference between the number of times the incoming and outgoing transitions of the synch state are fired.

**2.12.2.16 TimeEvent**

A TimeEvent models the expiration of a specific deadline. Note that the time of occurrence of a time event instance; that is, the expiration of the deadline is the same as the time of its reception. However, it is important to note that there may be a variable delay between the time of reception and the time of dispatching (for example, due to queueing delays).

The expression specifying the deadline may be relative or absolute. If the time expression is relative and no explicit starting time is defined, then it is relative to the time of entry into the source state of the transition triggered by the event. In the latter case, the time event instance is generated only if the state machine is still in that state when the deadline expires.

**Attributes**

$when$ Specifies the corresponding time deadline.

**2.12.2.17 Transition**

A transition is a directed relationship between a source state vertex and a target state vertex. It may be part of a compound transition, which takes the state machine from one state configuration to another, representing the complete response of the state machine to a particular event instance.
Transition is a child of ModelElement.

**Associations**

*trigger* Specifies the event that fires the transition. There can be at most one trigger per transition.

*guard* A boolean predicate that provides a fine-grained control over the firing of the transition. It must be true for the transition to be fired. It is evaluated at the time the event is dispatched. There can be at most one guard per transition.

*effect* Specifies an optional action to be performed when the transition fires.

*source* Designates the originating state vertex (state or pseudostate) of the transition.

*target* Designates the target state vertex that is reached when the transition is taken.

### 2.12.3 Well-Formedness Rules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the State Machines package.

#### 2.12.3.1 CompositeState

1. A composite state can have at most one initial vertex.

   ```
   self.subvertex->select (v | voclIsKindOf(Pseudostate))->
   select(p : Pseudostate | p.kind = #initial)->size <= 1
   ```

2. A composite state can have at most one deep history vertex.

   ```
   self.subvertex->select (v | voclIsKindOf(Pseudostate))->
   select(p : Pseudostate | p.kind = #deepHistory)->size <= 1
   ```

3. A composite state can have at most one shallow history vertex.

   ```
   self.subvertex->select(v | voclIsKindOf(Pseudostate))->
   select(p : Pseudostate | p.kind = #shallowHistory)->size <= 1
   ```

4. There have to be at least two composite substates in a concurrent composite state.

   ```
   (self.isConcurrent) implies
   (self.subvertex->select
   (v | voclIsKindOf(CompositeState)))->size >= 2)
   ```

5. A concurrent state can only have composite states as substates.

   ```
   (self.isConcurrent) implies
   self.subvertex->forAll(s | (soclIsKindOf(CompositeState))
   ```
[6] The substates of a composite state are part of only that composite state.

\[
\text{self.subvertex->forAll}(s \mid (s.container->size = 1) \text{ and } (s.container = \text{self}))
\]

### 2.12.3.2 FinalState

[1] A final state cannot have any outgoing transitions.

\[
\text{self.outgoing->size = 0}
\]

### 2.12.3.3 Guard

[1] A guard should not have side effects.

\[
\text{self.transition->stateMachine->notEmpty implies post: (self.transition.stateMachine->context = self.transition.stateMachine->context@pre)}
\]

### 2.12.3.4 PseudoState

[1] An initial vertex can have at most one outgoing transition and no incoming transitions.

\[
\text{(self.kind = #initial) implies}
\]

\[
((\text{self.outgoing->size} \leq 1) \text{ and } (\text{self.incoming->isEmpty}))
\]

[2] History vertices can have at most one outgoing transition.

\[
((\text{self.kind = #deepHistory}) \text{ or } (\text{self.kind = #shallowHistory})) \text{ implies}
\]

\[
(\text{self.outgoing->size} \leq 1)
\]

[3] A join vertex must have at least two incoming transitions and exactly one outgoing transition.

\[
(\text{self.kind = #join) implies}
\]

\[
((\text{self.outgoing->size} = 1) \text{ and } (\text{self.incoming->size} \geq 2))
\]

[4] All transitions incoming a join vertex must originate in different regions of a concurrent state.

\[
(\text{self.kind = #join}
\]

\[
\text{and not oclIsKindOf(self.stateMachine, ActivityGraph)) implies}
\]

\[
\text{self.incoming->forAll } (t1, t2 \mid t1<>t2 \text{ implies}
\]

\[
(\text{self.stateMachine.LCA(t1.source, t2.source).container.isConcurrent})
\]

[5] A fork vertex must have at least two outgoing transitions and exactly one incoming transition.

\[
(\text{self.kind = #fork) implies}
\]

\[
((\text{self.incoming->size} = 1) \text{ and } (\text{self.outgoing->size} \geq 2))
\]

[6] All transitions outgoing a fork vertex must target states in different regions of a concurrent state.
(self.kind = #fork and not oclIsKindOf(self.stateMachine, ActivityGraph)) implies
  self.outgoing->forall (t1, t2 | t1<>t2 implies
    (self.stateMachine.LCA(t1.target, t2.target).container.isConcurrent)

[7] A junction vertex must have at least one incoming and one outgoing transition.
  (self.kind = #junction) implies
    ((self.incoming->size >= 1) and (self.outgoing->size >= 1))

[8] A choice vertex must have at least one incoming and one outgoing transition.
  (self.kind = #choice) implies
    ((self.incoming->size >= 1) and (self.outgoing->size >= 1))

2.12.3.5 StateMachine

[1] A StateMachine is aggregated within either a classifier or a behavioral feature.
  self.context.notEmpty implies
    (self.context.oclIsKindOf(BehavioralFeature) or
     self.context.oclIsKindOf(Classifier))

  self.top.oclIsTypeOf(CompositeState)

[3] A top state cannot have any containing states.
  self.top.container->isEmpty

  (self.top.outgoing->isEmpty)

[5] If a StateMachine describes a behavioral feature, it contains no triggers of type CallEvent,
    apart from the trigger on the initial transition (see OCL for Transition [8]).
  self.context.oclIsKindOf(BehavioralFeature) implies
    self.transitions->reject(
      source.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) and
      source.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind= #initial).trigger->isEmpty

Additional Operations

[1] The operation LCA(s1,s2) returns the state that is the least common ancestor of states s1
    and s2.
  context StateMachine::LCA (s1 : State, s2 : State) :
    CompositeState
result = if ancestor (s1, s2) then
    s1
else if ancestor (s2, s1) then
    s2
else (LCA (s1.container, s2.container))

[2] The query ancestor(s1, s2) checks whether s2 is an ancestor state of state s1.

context StateMachine::ancestor (s1 : State, s2 : State) : Boolean
result = if (s2 = s1) then
    true
else if (s1.container->isEmpty) then
    true
else if (s2.container->isEmpty) then
    false
else (ancestor (s1, s2.container)

2.12.3.6 SynchState

[1] The value of the bound attribute must be a positive integer, or unlimited.
(self.bound > 0) or (self.bound = unlimited)

[2] All incoming transitions to a SynchState must come from the same region and all outgoing transitions from a SynchState must go to the same region.

2.12.3.7 SubmachineState

[1] Only stub states allowed as substates of a submachine state.

self.subvertex->forAll (s | s.oclIsTypeOf(StubState))

[2] Submachine states are never concurrent.

self.isConcurrent = false

2.12.3.8 Transition

[1] A fork segment should not have guards or triggers.

(self.source.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate)
and not oclIsKindOf(self.stateMachine, ActivityGraph)) implies

((self.source.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind = #fork) implies

((self.guard->isEmpty) and (self.trigger->isEmpty)))

[2] A join segment should not have guards or triggers.

self.target.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) implies

((self.target.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind = #join) implies

((self.guard->isEmpty) and (self.trigger->isEmpty)))

(self.stateMachine->notEmpty
   and not oclIsKindOf(self.stateMachine, ActivityGraph)) implies
self.source.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) implies
   ((self.source.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind = #fork) implies
      (self.target.oclIsKindOf(State)))

[4] A join segment should always originate from a state.

(self.stateMachine->notEmpty
   and not oclIsKindOf(self.stateMachine, ActivityGraph)) implies
self.target.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) implies
   ((self.target.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind = #join) implies
      (self.source.oclIsKindOf(State)))

[5] Transitions outgoing pseudostates may not have a trigger.

self.source.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) implies (self.trigger->isEmpty)

[6] An initial transition at the topmost level either has no trigger or it has a trigger with the stereotype "create."

self.source.oclIsKindOf(Pseudostate) implies
   (self.source.oclAsType(Pseudostate).kind = #initial) implies
      (self.source.container = self.stateMachine.top) implies
      ((self.trigger->isEmpty) or
        (self.trigger.stereotype.name = 'create'))

2.12.4 Detailed Semantics

This section describes the execution semantics of state machines. For convenience, the semantics are described in terms of the operations of a hypothetical machine that implements a state machine specification. This is for reference purposes only. Individual realizations are free to choose any form that achieves the same semantics.

In the general case, the key components of this hypothetical machine are:

- an event queue that holds incoming event instances until they are dispatched.
- an event dispatcher mechanism that selects and de-queues event instances from the event queue for processing
- an event processor that processes dispatched event instances according to the general semantics of UML state machines and the specific form of the state machine in question. Because of that, this component is simply referred to as the “state machine” in the following text.

Although the intent is to define the semantics of state machines very precisely, there are a number of semantic variation points to allow for different semantic interpretations that might be required in different domains of application. These are clearly identified in the text.
The basic semantics of events, states, transitions are discussed first in separate subsections under the appropriate headings. The operation of the state machine as a whole are then described in the state machine subsection.

2.12.4.1 Event

Event instances are generated as a result of some action either within the system or in the environment surrounding the system. An event is then conveyed to one or more targets. The means by which event instances are transported to their destination depend on the type of action, the target, the properties of the communication medium, and numerous other factors. In some cases, this is practically instantaneous and completely reliable while in others it may involve variable transmission delays, loss of events, reordering, or duplication. No specific assumptions are made in this regard. This provides full flexibility for modeling different types of communication facilities.

An event is received when it is placed on the event queue of its target. An event is dispatched when it is dequeued from the event queue and delivered to the state machine for processing. At this point, it is referred to as the current event. Finally, it is consumed when event processing is completed. A consumed event is no longer available for processing. No assumptions are made about the time intervals between event reception, event dispatching, and consumption. This leaves open the possibility of different semantic models such as zero-time semantics.

Any parameter values associated with the current event are available to all actions directly caused by that event (transition actions, entry actions, etc.).

Event generalization may be defined explicitly by a signal taxonomy in the case of signal events, or implicitly defined by event expressions, as in time events.

2.12.4.2 State

Active states

A state can be active or inactive during execution. A state becomes active when it is entered as a result of some transition, and becomes inactive if it is exited as a result of a transition. A state can be exited and entered as a result of the same transition (e.g., self transition).

State entry and exit

Whenever a state is entered, it executes its entry action before any other action is executed. Conversely, whenever a state is exited, it executes its exit action as the final step prior to leaving the state.

If defined, the activity associated with a state is forked as a concurrent activity at the instant when the entry action of the state is completed. Upon exit, the activity is terminated before the exit action is executed.
Activity in state (do-activity)

The activity represents the execution of a sequence of actions, that occurs while the state machine is in the corresponding state. The activity starts executing upon entering the state, following the entry action. If the activity completes while the state is still active, it raises a completion event. In cases where there is an outgoing completion transition (see below) the state will be exited. If the state is exited as a result of the firing of an outgoing transition before the completion of the activity, the activity is aborted prior to its completion.

Deferred events

A state may specify a set of event types that may be deferred in that state. An event instance that does not trigger any transitions in the current state, will not be dispatched if its type matches one of the types in the deferred event set of that state. Instead, it remains in the event queue while another non-deferred message is dispatched instead. This situation persists until a state is reached where either the event is no longer deferred or where the event triggers a transition.

2.12.4.3 CompositeState

Active state configurations

When dealing with composite and concurrent states, the simple term “current state” can be quite confusing. In a hierarchical state machine more than one state can be active at once. If the state machine is in a simple state that is contained in a composite state, then all the composite states that either directly or transitively contain the simple state are also active. Furthermore, since some of the composite states in this hierarchy may be concurrent, the current active “state” is actually represented by a tree of states starting with the single top state at the root down to individual simple states at the leaves. We refer to such a state tree as a state configuration.

Except during transition execution, the following invariants always apply to state configurations:

- If a composite state is active and not concurrent, exactly one of its substates is active.
- If the composite state is active and concurrent, all of its substates (regions) are active.

Entering a non-concurrent composite state

Upon entering a composite state, the following cases are differentiated:

- Default entry: Graphically, this is indicated by an incoming transition that terminates on the outside edge of the composite state. In this case, the default transition is taken. If there is a guard on the transition it must be enabled (true). (A disabled initial transition is an ill-defined execution state and its handling is not defined.) The entry action of the state is executed before the action associated with the initial transition.
• **Explicit entry:** If the transition goes to a substate of the composite state, then that substate becomes active and its entry code is executed after the execution of the entry code of the composite state. This rule applies recursively if the transition terminates on a transitively nested substate.

• **Shallow history entry:** If the transition terminates on a shallow history pseudostate, the active substate becomes the most recently active substate prior to this entry, unless the most recently active substate is the final state or if this is the first entry into this state. In the latter two cases, the *default history state* is entered. This is the substate that is target of the transition originating from the history pseudostate. (If no such transition is specified, the situation is illegal and its handling is not defined.) If the active substate determined by history is a composite state, then it proceeds with its default entry.

• **Deep history entry:** The rule here is the same as for shallow history except that the rule is applied recursively to all levels in the active state configuration below this one.

**Entering a concurrent composite state**
Whenever a concurrent composite state is entered, each one of its concurrent substates (regions) is also entered, either by default or explicitly. If the transition terminates on the edge of the composite state, then all the regions are entered using default entry. If the transition explicitly enters one or more regions (in case of a fork), these regions are entered explicitly and the others by default.

**Exiting non-concurrent state**
When exiting from a composite state, the active substate is exited recursively. This means that the exit actions are executed in sequence starting with the innermost active state in the current state configuration.

**Exiting a concurrent state**
When exiting from a concurrent state, each of its regions is exited. After that, the exit actions of the regions are executed.

**Deferred events**
An event that is deferred in a composite state is automatically deferred in all directly or transitively nested substates.

2.12.4.4 **FinalState**
When the final state is active, its containing composite state is completed, which means that it satisfies the completion condition. If the containing state is the top state, the entire state machine terminates, implying the termination of the entity associated with the state machine. If the state machine specifies the behavior of a classifier, it implies the “termination” of that instance.
2.12.4.5 SubmachineState

A submachine state is a convenience that does not introduce any additional dynamic semantics. It is semantically equivalent to a composite state and may have entry and exit actions, internal transitions, and activities.

2.12.4.6 Transitions

High-level transitions

Transitions originating from the boundary of composite states are called high-level or group transitions. If triggered, they result in exiting of all the substates of the composite state executing their exit actions starting with the innermost states in the active state configuration. Note that in terms of execution semantics, a high-level transition does not add specialized semantics, but rather reflects the semantics of exiting a composite state.

Compound transitions

A compound transition is a derived semantic concept, represents a “semantically complete” path made of one or more transitions, originating from a set of states (as opposed to pseudo-state) and targeting a set of states. The transition execution semantics described below refer to compound transitions.

In general, a compound transition is an acyclical unbroken chain of transitions joined via join, junction, choice, or fork pseudostates that define path from a set of source states (possibly a singleton) to a set of destination states, (possibly a singleton). For self-transitions, the same state acts as both the source and the destination set. A (simple) transition connecting two states is therefore a special common case of a compound transition.

The tail of a compound transition may have multiple transitions originating from a set of mutually orthogonal concurrent regions that are joined by a join point.

The head of a compound transition may have multiple transitions originating from a fork pseudostate targeted to a set of mutually orthogonal concurrent regions.

In a compound transition multiple outgoing transitions may emanate from a common junction point. In that case, only one of the outgoing transitions whose guard is true is taken. If multiple transitions have guards that are true, a transition from this set is chosen. The algorithm for selecting such a transition is not specified. Note that in this case, the guards are evaluated before the compound transition is taken.

In a compound transition where multiple outgoing transitions emanate from a common choice point, the outgoing transition whose guard is true at the time the choice point is reached, will be taken. If multiple transitions have guards that are true, one transition from this set is chosen. The algorithm for selecting this transition is not specified. If no guards are true after the choice point has been reached, the model is ill formed.
Internal transitions

An internal transition executes without exiting or re-entering the state in which it is defined. This is true even if the state machine is in a nested state within this state.

Completion transitions and completion events

A completion transition is a transition without an explicit trigger, although it may have a guard defined. When all transition and entry actions and activities in the currently active state are completed, a completion event instance is generated. This event is the implicit trigger for a completion transition. The completion event is dispatched before any other queued events and has no associated parameters. For instance, a completion transition emanating from a concurrent composite state will be taken automatically as soon as all the concurrent regions have reached their final state.

If multiple completion transitions are defined for a state, then they should have mutually exclusive guard conditions.

Enabled (compound) transitions

A transition is enabled if and only if:

- All of its source states are in the active state configuration.
- The trigger of the transition is satisfied by the current event. An event instance satisfies a trigger if it matches the event specified by the trigger. In case of signal events, since signals are generalized concepts, a signal event satisfies a signal event associated with the same signal or a generalization of thereof.
- If there exists at least one full path from the source state configuration to either the target state configuration or to a dynamic choice point in which all guard conditions are true (transitions without guards are treated as if their guards are always true).

Since more than one transition may be enabled by the same event instance, being enabled is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the firing of a transition.

Guards

In a simple transition with a guard, the guard is evaluated before the transition is triggered.

In compound transitions involving multiple guards, all guards are evaluated before a transition is triggered, unless there are choice points along one or more of the paths. The order in which the guards are evaluated is not defined.

If there are choice points in a compound transition, only guards that precede the choice point are evaluated according to the above rule. Guards downstream of a choice point are evaluated if and when the choice point is reached (using the same rule as above). In other words, for guard evaluation, a choice point has the same effect as a state.

Guards should not include expressions causing side effects. Models that violate this are considered ill formed.
**Transition execution sequence**

Every transition, except for internal transitions, causes exiting of a source state, and entering of the target state. These two states, which may be composite, are designated as the *main source* and the *main target* of a transition.

The *least common ancestor* (LCA) state of a transition is the lowest composite state that contains all the explicit source states and explicit target states of the compound transition. In case of junction segments, only the states related to the dynamically selected path are considered explicit targets (bypassed branches are not considered).

If the LCA is not a concurrent state, the main source is a direct substate of the least common ancestor that contains the explicit source states, and the main target is a substate of the least common ancestor that contains the explicit target states. In cases where the LCA is a concurrent state, the main source and main target are the concurrent state itself. The reason is that if a concurrent region is exited, it forces exit of the entire concurrent state.

Examples:

1. The common simple case: A transition \( t \) between two simple states \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \), in a composite state \( s \).
   
   Here the least common ancestor of \( t \) is \( s \), the main source is \( s_1 \), and the main target is \( s_2 \).

2. A more esoteric case: An unstructured transition from one region to another.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{s} \\
\text{s1} \\
\text{t} \\
\text{s2}
\end{array} \]

*Figure 2.26 Unstructured transition among regions*

Here the least common ancestor of \( t \) is \( s \), the main source is \( s \), and the main target is \( s \), since \( s \) is a concurrent state as specified above.

Once a transition is enabled and is selected to fire, the following steps are carried out in order:

- The main source state is properly exited.
- Actions are executed in sequence following their linear order along the segments of the transition: The closer the action to the source state, the earlier it is executed.
If a choice point is encountered, the guards following that choice point are evaluated dynamically and a path whose guards are true is selected. Entry and exit actions are executed for states entered and exited by the transition into the choice point.

- The main target state is properly entered.

2.12.4.7 **StateMachine**

**Event processing - run-to-completion step**

Events are dispatched and processed by the state machine, one at a time. The order of dequeuing is not defined, leaving open the possibility of modeling different priority-based schemes.

The semantics of event processing is based on the *run-to-completion* assumption, interpreted as run-to-completion processing. Run-to-completion processing means that an event can only be dequeued and dispatched if the processing of the previous current event is fully completed.

Run-to-completion may be implemented in various ways. For active classes, it may be realized by an event-loop running in its own concurrent thread, and that reads events from a queue. For passive classes it may be implemented as a monitor.

The processing of a single event by a state machine is known as a *run-to-completion step*. Before commencing on a run-to-completion step, a state machine is in a stable state configuration with all actions (but not necessarily activities) completed. The same conditions apply after the run-to-completion step is completed. Thus, an event will never be processed while the state machine is in some intermediate and inconsistent situation. The *run-to-completion step* is the passage between two state configurations of the state machine.

The run-to-completion assumption simplifies the transition function of the state machine, since concurrency conflicts are avoided during the processing of event, allowing the state machine to safely complete its run-to-completion step.

When an event instance is dispatched, it may result in one or more transitions being enabled for firing. If no transition is enabled and the event is not in the deferred event list of the current state configuration, the event is discarded and the run-to-completion step is completed.

In the presence of concurrent states it is possible to fire multiple transitions as a result of the same event — as many as one transition in each concurrent state in the current state configuration. In cases where one or more transitions are enabled, the state machine selects a subset and fires them. Which of the enabled transitions actually fire is determined by the transition selection algorithm described below. The order in which selected transitions fire is not defined.
Each orthogonal region in the active state configuration that is not decomposed into concurrent regions; that is, “bottom-level” region can fire at most one transition as a result of the current event. When all orthogonal regions have finished executing the transition, the current event instance is fully consumed, and the run-to-completion step is completed.

During a transition, a number of actions may be executed. If these actions are synchronous, then the transition step is not completed until the invoked objects complete their own run-to-completion steps.

An event instance can arrive at a state machine that is blocked in the middle of a run-to-completion step from some other object within the same thread, in a circular fashion. This event instance can be treated by orthogonal components of the state machine that are not frozen along transitions at that time.

**Run-to-completion and concurrency**

It is possible to define state machine semantics by allowing the run-to-completion steps to be applied concurrently to the orthogonal regions of a composite state, rather than to the whole state machine. This would allow the event serialization constraint to be relaxed. However, such semantics are quite subtle and difficult to implement. Therefore, the dynamic semantics defined in this document are based on the premise that a single run-to-completion step applies to the entire state machine and includes the concurrent steps taken by concurrent regions in the active state configuration.

In case of active objects, where each object has its own thread of execution, it is very important to clearly distinguish the notion of run to completion from the concept of thread pre-emption. Namely, run-to-completion event handling is performed by a thread that, in principle, can be pre-empted and its execution suspended in favor of another thread executing on the same processing node. (This is determined by the scheduling policy of the underlying thread environment — no assumptions are made about this policy.) When the suspended thread is assigned processor time again, it resumes its event processing from the point of pre-emption, and eventually completes its event processing.

**Conflicting transitions**

It was already noted that it is possible for more than one transition to be enabled within a state machine. If that happens, then such transitions may be in conflict with each other. For example, consider the case of two transitions originating from the same state, triggered by the same event, but with different guards. If that event occurs and both guard conditions are true, then only one transition will fire. In other words, in case of conflicting transitions, only one of them will fire in a single run-to-completion step.

Two transitions are said to conflict if they both exit the same state, or, more precisely, that the intersection of the set of states they exit is non-empty. Only transitions that occur in mutually orthogonal regions may be fired simultaneously. This constraint guarantees that the new active state configuration resulting from executing the set of transitions is well formed.
An internal transition in a state conflicts only with transitions that cause an exit from that state.

**Firing priorities**
In situations where there are conflicting transitions, the selection of which transitions will fire is based in part on an *implicit* priority. These priorities resolve some transition conflicts, but not all of them. The priorities of conflicting transitions are based on their relative position in the state hierarchy. By definition, a transition originating from a substate has higher priority than a conflicting transition originating from any of its containing states.

The priority of a transition is defined based on its source state. The priority of joined transitions is based on the priority of the transition with the most transitively nested source state.

In general, if $t_1$ is a transition whose source state is $s_1$, and $t_2$ has source $s_2$, then:

- If $s_1$ is a direct or transitively nested substate of $s_2$, then $t_1$ has higher priority than $t_2$.
- If $s_1$ and $s_2$ are not in the same state configuration, then there is no priority difference between $t_1$ and $t_2$.

**Transition selection algorithm**
The set of transitions that will fire is a maximal set of transitions that satisfies the following conditions:

- All transitions in the set are enabled.
- There are no conflicting transitions within the set.
- There is no transition outside the set that has higher priority than a transition in the set (that is, enabled transitions with highest priorities are in the set while conflicting transitions with lower priorities are left out).

This can be easily implemented by a greedy selection algorithm, with a straightforward traversal of the active state configuration. States in the active state configuration are traversed starting with the innermost nested simple states and working outwards toward the top state. For each state at a given level, all originating transitions are evaluated to determine if they are enabled. This traversal guarantees that the priority principle is not violated. The only non-trivial issue is resolving transition conflicts across orthogonal states on all levels. This is resolved by terminating the search in each orthogonal state once a transition inside any one of its components is fired.

**2.12.4.8 Synch States**
Synch states provide a means of synchronizing the execution of two concurrent regions. Specifically, a synch state has incoming transitions from a fork (or forks) in one region, the *source* region, and outgoing transitions to a join (or joins) in another, the *target* region. These forks and joins are called *synchronization* forks and joins. The
synch state itself is contained by the least common ancestor of the two regions being synchronized. The synchronized regions do not need to be siblings in state decomposition, but they must have a common ancestor state.

When the source region reaches a synchronization fork, the target states of that fork become active, including the synch state. Activation of the synch state is an indication that the source region has completed some activity. This region can continue performing its remaining activities in parallel. When the target region reaches the corresponding synchronization join, it is prevented from continuing unless all the states leading into the synchronization join are active, including the synch states.

A synch state may have multiple incoming and outgoing transitions, used for multiple synchronization points in each region. Alternatively, it may have single incoming and outgoing transitions where the incoming transition is fired multiple times before the outgoing one is fired. To support these applications, synch states keep count of the difference between the number of times their incoming and outgoing transitions are fired. When an incoming transition is fired, the count is incremented by one, unless its value is equal to the value defined in the bound attribute. In that case, the count is not incremented. When an outgoing transition is fired, the count is decremented by one. An outgoing transition may fire only if the count is greater than zero, which prevents the count from becoming negative. The count is automatically set to zero when its container state is exited.

The bound attribute is for limiting the number of times outgoing transitions fire from a synch state. For a state to realize the equivalent of a binary semaphore, the bound should be set to one. In this case multiple incoming transitions may fire before the outgoing transition does, wherein the outgoing transition can only fire once.

2.12.4.9 StubStates

Stub states are pseudostates signifying either entry points to or exit points from a submachine. Since a submachine is encapsulated and represented as a submachine state, multi-level (“deep”) transitions may logically connect states in separate state machines. This is facilitated by stub state, representing real states in a referenced machine to or form transitions in the referencing machine are incoming/outgoing. Stub states are therefore only defined within a submachine state, and are the only potential subvertices of a submachine state.

2.12.5 Notes

2.12.5.1 Protocol State Machines

One application area of state machines is in specifying object protocols, also known as object life cycles. A ‘protocol state machine’ for a class defines the order; that is, sequence in which the operations of that Class can be invoked. The behavior of each of these operations is defined by an associated method, rather than through action expressions on transitions.
A transition in a protocol state machine has as its trigger a call event that references an operation of the class, and an empty action sequence. Such a transition indicates that if the call event occurs when an object of the class is in the source state of the transition and the guard on the transition is true, then the method associated with the operation of the call event will be executed (if one exists), and the object will enter the target state. Semantically, the invocation of the method does not lead to a new call event being raised.

If a call event arrives when the state machine is not in an appropriate state to handle the event, the event is discarded, conform the general RTC semantics. Strictly speaking, from the caller's point of view this means that the call is completed. If instead the semantics are required that the caller should ‘hang’ (potentially infinitely) if the receiver’s state machine is not able to process the call event immediately, then the event must be deferred explicitly. This can be done for all call events in a protocol state machine by deferring them at a superstate level.

In any practical application, a protocol state machine is made up exclusively of ‘protocol’ transitions, and the entry and exit actions of its states are empty; that is, no action specifications exist other than for the methods. However, formally it is not prohibited to mix this kind of transition with transitions with explicit actions (as it does not seem worth the effort to prohibit this, and there may be some applications that might benefit from ‘mixing’).

![Figure 2-27 Example of a Protocol State Machine for a Class 'Account'.](image)

### 2.12.5.2 Example: Modeling Class Behavior

In the software that is implemented as a result of a state modeling design, the state machine may or may not be actually visible in the (generated or hand-crafted) code. The state machine will not be visible if there is some kind of run-time system that supports state machine behavior. In the more general case, however, the software code will contain specific statements that implement the state machine behavior.

A C++ example is shown below:
In the above example, the class has an abstract state manifested by the balance attribute, controlling the behavior of the class. This is modeled by the state machine in Figure 2-28 on page 2-172.

**Figure 2-28 State Machine for Modeling Class Behavior**

### 2.12.5.3 Example: State machine refinement

**Note** – The following discussion provides some potentially useful heuristics on how state machines can be refined. These techniques are all based on practical experience. However, readers are reminded that this topic is still the subject of research, and that it is likely that other approaches may be defined either now or in the future.

Since state machines describe behaviors of generalizable elements, primarily classes, state machine refinement is used to capture the relationships between the corresponding state machines. State machines use refinement in three different mappings, specified by the mapping attribute of the refinement meta-class. The mappings are refinement, substitution, and deletion.
To illustrate state machine refinement, consider the following example where one state machine attached to a class denoted ‘Supplier,’ is refined by another state machine attached to a class denoted as ‘Client.’

In the example above, the client state (Sa(new)) in the subclass substitutes the simple substate (Sa1) by a composite substate (Sa1(new)). This new composite substate has a component substate (Sa11). Furthermore, the new version of Sa1 deletes the substate Sa2 and also adds a new substate Sa4. Substate Sa3 is inherited and is therefore common to both versions of Sa. For clarity, we have used a gray shading to identify components that have been inherited from the original. (This is for illustration purposes and is not intended as a notational recommendation.)

It is important to note that state machine refinement as defined here does not specify or favor any specific policy of state machine refinement. Instead, it simply provides a flexible mechanism that allows subtyping, (behavioral compatibility), inheritance (implementation reuse), or general refinement policies.

We provide a brief discussion of potentially useful policies that can be implemented with the state machine refinement mechanism.

**Subtyping**

The refinement policy for subtyping is based on the rationale that the subtype preserves the pre/post condition relationships of applying events/operations on the type, as specified by the state machine. The pre/post conditions are realized by the states, and the relationships are realized by the transitions. Preserving pre/post conditions guarantee the substitutability principle.

States and transitions are only added, not deleted. Refinement is interpreted as follows:

- A refined state has the same outgoing transitions, but may add others, and a different set of incoming transitions. It may have a bigger set of substates, and it may change its concurrency property from false to true.
A refined transition may go to a new target state which is a substate of the state specified in the base class. This comes to guarantee the post condition specified by the base class.

A refined guard has the same guard condition, but may add disjunctions. This guarantees that pre-conditions are weakened rather than strengthened.

A refined action sequence contains the same actions (in the same sequence), but may have additional actions. The added actions should not hinder the invariant represented by the target state of the transition.

**Strict Inheritance**

The rationale behind this policy is to encourage reuse of implementation rather than preserving behavior. Since most implementation environments utilize strict inheritance; that is, features can be replaced or added, but not deleted, the inheritance policy follows this line by disabling refinements that may lead to non-strict inheritance once the state machine is implemented.

States and transitions can be added. Refinement is interpreted as follows:

- A refined state has some of the same incoming transitions (i.e., drop some, add some) but a greater or bigger set of outgoing transitions. It may have more substates, and may change its concurrency attribute.
- A refined transition may go to a new target state but should have the same source.
- A refined guard may have a different guard condition.
- A refined action sequence contains some of the same actions (in the same sequence), and may have additional actions.

**General Refinement**

In this most general case, states and transitions can be added and deleted (i.e., ‘null’ refinements). Refinement is interpreted without constraints; that is, there are no formal requirements on the properties and relationships of the refined state machine element and the refining element:

- A refined state may have different outgoing and incoming transitions (i.e., drop all, add some).
- A refined transition may leave from a different source and go to a new target state.
- A refined guard has may have a different guard condition.
- A refined action sequence need not contain the same actions (or it may change their sequence), and may have additional actions.

The refinement of the composite state in the example above is an illustration of general refinement.

It should be noted that if a type has multiple supertype relationships in the structural model, then the default state machine for the type consists of all the state machines of its supertypes as orthogonal state machine regions. This may be explicitly overridden through refinement if required.
2.12.5.4 Comparison to classical statecharts

The major difference between classical (Harel) statecharts and object state machines results from the external context of the state machine. Object state machines, such as ROOMcharts, primarily come to represent behavior of a type. Classical statechart specify behaviors of processes. The following list of differences result from the above rationale:

- Events carry parameters, rather than being primitive signals.
- Call events (operation triggers) are supported to model behaviors of types.
- Event conjunction is not supported, and the semantics is given in respect to a single event dispatch, to better match the type context as opposed to a general system context.
- Classical statecharts have an elaborated set of predefined actions, conditions and events that are not mandated by object state machines, such as entered(s), exited(s), true(condition), tr!(c) (make true), f$s!(c).
- Operations are not broadcast but can be directed to an object-set.
- The notion of activities (processes) does not exist in object state machines. Therefore all predefined actions and events that deal with activities are not supported, as well as the relationships between states and activities.
- Transition compositions are constrained for practical reasons. In classical statecharts any composition of pseudostates, simple transitions, guards, and labels is allowed.
- Object state machine supports the notion of synchronous communication between state machines.
- Actions on transitions are executed in their given order.
- Classical statecharts do not support dynamic choice points.
- Classical statecharts are based on the zero-time assumption, meaning transitions take zero time to execute. The whole system execution is based on synchronous steps where each step produces new events that will be processed at the next step. In object-oriented state machines, these assumptions are relaxed and replaced with these of software execution model, based on threads of execution and that execution of actions may take time.

2.13 Activity Graphs

2.13.1 Overview

The Activity Graphs package defines an extended view of the State Machine package. State machines and activity graphs are both essentially state transition systems, and share many metamodel elements. This section describes the concepts in the State Machine package that are specific to activity graphs. It should be noted that the activity
graphs extension has few semantics of its own. It should be understood in the context of the State Machine package, including its dependencies on the Foundation package and the Common Behavior package.

An activity graph is a special case of a state machine that is used to model processes involving one or more classifiers. Its primary focus is on the sequence and conditions for the actions that are taken, rather than on which classifiers perform those actions. Most of the states in such a graph are action states that represent atomic actions; that is, states that invoke actions and then wait for their completion. Transitions into action states are triggered by events, which can be

- the completion of a previous action state (completion events),
- the availability of an object in a certain state,
- the occurrence of a signal, or
- the satisfaction of some condition.

By defining a small set of additional subtypes to the basic state machine concepts, the well-formedness of activity graphs can be defined formally, and subsequently mapped to the dynamic semantics of state machines. In addition, the activity specific subtypes eliminate ambiguities that might otherwise arise in the interchange of activity graphs between tools.

2.13.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for activity graphs is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-30 on page 2-177.
An activity graph is a special case of a state machine that defines a computational process in terms of the control-flow and object-flow among its constituent actions. It does not extend the semantics of state machines in a major way but it does define shorthand forms that are convenient for modeling control-flow and object-flow in computational and organizational processes.

The primary purpose of activity graphs is to describe the states of an activity or process involving one or more classifiers. Activity graphs can be attached to packages, classifiers (including use cases), and behavioral features. As in any state machine, if an outgoing transition is not explicitly triggered by an event, then it is implicitly triggered.
by the completion of the contained actions. A subactivity state represents a nested activity that has some duration and internally consists of a set of actions or more subactivities. That is, a subactivity state is a “hierarchical action” with an embedded activity subgraph that ultimately resolves to individual actions.

Junctions, forks, joins, and synchs may be included to model decisions and concurrent activity.

Activity graphs include the concept of Partitions to organize states according to various criteria, such as the real-world organization responsible for their performance.

Activity graphing can be applied to organizational modeling for business process engineering and workflow modeling. In this context, events often originate from inside the system, such as the finishing of a task, but also from outside the system, such as a customer call. Activity graphs can also be applied to system modeling to specify the dynamics of operations and system level processes when a full interaction model is not needed.

Associations

partition

A set of Partitions each of which contains some of the model elements of the model.

2.13.2.2 ActionState

An action state represents the execution of an atomic action, typically the invocation of an operation.

An action state is a simple state with an entry action whose only exit transition is triggered by the implicit event of completing the execution of the entry action. The state therefore corresponds to the execution of the entry action itself and the outgoing transition is activated as soon as the action has completed its execution.

An ActionState may perform more than one action as part of its entry action. An action state may not have an exit action, do activity, or internal transitions.

Attributes

dynamicArguments

An ArgListsExpression that determines at runtime the number of parallel executions of the actions of the state. The value must be a set of lists of objects, each list serving as arguments for one execution. This attribute is ignored if the isDynamic attribute is false.

dynamicMultiplicity

A Multiplicity limiting the number of parallel executions of the actions of state. This attribute is ignored if the isDynamic attribute is false.

isDynamic

A boolean value specifying whether the state's actions might be executed concurrently. It is used in conjunction with the dynamicArguments attribute.
Associations

*entry*  
(Inherited from State) Specifies the invoked actions.

### 2.13.2.3 CallState

A call state is an action state that has exactly one call action as its entry action. It is useful in object flow modeling to reduce notational ambiguity over which action is taking input or providing output.

### 2.13.2.4 ClassifierInState

A classifier-in-state characterizes instances of a given classifier that are in a particular state or states. In an activity graph, it may be used to specify input and/or output to an action through an object flow state.

ClassifierInState is a child of Classifier and may be used in static structural models and collaborations. For example, it can be used to show associations that are only relevant when objects of a class are in a given state.

**Associations**

*type*  
Designates a classifier for the ClassifierInState to characterize the instances of.

*inState*  
Designates a state that characterizes instances of the classifier of the ClassifierInState. The state must be a valid state of the corresponding classifier. This may have multiple states when referring to an object in orthogonal states.

### 2.13.2.5 ObjectFlowState

An object flow state defines an object flow between actions in an activity graph. An instance of a particular classifier, possibly in a particular state, is available when an object flow state is occupied.

The generation of an object by an action in an action state may be modeled by an object flow state that is triggered by the completion of the action state. The use of the object in a subsequent action state may be modeled by connecting the output transition of the object flow state as an input transition to the action state. Generally each action places the object in a different state that is modeled as a distinct object flow state.

**Attributes**

*isSynch*  
A boolean value indicating whether an object flow state is used as a synch state.
Associations

type  Designates a classifier that specifies the classifier of the object. It may be a classifier-in-state to specify the state and classifier of the object.

parameter Designates parameters that provide the object as output or take it as input.

Stereotypes

«signalflow»  Signalflow is a stereotype of ObjectFlowState with a Signal as its type.

2.13.2.6 Partition

A partition is a mechanism for dividing the states of an activity graph into groups. Partitions often correspond to organizational units in a business model. They may be used to allocate characteristics or resources among the states of an activity graph. It should be noted that Partitions do not impact the dynamic semantics of the model but they help to allocate properties and actions for various purposes.

Associations

contents Specifies the states that belong to the partition. They need not constitute a nested region.

2.13.2.7 SubactivityState

A subactivity state represents the execution of a non-atomic sequence of steps that has some duration; that is, internally it consists of a set of actions and possibly waiting for events. That is, a subactivity state is a “hierarchical action,” where an associated subactivity graph is executed.

A subactivity state is a submachine state that executes a nested activity graph. When an input transition to the subactivity state is triggered, execution begins with the nested activity graph. The outgoing transitions of a subactivity state are enabled when the final state of the nested activity graph is reached; that is, when it completes its execution, or when the trigger events occur on the transitions.

The semantics of a subactivity state are equivalent to the model obtained by statically substituting the contents of the nested graph as a composite state replacing the subactivity state.
### Attributes

**dynamicArguments**
- An ArgListsExpression that determines the number of parallel executions of the submachines of the state. The value must be a set of lists of objects, each list serving as arguments for one execution. This attribute is ignored if the isDynamic attribute is false.

**dynamicMultiplicity**
- A Multiplicity limiting the number of parallel executions of the actions of state. This attribute is ignored if the isDynamic attribute is false.

**isDynamic**
- A boolean value specifying whether the state's subactivity might be executed concurrently. It is used in conjunction with the dynamicArguments attribute.

### Associations

**submachine**
- (Inherited from SubmachineState) This designates an activity graph that is conceptually nested within the subactivity state. The subactivity state is conceptually equivalent to a composite state whose contents are the states of the nested activity graph. The nested activity graph must have an initial state and a final state.

### 2.13.2.8 Transition

Transition is inherited from state machines.

### Tagged Values

**usage**
- Usage applies only to transitions leading into or out of an object flow state. It has a value of uses or modifies. A value of uses indicates that the action of the state at the other end of the transition from the object flow state uses but does not modify the object represented by the object flow state. A value of modifies indicates that the action of the state at the other end of the transition from the object flow state modifies and may use the object represented by the object flow state.

### 2.13.3 Well-Formedness Rules

#### 2.13.3.1 ActivityGraph

[1] An ActivityGraph specifies the dynamics of

(i) a Package, or

(ii) a Classifier (including UseCase), or

(iii) a BehavioralFeature.

\[(\text{self.context.oclIsTypeOf(Package)} \ xor \ \text{self.context.oclIsTypeOf(Classifier)})\]
self.context.oclIsKindOf(Classifier) xor
self.context.oclIsKindOf(BehavioralFeature))

2.13.3.2 ActionState

[1] An action state has a non-empty entry action.
   self.entry->size > 0

[2] An action state does not have an internal transition, exit action, or a do activity.
   self.internalTransition->size = 0 and self.exit->size = 0 and
   self.doActivity->size = 0

[3] Transitions originating from an action state have no trigger event.
   self.outgoing->forall(t | t.trigger->size = 0)

2.13.3.3 CallState

[1] The entry action of a call state is a single call action.
   self.entry->size = 1 and self.entry.oclIsKindOf(CallAction)

2.13.3.4 ClassifierInState

[1] Classifiers-in-state have no namespace contents.
   self.allContents->size = 0

2.13.3.5 ObjectFlowState

[1] Parameters of an object flow state must have a type and direction compatible with
   classifier or classifier-in-state of the object flow state.

   let ofstype : Classifier =
       (if self.type.IsKindOf(ClassifierInState)
         then self.type.type else self.type);

   self.parameter->forall(parameter |
     parameter.type = ofstype
     or (parameter.kind = #in
         and ofstype.allSupertypes->includes(type))
     or ((parameter.kind = #out or parameter.kind = #return)
         and type.allSupertypes->includes(ofstype))
     or (parameter.kind = #inout
         and ( ofstype.allSupertypes->includes(type)
             or type.allSupertypes->includes(ofstype))))
[2] Downstream states have entry actions that accept input conforming to the type of the classifier or classifier-in-state. The entry actions use the input parameters of the object flow state. Valid downstream states are calculated by traversing outgoing transitions transitively, skipping pseudo states, and entering and exiting subactivity states, looking for regular states. If the object flow state has no parameters, then the target of downstream actions must conform to the type of the classifier or classifier-in-state.

\[
\text{self.allNextLeafStates.size} > 0 \text{ and } \\
\text{self.allNextLeafStates->forAll(s | self.isInputAction(s.entry))}
\]

[3] Upstream states have entry actions that provide output or return values conforming to the type of the classifier or classifier-in-state. The entry actions use the output or return parameters of the object flow state. Valid upstream states are calculated by traversing incoming transitions transitively, skipping pseudo states, entering and exiting subactivity states, looking for regular states.

\[
\text{self.allPreviousLeafStates.size} > 0 \text{ and } \\
\text{self.allPreviousLeafStates->forAll(s | self.isOutputAction(s.entry))}
\]

Additional operations

[1] The operation \text{allNextLeafStates} results in the set of states immediately downstream of the object flow state that have the next actions that will be executed.

[2] The operation \text{allPreviousLeafStates} results in the set of states immediately upstream of the object flow state that have the next actions that were last executed.

[3] The operation \text{isInputAction} takes an action as input and results in a boolean telling whether the action has an input parameter compatible with the object flow state.

[4] The operation \text{isOutputAction} takes an action as input and results in a boolean telling whether the action has an output parameter compatible with the object flow state.

2.13.3.6 PseudoState

[1] In activity graphs, transitions incoming to (and outgoing from) join and fork pseudostates have as sources (targets) any state vertex. That is, joins and forks are syntactically not restricted to be used in combination with composite states, as is the case in state machines.

\[
\text{self.stateMachine.oclIsTypeOf(ActivityGraph)} \text{ implies } \\
((\text{self.kind} = \#\text{join or self.kind} = \#\text{fork}) \text{ implies } \\
(\text{self.incoming->forAll(t | t.source.oclIsKindOf(State) or source.oclIsTypeOf(PseudoState)}) \text{ and } \\
(\text{self.outgoing->forAll(t | t.source.oclIsKindOf(State) or source.oclIsTypeOf(PseudoState))))))
\]

[2] All of the paths leaving a fork must eventually merge in a subsequent join in the model. Furthermore, multiple layers of forks and joins must be well nested, with the exception of forks and joins leading to or from synch state. Therefore the concurrency structure of an
activity graph is in fact equally restrictive as that of an ordinary state machine, even though the composite states need not be explicit.

2.13.3.7 SubactivityState

[1] A subactivity state is a submachine state that is linked to an activity graph.

self.submachine.oclIsKindOf(ActivityGraph)

2.13.4 Detailed Semantics

2.13.4.1 ActivityGraph

The dynamic semantics of activity graphs can be expressed in terms of state machines. This means that the process structure of activities formally must be equivalent to orthogonal regions (in composite states). That is, transitions crossing between parallel paths (or threads) are not allowed, except for transitions used with synch states. As such, an activity specification that contains ‘unconstrained parallelism’ as is used in general activity graphs is considered ‘incomplete’ in terms of UML.

All events that are not relevant in a state must be deferred so they are consumed when they become relevant. This is facilitated by the general deferral mechanism of state machines.

2.13.4.2 ActionState

As soon as the incoming transition of an ActionState is triggered, its entry action starts executing. Once the entry action has finished executing, the action is considered complete. When the action is complete, then the outgoing transition is enabled.

The isDynamic attribute of an action state determines whether multiple invocations of state might be executed concurrently, depending on runtime information. This means that the normal activities of an action state, namely its actions, may execute multiple times in parallel. If isDynamic is true, then the dynamicArguments attribute is evaluated at the time the state is entered. The size of the resulting set determines the number of parallel executions of the state. Each element of the set is a list, which is used as arguments for an execution. These arguments can be referred to within actions (for example, by “object[i]” denoting the ith object in a list). If the isDynamic attribute is false, dynamicArguments is ignored. If the dynamicArguments expression evaluates to the empty set, then the state behaves as if it had no actions. It is an error if the dynamicArguments expression evaluates to a set with fewer or more elements than the number allowed by the dynamicMultiplicity attribute. The behavior is not defined in this case.

Dynamic states may be nested. In this case, you can’t access the outer set of arguments in the inner nesting. If this should be necessary, arguments can be passed explicitly from the outer to the inner dynamic state.
2.13.4.3 *ObjectFlowState*

The activation of an object flow state signifies that an instance of the associated classifier is available, perhaps in a specified state; that is, a state change has occurred as a result of a previous operation. This may enable a subsequent action state that requires the instance as input. As with all states in activity graphs, if the object flow state leads into a join pseudostate, then the object flow state remains activated until the other predecessors of the join have completed.

Unless there is an explicit ‘fork’ that creates orthogonal object states, only one of an object flow state’s outgoing transitions will fire as determined by the guards of the transitions. The invocation of the action state may result in a state change of the object, resulting in a new object flow state.

An object flow state may specify the parameter of an operation that provides the flowing object as output, and the parameter of an operation that takes the flowing object as input. The operations must be called in actions of states immediately preceding and succeeding the object flow state, respectively, although pseudostates, final states, synch states, and stub states may be interposed between the object flow state and the acting state. For example, an object flow state may transition to a subactivity state, which means at runtime the object is passed as input to the first state after the initial state of the subactivity graph. If no parameter is specified to take the flowing object as input, then it is used as an action target instead. Call actions are particularly suited to be used in conjunction with this technique because they invoke exactly one operation.

Object flow states may be used as synch states, indicated by the isSynch attribute being set to true. In this case, outgoing transitions can fire only if an object has arrived on the incoming transitions. Instead of a count, the state keeps a list of objects that arrive on the incoming transitions. These objects are pulled from the list as outgoing transitions are fired. No outgoing transitions can fire if the list is empty. All objects in the list conform to the classifier and state specified by the object flow state. The list is not bounded as the count may be in synch states.

For applications requiring that actions or activities bring about an event as their result, use an object flow state with a signal as a classifier. This means the action or activity must return an instance of a signal. For multiple resulting events, transition the action or activity to a fork, and target the fork transitions at multiple object flow states.

2.13.4.4 *SubactivityState*

The isDynamic, dynamicArguments, and dynamicMultiplicity attributes of a subactivity state have a similar meaning to the same attributes of action states. They provide for executing the submachine of the subactivity state multiple times in parallel. See semantics of ActionState.
2.13.4.5 Transition

In activity graphs, transitions outgoing from forks may have guards. This means the region initiated by a fork transition might not start, and therefore not be required to complete at the corresponding join. Forks and joins must be well-nested in the model to use this feature (see rule #2 for PseudoState in Activity Graphs). The following mapping shows the state machine meaning for such an activity graph.

![Activity diagram notation](Figure 2-31 State machine meaning for an activity graph)

If a conditional region synchronizes with another region using a synch state, and the condition fails, then these synch states have their counts set to infinity to prevent other regions from deadlocking.

2.13.5 Notes

Object flow states in activity graphs are a specialization of the general dataflow aspect of process models. Object-flow activity graphs extend the semantics of standard dataflow relationships in three areas:

1. The operations in action states in activity graphs are operations of classifiers or types (e.g., ‘Trade’ or ‘OrderEntryClerk’). They are not hierarchical ‘functions’ operating on a dataflow.

2. The ‘contents’ of object flow states are typed. They are not unstructured data definitions as in data stores.

3. The state of the object flowing as input and output between operations may be defined explicitly. The event of the availability of an object in a specific state may form a trigger for the operation that requires the object as input. Object flow states are not necessarily stateless as are data stores.
Part 4 - General Mechanisms

This section defines the mechanisms of general applicability to models. This version of UML contains one general mechanisms package, Model Management. The Model Management package specifies how model elements are organized into models, packages, subsystems, and UML profiles.

2.14 Model Management

2.14.1 Overview

The Model Management package is dependent on the Foundation package. It defines Model, Package, and Subsystem, which all serve as grouping units for other ModelElements.

Models are used to capture different views of a physical system. Packages are used within a Model to group ModelElements. A Subsystem represents a behavioral unit in the physical system. UML Profiles are packages dedicated to group UML extensions.

In this section it is necessary to clearly distinguish between the physical system being modeled; that is, the subject of the model and the model element that represent the physical system in the model. For this reason, we consistently use the term physical system when we want to indicate the former, and the term (top-level) subsystem when we want to indicate the latter. An example of a physical system is a credit card service, which includes software, hardware, and wetware (people). The UML model for this physical system might consist of a top-level subsystem called CreditCardService, which is decomposed into subsystems for Authorization, Credit, and Billing. An analogy with the construction of houses would be that the house would correspond to the physical system, while a blueprint would correspond to a model, and an element used in a blueprint would correspond to a model element.

The following sections describe the abstract syntax, well-formedness rules, and semantics of the Model Management package.

2.14.2 Abstract Syntax

The abstract syntax for the Model Management package is expressed in graphic notation in Figure 2-32.
2.14.2.1 Dependency (as extended)

Dependencies have specific extensions for modeling UML profiles.

**Stereotypes**

- «modelLibrary»: This dependency means that the supplier package is being used as a model library associated with a profile. The client is a package that is stereotyped as a profile and the supplier is a non-profile package that contains shared model elements, such as classes and data types.

- «appliedProfile»: This dependency is used to indicate which profiles are applicable to a package. Typically, the client is an ordinary package or a model (but could be any other kind of package), while the supplier is a profile package. This means that the profile applies transitively to the model elements contained in the client package, including the client package itself.
2.14.2.2 ElementImport

An element import defines the visibility and alias of a model element included in the namespace within a package, as a result of the package importing another package.

In the metamodel an ElementImport reifies the relationship between a Package and an imported ModelElement. It allows redefinition of the name and the visibility for the imported ModelElement; that is, the ModelElement may be given another name (an alias) and/or a new visibility to be used within the importing Package. The default is no alias (the original name will be used) and private visibility relative to the importing Package.

Attributes

- **alias**
  The alias defines a local name of the imported ModelElement, to be used within the Package.

- **isSpecification**
  Specifies whether the ownedElement is part of the specification for the containing namespace (in cases where specification is distinguished from the realization). Otherwise the ownedElement is part of the realization. In cases in which the distinction is not made, the value is false by default.

- **visibility**
  An imported ModelElement is either public, protected, or private relative to the importing Package.

2.14.2.3 Model

A model captures a view of a physical system. It is an abstraction of the physical system, with a certain purpose. This purpose determines what is to be included in the model and what is irrelevant. Thus the model completely describes those aspects of the physical system that are relevant to the purpose of the model, at the appropriate level of detail.

In the metamodel, Model is a subclass of Package. It contains a containment hierarchy of ModelElements that together describe the physical system. A Model also contains a set of ModelElements that represents the environment of the system, typically Actors, together with their interrelationships, such as Dependencies, Generalizations, and Constraints.

Different Models can be defined for the same physical system, where each model represents a view of the physical system defined by its purpose and abstraction level (for example, an analysis model, a design model, an implementation model). Typically different models are complementary and defined from the perspectives (viewpoints) of different system stakeholders. For example, a use-case model may be defined from the viewpoint of a business analyst stakeholder. Each Model is a complete description of the physical system. When Models are nested, the container Model represents the comprehensive view of the physical system given by the different views defined by the contained Models.
Stereotypes

«systemModel» A systemModel is a stereotyped model that contains a collection of models of the same physical system. A systemModel also contains all relationships and constraints between model elements contained in different models.

«metamodel» A metamodel is a stereotyped model denoting that the model is an abstraction of another model; that is, it is a model of a model. Hence, if M2 is a model of the model M1, then M2 is a metamodel of M1. It follows then that classes in M1 are instances of metaclasses in M2. The stereotype can be recursively applied, as in the case of a 4-layer metamodel architecture.

2.14.2.4 Package

A package is a grouping of model elements.

In the metamodel Package is a subclass of Namespace and GeneralizableElement. A Package contains ModelElements like Packages, Classifiers, and Associations. A Package may also contain Constraints and Dependencies between ModelElements of the Package.

Each ModelElement of a Package has a visibility relative to the Package stating if the ModelElement is available to ModelElements in other Packages with a Permission («access» or «import») or Generalization relationship to the Package. An «access» or «import» Permission from one Package to another allows public ModelElements in the target Package to be referenced by ModelElements in the source Package. They differ in that all public ModelElements in imported Packages are added to the Namespace within the importing Package, whereas the Namespace within an accessing Package is not affected at all. The ModelElements available in a Package are those in the contents of the Namespace within the Package, which consists of owned and imported ModelElements, together with public ModelElements in accessed Packages.

Associations

importedElement The namespace defined by a package is extended by model elements in other, imported packages.
**Stereotypes**

«facade»  
A facade is a stereotyped package that contains references to model elements owned by another package. It is used to provide a ‘public view’ of some of the contents of a package. A facade does not contain any model elements of its own.

«framework»  
A framework is a stereotyped package that contains model elements that specify a reusable architecture for all or part of a system. Frameworks typically include classes, patterns, or templates. When frameworks are specialized for an application domain, they are sometimes referred to as application frameworks.

«modelLibrary»  
A model library is a stereotyped package that contains model elements that are intended to be reused by other packages. A model library differs from a profile in that a model library does not extend the metamodel using stereotypes and tagged definitions. A model library is analogous to a class library in some programming languages.

«profile»  
A profile is a stereotyped package that contains model elements that have been customized for a specific domain or purpose using extension mechanisms, such as stereotypes, tagged definitions, and constraints. A profile may also specify model libraries on which it depends and the metamodel subset that it extends. (The latter is specified via an applicableSubset tag definition.)

«stub»  
A stub is a stereotyped package that represents only the public parts of another package.

«topLevel»  
TopLevel is a stereotyped package that denotes the highest level package in a containment hierarchy. The topLevel stereotype defines the outer limit for looking up names, as namespaces “see” outwards. A topLevel subsystem is the top of a subsystem containment hierarchy; that is, it is the model element that represents the boundary of the entire physical system being modeled.

**Tag Definitions**

{applicableSubset}  
This tag definition, which only applies to profile packages, lists the metaelements that are used by the associated profile. The value associated with this tag definition is a set of strings, where each string represents the name of an applicable metaelement.

Note that the use of applicable subset does not necessarily exclude the use of any metaelements, but clearly identifies which ones are referenced from the associated profile. Further note that the tag definition applies only to the immediately associated profile. If a profile combines several other profiles using import or generalizations, the applicable subset only applies to the immediately associated profile. The absence of an applicable subset tag definition means that the whole UML metamodel is applicable.
2.14.2.5 Subsystem

A subsystem is a grouping of model elements that represents a behavioral unit in a physical system. A subsystem offers interfaces and has operations. In addition, the model elements of a subsystem are partitioned into specification and realization elements, where the former, together with the operations of the subsystem, are realized by the latter.

In the metamodel, Subsystem is a subclass of both Package and Classifier. As such it may have a set of Features, which are constrained to be Operations and Receptions, and Associations.

The contents of a Subsystem are divided into two subsets: specification elements and realization elements. The former subset provides, together with the Operations of the Subsystem, a specification of the behavior contained in the Subsystem, while the ModelElements in the latter subset jointly provide a realization of the specification. Any kind of ModelElement can be a specification element or a realization element. The relationships between the specification elements and the realization elements can be defined in different ways (for example, with Collaborations or «realize» dependencies).

Attributes

isInstantiable States whether a Subsystem is instantiable or not. If false, the Subsystem represents a unique part of the physical system; otherwise, there may be several system parts with the same definition.

2.14.3 Well-Formedness Rules

The following well-formedness rules apply to the Model Management package.

2.14.3.1 ElementImport

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.14.3.2 Model

No extra well-formedness rules.

2.14.3.3 Package

[1] No imported element (excluding Association) may have the same name or alias as any element owned by the Package or one of its supertypes.

```plaintext
self.allImportedElements->reject( re | re.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->forall( re | (re.elementImport.alias <> '' implies not (self.allContents - self.allImportedElements)->
```
reject( ve |
  ve.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->exists( ve |
  ve.name = re.elementImport.alias))

and

(re.elementImport.alias = '' implies
  not (self.allContents - self.allImportedElements)->
  reject( ve |
    ve.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->exists( ve |
    ve.name = re.name) ) )

[2] Imported elements (excluding Association) may not have the same name or alias.

  self.allImportedElements->reject( re |
    not re.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->forall( r1, r2 |
      (r1.elementImport.alias <> '' and
       r2.elementImport.alias <> '' and
       r1.elementImport.alias = r2.elementImport.alias
       implies r1 = r2) and
      (r1.elementImport.alias = '' and
       r2.elementImport.alias = '' and
       r1.name = r2.name implies r1 = r2) and
      (r1.elementImport.alias <> '' and
       r2.elementImport.alias = '' implies
       r1.elementImport.alias <> r2.name))

[3] No imported element (Association) may have the same name or alias combined with the
same set of associated Classifiers as any Association owned by the Package or one of its
supertypes.

  self.allImportedElements->select( re |
    re.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->forall( re |
      (re.elementImport.alias <> '' implies
       not (self.allContents - self.allImportedElements)->
       select( ve |
         ve.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->exists( ve : Association |
         ve.name = re.elementImport.alias
         and
         ve.connection->size = re.connection->size and
         Sequence {1..re.connection->size})->forall( i |
         re.connection->at(i).participant =
         ve.connection->at(i).participant ) ) ) )
and
(re.elementImport.alias = '') implies
not (self.allContents - self.allImportedElements)->
select( ve |
   not ve.oclIsKindOf(Association) )->exists( ve : Association |
   ve.name = re.name
   and
   ve.connection->size = re.connection->size and
   Sequence {1..re.connection->size}->forall( i |
   re.connection->at(i).participant =
   ve.connection->at(i).participant ) ) ) )

[4] Imported elements (Association) may not have the same name or alias combined with the
same set of associated Classifiers.

self.allImportedElements->select ( re |
   re.oclIsKindOf (Association) )->forall ( r1, r2 : Association |
   (r1.connection->size = r2.connection->size and
   Sequence {1..r1.connection->size}->forall ( i |
   r1.connection->at (i).participant =
   r2.connection->at (i).participant and
   r1.elementImport.alias <> '' and
   r2.elementImport.alias <> '' and
   r1.elementImport.alias = r2.elementImport.alias
   implies r1 = r2))
and
(r1.connection->size = r2.connection->size and
Sequence {1..r1.connection->size}->forall ( i |
   r1.connection->at (i).participant =
   r2.connection->at (i).participant and
   r1.elementImport.alias = '' and
   r2.elementImport.alias = '' and
   r1.name = r2.name
   implies r1 = r2))
and
(r1.connection->size = r2.connection->size and
Sequence {1..r1.connection->size}->forall ( i |
   r1.connection->at (i).participant =
   r2.connection->at (i).participant and
   r1.elementImport.alias <> '' and
   r2.elementImport.alias = ''
implies r1.elementImport.alias <> r2.name))}

**Additional Operations**

[1] The operation contents results in a Set containing the ModelElements owned by or imported by the Package.

\[
\text{contents : Set(ModelElement)}
\]

\[
\text{contents} = \text{self.ownedElement->union(self.importedElement)}
\]

[2] The operation allImportedElements results in a Set containing the ModelElements imported by the Package or one of its parents.

\[
\text{allImportedElements : Set(ModelElement)}
\]

\[
\text{allImportedElements} = \text{self.importedElement->union(}
\]

\[
\text{self.parent.oclAsType(Package).allImportedElements->select( re |}
\]

\[
\text{re.elementImport.visibility = #public or}
\]

\[
\text{re.elementImport.visibility = #protected)}
\]

[3] The operation allContents results in a Set containing the ModelElements owned by or imported by the Package or one of its ancestors.

\[
\text{allContents : Set(ModelElement);}
\]

\[
\text{allContents} = \text{self.contents->union(}
\]

\[
\text{self.parent.allContents->select(e |}
\]

\[
\text{e.elementOwnership.visibility = #public or}
\]

\[
\text{e.elementOwnership.visibility = #protected)}
\]

### 2.14.3.4 Profile

[1] The base classes of all stereotypes in a profile must be part of the applicable subset of this profile.

\[
\text{self.applicableSubset->}
\]

\[
\text{includesAll(self.stereotypes->collect(baseClass))}
\]

[2] A profile package can only contain tag definitions, stereotypes, constraints and data types.

\[
\text{self.contents->forAll(e |}
\]

\[
\text{e.oclIsKindOf(Stereotype) or}
\]

\[
\text{e.oclIsKindOf(Constraint) or}
\]

\[
\text{e.oclIsKindOf(TagDefinition) or}
\]

\[
\text{e.oclIsKindOf(DataType)}
\]

### 2.14.3.5 Subsystem

[1] For each Operation in an Interface offered by a Subsystem, the Subsystem itself or at least one contained specification element must have a matching Operation.

\[
\text{self.specification.allOperations->forAll(interOp |}
\]

\[
\text{self.allOperations->union}
\]

\[
\text{(self.allSpecificationElements->select(specEl |}
\]

\[
\text{specEl.oclIsKindOf(Classifier))->forAll(c|}
\]
c.allOperations()->exists
   ( op | op.hasSameSignature(interOp) )

[1] For each Reception in an Interface offered by a Subsystem, the Subsystem itself or at least one contained specification element must have a matching Reception.

let allReceptions : set(Reception) = self.allFeatures->select(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Reception)) in
self.specification.allReceptions->forAll(interRec |
   self.allReceptions->union
      (self.allSpecificationElements->select(specEl |
         specEl.oclIsKindOf(Classifier))->forAll(c |
            c.allReceptions))->exists
      ( rec | rec.hasSameSignature(interRec) ) )

[3] The Features of a Subsystem may only be Operations or Receptions.

self.feature->forAll(f | f.oclIsKindOf(Operation) or f.oclIsKindOf(Reception))

[4] A Subsystem may only own or reference Packages, Classes, DataTypes, Interfaces, UseCases, Actors, Subsystems, Signals, Associations, Generalizations, Dependencies, Constraints, Collaborations, StateMachines, and Stereotypes.

self.contents->forAll ( c |
   c.oclIsKindOf(Package) or c.oclIsKindOf(Class) or c.oclIsKindOf(DataType) or c.oclIsKindOf(Interface) or c.oclIsKindOf(UseCase) or c.oclIsKindOf(Actor) or c.oclIsKindOf(Subsystem) or c.oclIsKindOf(Signal) or c.oclIsKindOf(Association) or c.oclIsKindOf(Generalization) or c.oclIsKindOf(Dependency) or c.oclIsKindOf(Constraint) or c.oclIsKindOf(Collaboration) or c.oclIsKindOf(StateMachine) or c.oclIsKindOf(Stereotype) )

Additional Operations

[1] The operation allSpecificationElements results in a Set containing the Model Elements specifying the behavior of the Subsystem.

allSpecificationElements : Set(ModelElement)
allSpecificationElements = self.allContents->select(c | c.elementOwnership.isSpecification )
[2] The operation contents results in a Set containing the ModelElements owned by or imported by the Subsystem.

\[
\text{contents : Set(ModelElement)} \\
\text{contents = self.ownedElement->union(self.importedElement)}
\]

### 2.14.4 Semantics

#### 2.14.4.1 Package

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2-33** Package illustration - shows Package and its environment in the metamodel by flattening the inheritance hierarchy.

The purpose of the *package* construct is to provide a general grouping mechanism. A package cannot be instantiated, thus it has no runtime semantics. In fact, its only semantics is to define a namespace for its contents. The package construct can be used for organizing elements for any purpose; the criteria to use for grouping elements together into one package are not defined within UML.

A package owns a set of model elements, with the implication that if the package is removed from the model, so are the elements owned by the package. Elements with names, such as classifiers, that are owned by the same package must have unique names within the package, although elements in different packages may have the same name.

There may be relationships between elements contained in the same package, and between an element in one package and an element in a surrounding package at any level. In other words, elements “see” all the way out through nested levels of packages. (Note that a package with the stereotype «topLevel» defines the outer limit of this outward visibility.) Elements in peer packages, however, are encapsulated and are not *a priori* visible to each other. The same goes for elements in contained packages; that is, packages do not see “inwards.” There are two ways of making elements in other packages available: by importing/accessing these other packages, and by defining generalizations to them.

An *import* dependency (a Permission dependency with the stereotype «import») from one package to another means that the first package imports all the elements with sufficient visibility in the second package. Imported elements are not owned by the package; however, they may be used in associations, generalizations, attribute types, and other relationships owned by the package. A package defines the *visibility* of its contained elements to be private, protected, or public. Private elements are not available at all outside the containing package. Protected elements are available only to packages with generalizations to the package owning the elements, and public elements...
are available also to importing and accessing packages. Note that the visibility mechanism does not restrict the availability of an element to peer elements in the same package.

When an element is imported by a package it extends the namespace of that package. It is possible to give an imported element an alias to avoid name conflicts with the names of the other elements in the namespace, including other imported elements. The alias will then be the name of that element in the namespace; the element will not appear under both the alias and its original name. An imported element is by default private to the importing package. It may, however, be given a more permissive visibility relative to the importing package; that is, the local visibility may be defined as protected or public.

A package with an import dependency to another package imports all the public contents of the namespace defined by the supplier package, including elements of packages imported by the supplier package that are given public visibility in the supplier.

The *access* dependency (a Permission dependency with the stereotype «access») is similar to the import dependency in that it makes elements in the supplier package available to the client package. However, in this case no elements in the supplier package are included in the namespace of the client. They are simply referred to by their full pathname when referenced in the accessing package. Clearly, they are not visible to packages in turn accessing or importing this package.

A package can have generalizations to other packages. This means that the public and protected elements owned or imported by a package are also available to its children, and can be used in the same way as any element owned or imported by the children themselves. Elements made available to another package by the use of a generalization are referred to by the same name in the child as they are in the parent. Moreover, they have the same visibility in the child as they have in the parent package. Relationships between the ancestor package and other model elements are also inherited by the child package.

A package can be used to define a framework, specifying a reusable architecture for all or part of a system. Frameworks may include reusable classes, patterns or templates. When frameworks are specialized for an application domain, they are sometimes referred to as application frameworks.

### 2.14.4.2 Profile

A profile stereotype of Package contains one or more related extensions of standard UML semantics (refer to Section 2.6, “Extension Mechanisms,” on page 2-74). These are normally intended to customize UML for a particular domain or purpose. Profiles can contain stereotypes, tag definitions, and constraints. They can also contain data types that are used by tag definitions for informally declaring the types of the values that can be associated with tag definitions.
In addition, a profile package can specify a related model library and identify a subset of the UML metamodel that is applicable for the profile. In principle, profiles merely refine the standard semantics of UML by adding further constraints and interpretations that capture domain-specific semantics and modeling patterns. They do not add any new fundamental concepts.

**Relationships between profiles**

A profile package can have the usual relationships with other packages such as generalization, import, and access. These have the usual semantics. They are useful to profile designers who may want to import elements from one profile into another, or to combine two or more profiles. However, care should be taken to combine these in a consistent way. For example, extensions from different profiles may be incompatible and their respective constraints may contradict each other. In this revision of UML, no formal mechanisms are defined to verify that a combination of two or more profiles is mutually consistent.

**Profile generalization**

Generalization of profiles is a relationship between a profile and a more general profile. The more specific profile must be fully consistent with the more general profile; that is, it has all the same tag definitions, stereotypes, and constraints, and may add further refinements, which must not contradict its parent. Note that the subset of UML defined as applicable by a profile is not inherited by specializing profiles, whereas relationships to model libraries are.

**Access and import dependencies between profiles**

Profiles can have access and import dependencies with the usual semantics. This allows elements in one profile to access or use elements in the related profiles. An applied profiles dependency will allow a client package to use all stereotypes and tag definitions accessible by the supplier package. As in all other types of packages, a profile can own other profiles with standard semantics of ownership and accessibility.

**Applying a profile to a package**

A UML model can be based on a number of different UML profiles. The applicable profiles are identified by specially stereotyped «appliedProfile» dependencies from the UML model package to the appropriate profile packages. This declaration enables the UML model to access the stereotypes and tag definitions of these profiles.
2.14.4.3 Subsystem

The purpose of the subsystem construct is to provide a grouping mechanism for specifying a behavioral unit of a physical system. Apart from defining a namespace for its contents, a subsystem serves as a specification unit for the behavior of its contained model elements.

The contents of a subsystem are defined in the same way as for a package, thus it consists of owned elements and imported elements, with unique names or aliases within the subsystem. The contents of a subsystem are divided into two subsets: 1) specification elements and 2) realization elements. The specification elements, together with the operations and receptions of the subsystem, are used for giving an abstract specification of the behavior offered by the realization elements. The collection of realization elements model the interior of the behavioral unit of the physical system. Consequently, subsystems contained in the realization part represent subordinate subsystems; that is, subsystems at the level below in the containment hierarchy, hence owned by the current subsystem.

The specification of a subsystem thus consists of the specification elements together with the subsystem’s features (operations and receptions). It specifies the behavior performed jointly by instances of classifiers in the realization subset, without revealing anything about the contents of this subset. The specification is typically made in terms of model elements such as use cases and/or operations, although other kinds of model elements like classes, interfaces, constraints, relationships between model elements, state machines may also be used. Use cases are used to specify complete sequences performed by the subsystem; that is, by instances of its contained classifiers interacting with its surroundings. Operations are suitable to represent simpler subsystem services that are used independently of each other; that is, not in any particular order.

A subsystem has no behavior of its own. All behavior defined in the specification of the subsystem is jointly offered by the elements in the realization subset of the contents. In general, since subsystems are classifiers, they can appear anywhere a classifier is expected. It follows that, since the subsystem itself has no behavior of its own, the requirements posed on the subsystem in the context where it occurs are fulfilled by the realization of the subsystem.

The correspondence between the specification and the realization of a subsystem can be specified in several ways, including collaborations and «realize» dependencies. A collaboration specifies how instances of the realization elements cooperate to jointly perform the behavior specified by a use case, an operation, etc. in the subsystem specification; that is, how the higher level of abstraction is transformed into the lower
level of abstraction. A stimulus received by an instance of a use case (higher level of abstraction) corresponds to an instance conforming to one of the classifier roles in the collaboration receiving that stimulus (lower level of abstraction). This instance communicates with other instances conforming to other classifier roles in the collaboration, and together they perform the behavior specified by the use case. All stimuli that can be received and sent by instances of the use cases are also received and sent by the conforming instances, although at a lower level of abstraction. Similarly, application of an operation of the subsystem actually means that a stimulus is sent to a contained instance that performs a method.

There are two ways of communicating with a subsystem, either by sending stimuli to the subsystem itself to be re-directed to the proper recipient inside the subsystem, or by sending stimuli directly to the recipient inside the subsystem. In the first case, an association is defined with the subsystem itself to enable stimuli sending. (In the abstract syntax, this is handled by a single subsystem instance being connected by links corresponding to this association, receiving stimuli sent to the subsystem, and re-directing them to instances within the subsystem instance. Hence the subsystem instance is the “runtime representative” of the subsystem. Note that this subsystem instance still does not perform any of the behavior specified in the subsystem specification.) How stimuli sent to the subsystem are re-directed to internal instances is not defined but left as a semantic variation point.

Communicating with a subsystem by sending stimuli directly to instances within the subsystem requires that the classifiers of these instances are available within the sender’s namespace so that they can be connected by associations. This can be achieved by import or access permissions. Importing and accessing subsystems is done in the same way as with packages, using the visibility property to define whether elements are public, protected, or private to the subsystem. Both the specification part and the realization part of a subsystem may include imported elements.

A subsystem can have generalizations to other subsystems. This means that the public and protected elements in the contents of a subsystem as well as operations and receptions are also available to its heirs. Furthermore, relationships between an ancestor subsystem and other model elements are inherited by specializing subsystems. In a concrete (non-abstract) subsystem all elements in the specification, including elements from ancestors, are completely realized by cooperating realization elements, as specified with, for example, a set of collaborations. This may not be true for abstract subsystems.

A subsystem may offer a set of interfaces. This implies that for each operation defined in an interface, the subsystem offering the interface must have a matching operation, either as a feature of the subsystem itself or of a specification element. The relationship between interface and subsystem is not necessarily one-to-one. Interfaces of a subsystem are usually contained in the same namespace as the subsystem itself, but may also be contained in the specification of the subsystem. In the latter case, elements using these interfaces must have an import or access relationship with the subsystem to gain access to the interfaces.
In cases when the physical system has several parts with the same definition, the subsystem is specified to be **instantiable**. The parts are then instances of this subsystem. Note, however, that all behavior specified for the subsystem is still performed by instances contained in the subsystem instances, not by the subsystem instances themselves.

### 2.14.4.4 Model

![Model Diagram](image)

*Figure 2-35  Model illustration - shows Model and its environment in the metamodel by flattening the inheritance hierarchy.*

A model is a description of a physical system with a certain purpose, such as to describe logical or behavioral aspects of the physical system to a certain category of readers. Examples of different kinds of models are ‘use case,’ ‘analysis,’ ‘design,’ and ‘implementation,’ or ‘computational,’ ‘engineering,’ and ‘organizational’ each representing one view of a physical system.

Thus, a model is an abstraction of a physical system. It specifies the physical system from a certain vantage point (or viewpoint); that is, for a certain category of stakeholders (for example, designers, users, or orderers of the system), and at a certain level of abstraction, both given by the purpose of the model. A model is complete in the sense that it covers the whole physical system, although only those aspects relevant to its purpose; that is, within the given level of abstraction and viewpoint, are represented in the model. Furthermore, it describes the physical system only once; that is, there is no overlapping; no part of the physical system is captured more than once in a model.

A model consists of a containment hierarchy where the top-most package or subsystem represents the boundary of the physical system. This package/subsystem may be given the stereotype «topLevel» to emphasize its role within the model. It is possible to have more than one containment hierarchy within a model; that is, the model contains a set of top-most packages/subsystems each being the root of a containment hierarchy. In this case there is no single package/subsystem that represents the physical system boundary.

The model may also contain model elements describing relevant parts of the system’s environment. The environment is typically modeled by actors and their interfaces. As these are external to the physical system, they reside outside the package/subsystem hierarchy. They may be collected in a separate package, or owned directly by the model. These model elements and the model elements representing the physical system may be associated with each other.

A model may be a specialization of another model via a generalization relationship. This implies that all public and protected elements in the ancestor are also available in the specialized model under the same name and interrelated as in the ancestor.
A model may import or access another model. The semantics is the same as for packages. However, some of the actors of the supplier model may be internal to the client. This is the case, for example, when the imported model represents a lower layer of the physical system than the client model represents. Then some of the actors of the lower layer model represent the upper layer. The conformance requirement is that there must be classifiers in the client whose instances may play the roles of such actors.

The contents of a model is the transitive closure of its owned model elements, like packages, classifiers, and relationships, together with inherited and imported elements.

There may be relationships between model elements in different models, such as refinement and trace. A trace; that is, an abstraction dependency with the stereotype «trace» indicates that the connected (sets of) model elements represent the same concept. Trace is used for tracing requirements between models, or tracing the impact on other models of a change to a model element in one model. Thus traces are usually non-directional dependencies. Relationships between model elements in different models have no impact on the model elements’ meaning in their containing models because of the self-containment of models. Note, though, that even if inter-model relationships do not express any semantics in relation to the models, they may have semantics in relation to the reader or in deriving model elements as part of the overall development process.

Models may be nested (for example, several models of the same physical system may be collected in a model with the stereotype «systemModel»). The models contained in the «systemModel» all describe the physical system from different viewpoints, the viewpoints not necessarily disjoint. The «systemModel» also contains all inter-model relationships. A «systemModel» constitutes a comprehensive specification of the physical system.

A large physical system may be composed by a set of subordinate physical systems together making up the large physical system. In this case each subordinate physical system is described by its own set of models collected in a separate «systemModel».

This is an alternative to having each part of the physical system defined as a subsystem.

2.14.5 Notes

In UML, there are three different ways to model a group of elements contained in another element; by using a package, a subsystem, or a class. Some pragmatics on their use include:

- Packages are used when nothing but a plain grouping of elements is required.
- Subsystems provide grouping suitable for top-down development, since the requirements on the behavior of their contents can be expressed before the realization of this behavior is defined. Furthermore, from a bottom-up perspective, the specification of a subsystem may also be seen as a provider of “high level APIs” of the subsystem.
- Classes are used when the container itself should have instances, so that it is possible to define composite objects.
As Subsystem and Model both are Packages in the metamodel, all three constructs can be combined arbitrarily to organize a containment hierarchy. For example, a Subsystem may be defined using a set of Models, in which case these Models are contained in the Subsystem. Another example is a set of components defined by Subsystems, collected in a Package defining a reuse library.

It is a tool issue to decide how many of the imported elements must be explicitly referenced by the importing package; that is, how many ElementImport links to actually implement. For example, if all elements have the default visibility (private) and their original names in the importing package, the information can be retrieved directly from the imported package.

If a tool does not support the separation of specification and realization elements for Subsystem, then the value of the isSpecification attribute for ElementOwnership should be false by default. See the Core package, where ElementOwnership is defined, for details.

The issue of how to represent the runtime presence of a Subsystem has been solved by introducing SubsystemInstance, even for a non-instantiable Subsystem. An alternative, less intuitive, solution would be to have the metaclass Subsystem inherit the metaclass Instance, thus getting the desired characteristics.

Because this is a logical model of the UML, distribution or sharing of models between tools is not described.

It is expected that tools will manage presentation elements, in particular diagrams, that are attached to model elements.